ON BIRATIONAL BOUNDEDNESS OF FANO FIBRATIONS

CHEN JIANG

ABSTRACT. We investigate birational boundedness of Fano varieties and Fano fibrations. We establish an inductive step towards birational boundedness of Fano fibrations via conjectures related to boundedness of Fano varieties and Fano fibrations. As corollaries, we provide approaches towards birational boundedness and boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type. Furthermore, we show birational boundedness of 3-folds of $\epsilon$-Fano type.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. See Subsection 2.1 for notation and conventions.

A normal projective variety $X$ is of $\epsilon$-Fano type if there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ such that $(X, B)$ is an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair.

We are mainly interested in the boundedness of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type. Our motivation is the following conjecture due to A. Borisov, L. Borisov, and V. Alexeev.
Conjecture 1.1 (BAB\(_n\)). Fix an integer \( n > 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). Then the set of all \( n \)-dimensional varieties of \( \epsilon \)-Fano type is bounded.

BAB\(_n\) is one of the most important conjectures in birational geometry and it is related to the termination of flips. Besides, since varieties of Fano type form a fundamental class in birational geometry according to Minimal Model Program, it is very interesting to understand the basic properties of this class, such as boundedness.

BAB\(_2\) was proved by Alexeev [1] with a simplified argument by Alexeev–Mori [3]. But BAB\(_{\geq 3}\) is still open. There are only some partial boundedness results (cf. [8, 21, 17, 22, 2]).

As the approach to this conjecture, we are also interested in the following conjecture, where we consider birational boundedness instead of boundedness.

Conjecture 1.2 (BBAB\(_n\)). Fix an integer \( n > 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). The set of all \( n \)-dimensional varieties of \( \epsilon \)-Fano type is birationally bounded.

Unfortunately, BBAB\(_{\geq 3}\) is still open, but BBAB\(_2\) holds even without the assumption \( \epsilon > 0 \), since all surfaces of Fano type are rational (cf. [3]). However, in dimension three and higher, it is necessary to assume \( \epsilon > 0 \) due to counterexamples constructed by Lin [25] and Okada [27, 28].

Besides the boundedness of the families, we are also interested in the boundedness of special invariants of the families. One of the most interesting invariants is the anti-canonical volume.

Conjecture 1.3 (WBAB\(_n\)). Fix an integer \( n > 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). Then there exists a number \( M(n, \epsilon) \) depending only on \( n \) and \( \epsilon \) with the following property:

If \( X \) is an \( n \)-dimensional variety of \( \epsilon \)-Fano type, then

\[
\text{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq M(n, \epsilon).
\]

WBAB\(_{\leq 3}\) was proved by the author [15] very recently, while WBAB\(_{\geq 4}\) is still open.

See Subsection 1.1 for more conjectures related to boundedness, such as TBAB, GA, and LCTB.

The main goal of this paper is to investigate BBAB\(_n\), especially, to prove BBAB\(_3\) (Corollary 1.8). As shown in [15], according to Minimal Model Program, it suffices to investigate varieties of \( \epsilon \)-Fano type with a Mori fibration (see Theorem 6.1). We define the concept of an \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration which is a natural generalization of a variety of \( \epsilon \)-Fano type with a Mori fibration, see Definition 2.2.

It is expected that the boundedness of fibrations follows from that of bases and general fibers, and some additional boundedness information on the ambient spaces. The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. Fix integers \( n > m > 0 \) and \( d > 0 \), a rational number \( a \geq 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). Assume GA\(_{n-m}\), LCTB\(_{n-1}\), and BrTBAB\(_{n-m}\) hold. Then there exist a positive integer \( N(n, d, a, \epsilon) \) and a number \( V(n, d, a, \epsilon) \) depending only on \( n, d, a, \) and \( \epsilon \), satisfying the following property:

If \((f : X \to Z, B, H)\) is an almost-extremal \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration with \( \dim Z = m \), then
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(i) \(|-r_{n-m}K_X + N(n, d, a, \varepsilon) f^*(H)|\) is ample and gives a birational map;
(ii) \(\text{Vol}(X, -r_{n-m}K_X + N(n, d, a, \varepsilon) f^*(H)) \leq V(n, d, a, \varepsilon)\).

In particular, the set of such \(X\) forms a birationally bounded family.

Here \(r_{n-m}\) is an integer such that for any \((n-m)\)-dimensional terminal Fano variety \(Y\), \(|-r_{n-m}K_Y|\) gives a birational map. The existence of such integer is implied by BrTBAB\(_{n-m}\), see Conjecture 1.11(2). See Subsection 1.1 for conjectures assumed in the theorem.

As corollaries, we establish inductive steps towards BBAB\(_n\) and WBAB\(_n\).

**Corollary 1.5.** Assume BAB\(_{\leq n-1}\), BTBAB\(_n\), LCTB\(_{n-1}\), GA\(_{\leq n-1}\), and S\(_n\) hold. Then BBAB\(_n\) holds.

**Corollary 1.6.** Assume BAB\(_{\leq n-1}\), WTBAB\(_n\), GA\(_{\leq n-1}\), and S\(_n\) hold. Then WBAB\(_n\) holds.

Note that Corollary 1.6 was indicated in [15], but not clearly stated.

As the most interesting corollaries, we prove BBAB\(_3\) and WBAB\(_3\) unconditionally by proving the conjectures we need in lower dimension.

**Theorem 1.7.** LCTB\(_2\) holds.

**Corollary 1.8.** BBAB\(_3\) holds. That is, for 0 < \(\varepsilon < 1\), the set of all 3-folds of \(\varepsilon\)-Fano type is birationally bounded.

**Corollary 1.9 ([15]).** WBAB\(_3\) holds. That is, for 0 < \(\varepsilon < 1\), there exists a number \(M(3, \varepsilon)\) such that for a 3-fold \(X\) of \(\varepsilon\)-Fano type, \(\text{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq M(3, \varepsilon)\).

1.1. **Conjectures and historical remarks.** In this subsection, we collect conjectures related to boundedness of Fano varieties.

It is enough interesting to consider the boundedness of terminal Fano varieties.

**Conjecture 1.10.** Fix an integer \(n\).

(1) (TBAB\(_n\)) The set of all \(n\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial terminal Fano varieties of Picard number one is bounded.

(2) (BTBAB\(_n\)) The set of all \(n\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial terminal Fano varieties of Picard number one is birationally bounded.

Note that TBAB\(_3\) was proved by Kawamata [17].

**Conjecture 1.11.** Fix an integer \(n\).

(1) (WTBAB\(_n\)) There exists a number \(M_0(n)\) depending only on \(n\) such that if \(X\) is an \(n\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one, then \((-K_X)^n \leq M_0(n)\).

(2) (BrTBAB\(_n\)) There exists an integer \(r_n\) depending only on \(n\) such that if \(X\) is an \(n\)-dimensional terminal Fano variety, then \(|-r_nK_X|\) gives a birational map.

Note that boundedness of the family naturally implies boundedness of anti-canonical volumes and birationality by generic flatness and Noetherian induction. It is easy to see that \(M_0(1) = 2, M_0(2) = 9, r_1 = 1, \) and \(r_2 = 3\).

Some effective results were obtained, for example, in [29, 9] which show
that we may take $M_0(3) = 64$ and $r_3 = 97$. However, all the boundedness results for terminal Fano 3-folds heavily rely on classification of terminal singularities in dimension three. So it is interesting to develop methods not depending on classification and work for higher dimension.

Another interesting invariant is $\alpha$-invariant (see Subsection 2.1 for definition). It measures the singularities of log Fano pairs. In [15], we formulated the following conjecture on lower bound of $\alpha$-invariants.

**Conjecture 1.12** (GA$_n$). Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\mu(n, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on $n$ and $\epsilon$ with the following property:

If $(X, B)$ is an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair and $X$ is an $n$-dimensional terminal Fano variety, then $\alpha(X, B) \geq \mu(n, \epsilon)$.

Very recently, GA$_2$ was proved by the author [15] under general setting when $X$ itself need not to be Fano. However, GA$_n$ is not likely to be implied by BAB$_n$ since the boundary $B$ is involved. It is even not clear if GA$_n$ is true for a fixed variety $X$ (and all possible boundaries $B$).

We also propose the following conjecture, which states that the log canonical threshold of the boundary is bounded from below uniformly.

**Conjecture 1.13** (LCTB$_n$). Fix integers $n > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\lambda(n, d, a, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on $n$, $d$, $a$, and $\epsilon$, satisfying the following property:

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is an almost-extremal $(n, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration, then $(X, (1 + t)B)$ is klt for $0 < t \leq \lambda(n, d, a, \epsilon)$.

This conjecture is totally new, and even LCTB$_2$ is unknown before. It is somehow very technical, but very important in this paper. We will prove LCTB$_2$ in this paper (Theorem 1.7).

It is interesting to make a comparison between GA and LCTB. In both conjectures, we are trying to measure the singularities of the pairs by log canonical thresholds of certain divisors. But they go to two different directions. In GA, we consider divisor $G \sim Q - (K_X + B)$, which is ample, but we need to consider all such divisors. In LCTB, we consider only the boundary, but without any positivity.

We also expect the following conjecture on the images of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type via Mori fibrations.

**Conjecture 1.14** (S$_n$). Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists a number $\delta(n, \epsilon) > 0$ depending only on $n$ and $\epsilon$ such that if $X$ is an $n$-dimensional variety of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration $X \to Z$, then $Z$ is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type.

Note that S$_n$ is a special consequence of Shokurov’s conjecture in Birkar [4], where he proved S$_n$ for the case $\dim X - \dim Z = 1$ unconditionally.

Finally, as a trivial remark, all conjectures mentioned above hold for $n = 1$. Also, all conjectures are known to be true for $n = 2$ except LCTB$_2$.

It is worth to mention that, very recently, Birkar [5, 6] treated several conjectures above and claimed a proof of BAB conjecture using different but much stronger technique.
1.2. Sketch of the proof. We explain the idea of the proof of Corollary 1.5. By the idea of [15], maybe well known to experts, to prove birational boundedness of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type, it is enough to consider those with a Mori fibration structure, see Theorem 6.1.

Now we consider an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair $(X, B)$ with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$.

To prove the birational boundedness of $X$, as a well known strategy, it suffices to find a Weil divisor $D$ on $X$ such that $|D|$ gives a birational map on $X$, and the volume of $D$ is bounded from above uniformly (cf. [13, Lemma 2.4.2(2)]).

A natural candidate of this divisor $D$ is $-mK_X$, the pluri-anti-canonical divisor. For example, to prove the birational boundedness of smooth projective varieties of general type, pluri-canonical divisor $mK_X$ is considered, cf. [12, 31, 32]. But the behavior of anti-canonical divisors is totally different from canonical divisors, for example, after taking higher models, the bigness of anti-canonical divisors is not preserved. Another candidate is the adjoint pluri-anti-canonical divisor $-m(K_X + B)$. For example, to prove the birational boundedness of log canonical pairs of general type, adjoint pluri-canonical divisor $m(K_X + B)$ is considered, cf. [14]. However, to deal with log canonical pairs of general type, one need always to assume some good condition (DCC) on the coefficients of $B$, on the other hand, for log Fano pairs, we should not assume any condition on the coefficients of $B$, which makes the problem more complicated. In fact, it is somehow very difficult even to find a uniform number $m$ such that $|-mK_X|$ or $|-m(K_X + B)|$ is nonempty.

Our idea is to make use of the Mori fibration structure $f : X \to Z$, assuming that it is not trivial, i.e., $\dim Z > 0$. In this case, we may find a very ample divisor $H$ on $Z$. The degree of $H$ is bounded if $Z$ is bounded, which is guaranteed by assuming $S_n$ and $BAB \leq n - 1$. Then we may consider the divisor $-rK_X + mf^*(H)$ instead of $-mK_X$ for some fixed positive integer $r$.

Note that $-rK_X + mf^*(H)$ is ample for $m$ sufficiently large. If $-rK_X + mf^*(H)$ is ample for some uniform $m$, then by vanishing theorem, it is easy to lift sections from a general member $X_1$ in $|f^*(H)|$ to $X$. This allows us to cut down the dimension of $Z$ by $H$ and reduce to the case when $Z$ is a point, see Lemma 3.3. But one difficulty appears here, that is, $(X_1, B|_{X_1})$ is no long log Fano. Hence for induction, we need to deal with a larger category of varieties. This is why we define the concept of $(n, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibrations which is a generalization of $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pairs with a Mori fibration. The induction step works well for this larger category.

Then we need to find a uniform number $L$ such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample. To this end, for a curve $C$ generating an extremal ray of $\overline{NE}(X)$, we need to give a lower bound for $-K_X \cdot C$. Note that

$$-K_X \cdot C > \frac{1}{t}(K_X + (1 + t)B) \cdot C,$$

by length of extremal rays, it suffices to show that there exists a uniform $t > 0$ such that $(X, (1 + t)B)$ is klt. The existence of such $t$ is implied by LCTB$_n$. However, since we only need to consider those $C$ not contracted.
by $f$, by using length of extremal rays in a tricky way, it turns out that LCTB$_{n-1}$ is sufficient, see Lemma 3.2.

Finally, if $|−rK_X + mf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map on $X$ for fixed $r$ and $m$, we need to bound its volume. The idea is basically the same with [15]. According to the volume, we may construct non-klt centers, and restrict on the general fiber of $f$. By considering the lower bound of $α$-invariants on the general fiber (GA$_{≤n−1}$), we can get the upper bound of volumes, see Theorem 4.1.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Chenyang Xu for suggesting this problem and discussion. The author is indebted to Professor Yujiro Kawamata for effective conversations.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and conventions. We adopt the standard notation and definitions in [18] and [23], and will freely use them.

A pair $(X, B)$ consists of a normal projective variety $X$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ on $X$ such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

The variety $X$ is called a Fano variety if $−K_X$ is ample. The pair $(X, B)$ is called a log Fano pair if $−(K_X + B)$ is ample.

Let $f : Y → X$ be a log resolution of the pair $(X, B)$, write $K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_iF_i$, where $\{F_i\}$ are distinct prime divisors. The coefficient $a_i$ is called the discrepancy of $F_i$ with respect to $(X, B)$, and denoted by $a_{F_i}(X, B)$. For some $ε ∈ [0, 1]$, the pair $(X, B)$ is called

(a) $ε$-kawamata log terminal ($ε$-klt, for short) if $a_i > −1 + ε$ for all $i$;
(b) $ε$-log canonical ($ε$-lc, for short) if $a_i ≥ −1 + ε$ for all $i$;
(c) terminal if $a_i > 0$ for all $f$-exceptional divisors $F_i$ and all $f$.

Usually we write $X$ instead of $(X, 0)$ in the case $B = 0$. Note that 0-klt (resp. 0-lc) is just klt (resp. lc) in the usual sense. $F_i$ is called a non-klt place (resp. non-lc place) of $(X, B)$ if $a_i ≤ −1$ (resp. $< −1$). A subvariety $V ⊂ X$ is called a non-klt center (resp. non-lc center) of $(X, B)$ if it is the image of a non-klt place (resp. non-lc place). The non-klt locus $N_{klt}(X, B)$ is the union of all non-klt centers of $(X, B)$. The non-lc locus $N_{lc}(X, B)$ is the union of all non-lc centers of $(X, B)$.

In particular, a normal projective variety $X$ is of $ε$-Fano type if there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ such that $(X, B)$ is an $ε$-klt log Fano pair.

Let $(X, B)$ be an lc pair and $D ≥ 0$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. The log canonical threshold of $D$ with respect to $(X, B)$ is

$$\text{lct}(X, B; D) = \sup\{t ∈ \mathbb{Q} | (X, B + tD) \text{ is lc}\}.$$ 

For application, we need to consider the case when $D$ is not effective. Let $G$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor satisfying $G + B ≥ 0$, the unusual log canonical threshold of $G$ with respect to $(X, B)$ is

$$\text{ulct}(X, B; G) = \sup\{t ∈ [0, 1] ∩ \mathbb{Q} | (X, B + tG) \text{ is lc}\}.$$ 

Note that the assumption $t ∈ [0, 1]$ guarantees that $B + tG ≥ 0$. 
If \((X, B)\) is an lc log Fano pair, the \((unusual) \ \alpha\)-invariant of \((X, B)\) is defined by
\[
\alpha(X, B) = \inf \{ \ulct(X, B; G) \mid G \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -(K_X + B), G + B \geq 0 \}.
\]

A collection of varieties \(\{X_t\}_{t \in T}\) is said to be \textit{bounded} (resp. birationally bounded) if there exists \(h : X \rightarrow S\) a projective morphism between schemes of finite type such that each \(X_t\) is isomorphic (resp. birational) to \(X_s\) for some \(s \in S\).

2.2. \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibrations. We define Fano fibrations, Mori fibrations, and \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibrations.

\textbf{Definition 2.1.} A projective morphism \(f : X \rightarrow Z\) between normal projective varieties is called a \textit{Fano fibration} if
\begin{enumerate}
\item \(X\) is with terminal singularities;
\item \(f\) is a \textit{contraction}, i.e., \(f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Z\);
\item \(-K_X\) is ample over \(Z\);
\item \(\dim X > \dim Z\).
\end{enumerate}

A Fano fibration \(X \rightarrow Z\) is said to be a \textit{Mori fibration} if \(X\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial and \(\rho(X/Z) = 1\).

Note that a general fiber of a Fano fibration is a terminal Fano variety.

\textbf{Definition 2.2.} Fix positive integers \(n\) and \(d\), a rational number \(a \geq 0\), and \(0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1\). An \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-\textit{Fano fibration} \((f : X \rightarrow Z, B, H)\) consists of a Fano fibration \(f : X \rightarrow Z\), an effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor \(B\) on \(X\), and a very ample divisor \(H\) on \(Z\) such that
\begin{enumerate}
\item \(\dim X = n\);
\item \((H^{\dim Z}) = d\);
\item \(- (K_X + B) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A - af^*(H)\) where \(A\) is an ample \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor on \(X\);
\item \((X, B)\) is \(\epsilon\)-klt.
\end{enumerate}

It is said to be \textit{almost-extremal} if moreover,
\begin{enumerate}
\item[(5)] if we write \(B = B' + B''\) where every component of \(B'\) dominates \(Z\) and every component of \(B''\) does not dominate \(Z\), then \(B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0\) over \(Z\).
\end{enumerate}

Here if \(\dim Z = 0\), we always set \(H = 0\) and \(d = (H^{\dim Z}) = 1\).

\textbf{Remark 2.3.} Condition (5) seems to be a little technical, but very natural. Condition (5) holds if either \(\dim Z = 0\) or \(X\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-factorial and \(\rho(X/Z) = 1\).

In this paper, condition (5) will be only used for LCTB\(_n\). Note that if \(f\) is an extremal contraction induced by an extremal ray, then \(\rho(X/Z) = 1\). This is the motivation of defining the terminology “almost-extremal”.

In particular, if \((X, B)\) is an \(\epsilon\)-klt log Fano pair with a Mori fibration \(f : X \rightarrow Z\), then \((f : X \rightarrow Z, B, H)\) is naturally an almost-extremal \((n, d, 0, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration for any very ample divisor \(H\) on \(Z\) with \(d = (H^{\dim Z})\).

\textbf{Remark 2.4.} Suppose \((f : X \rightarrow Z, B, H)\) is an \((n, d, a, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration. Then a general fiber \(F\) of \(f\) is a terminal Fano variety and \((F, B|_F)\) is an \(\epsilon\)-klt log Fano pair. Hence \((F \rightarrow f(F), B|_F, 0)\) is naturally an almost-extremal \((n - m, 1, 0, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration where \(m = \dim Z\).
Suppose that \( \dim Z > 1 \). Take a general element \( Z_1 \in |H| \). Denote \( X_1 = f^*(Z_1) \in |f^*(H)| \), \( B_1 = B|X_1 \), and \( H_1 = H|Z_1 \). Then \( X_1 \) and \( Z_1 \) are projective normal varieties, \( X_1 \) is again terminal, and the induced map \( f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1 \) is a Fano fibration. Moreover, \( (H_1^{\dim Z_1}) = (H^{\dim Z}) = d \),

\[-(K_{X_1} + B_1) = -(K_X + B + X_1)|_{X_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} A|_{X_1} - (a + 1)f_1^*(H_1),\]

and \((X_1, B_1)\) is \( \epsilon \)-klt (cf. [23, Lemma 5.17]). Hence \((f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)\) is an \((n - 1, d, a + 1, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration. Note that if \((f : X \to Z, B, H)\) is almost-extremal, so is \((f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)\) since \( B_1 = B'|_{X_1} + B''|_{X_1} \) satisfies condition (5) for general \( X_1 \) in \(|f^*(H)|\).

2.3. \textbf{Volumes}. Let \( X \) be an \( n \)-dimensional projective variety and \( D \) be a Cartier divisor on \( X \). The \textit{volume} of \( D \) is the real number

\[ \text{Vol}(X, D) = \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD))}{m^n/n!}. \]

Note that the limsup is actually a limit. Moreover by the homogenous property of volumes, we can extend the definition to \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors. Note that if \( D \) is a nef \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor, then \( \text{Vol}(X, D) = D^n \). If \( D \) is a non-\( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisors, we may take a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorialization of \( X \), i.e., a birational morphism \( \phi : Y \to X \) which is isomorphic in codimension one and \( Y \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorial, then \( \text{Vol}(X, D) := \text{Vol}(Y, \phi_1^{-1}D) \). Note that \( \mathbb{Q} \)-factorializations always exist for klt pairs (cf. [7, Theorem 1.4.3]).

For more background on volumes, see [24, 2.2.C, 11.4.A]. It is easy to see the following inequality for volumes.

\textbf{Lemma 2.5}. \textit{Let} \( X \) \textit{be a projective normal variety,} \( D \) \textit{a} \( \mathbb{Q} \)-\textit{Cartier} \( \mathbb{Q} \)-\textit{divisor and} \( S \) \textit{a base-point free Cartier normal prime divisor. Then for any rational number} \( q > 0 \),

\[ \text{Vol}(X, D + qS) \leq \text{Vol}(X, D) + q(\dim X)\text{Vol}(S, D|_S + qS|_S). \]

\textit{Proof.} For sufficiently divisible \( m \) such that \( mq \in \mathbb{Z} \) and an integer \( k \), consider the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(mD + (k - 1)S) \to \mathcal{O}_X(mD + kS) \to \mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S + kS|_S) \to 0. \]

Then

\[ h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD + kS)) \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD + (k - 1)S)) + h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S + kS|_S)). \]

Hence we have

\[ h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD + mqS)) \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) + \sum_{k=1}^{mq} h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S + kS|_S)) \leq h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)) + mqh^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mD|_S + mqS|_S)). \]

For the last step we use the assumption that \( S \) is base-point free which implies that \( S|_S \) is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor on \( S \). Dividing by \( \frac{\dim X}{(\dim X)!} \) and taking limit, we get the inequality. \( \square \)
2.4. Non-klt centers, connectedness lemma, and inversion of adjunction. The following lemma suggests a standard way to construct non-klt centers.

**Lemma 2.6** (cf. [23, Lemma 2.29]). Let \((X, B)\) be a pair and \(V \subset X\) a closed subvariety of codimension \(k\) such that \(V\) is not contained in the singular locus of \(X\). If \(\text{mult}_V B \geq k\), then \(V\) is a non-klt center of \((X, B)\).

Recall that the multiplicity \(\text{mult}_V F\) of a divisor \(F\) along a subvariety \(V\) is defined by the multiplicity \(\text{mult}_x F\) of \(F\) at a general point \(x \in V\).

Unfortunately, the converse of Lemma 2.6 is not true unless \(k = 1\). Usually we do not have good estimates for the multiplicity along a non-klt center but the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7** (cf. [24, Theorem 9.5.13]). Let \((X, B)\) be a pair and \(V \subset X\) a non-klt center of \((X, B)\) such that \(V\) is not contained in the singular locus of \(X\). Then \(\text{mult}_V B \geq 1\).

If we assume some simple normal crossing condition on the boundary, we can get more information on the multiplicity along a non-klt center. For simplicity, we just consider surfaces.

**Lemma 2.8** (cf. [26, 4.1 Lemma]). Fix \(0 < e < 1\). Let \(S\) be a smooth surface, \(B\) an effective \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor, and \(D\) a (not necessarily effective) simple normal crossing supported \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor. Assume that coefficients of \(D\) are at most \(e\) and \(\text{mult}_P B \leq 1 - e\) for some point \(P\), then for arbitrary divisor \(E\) centered on \(P\) over \(S\), \(a_E(S, B + D) \geq -e\). In particular, if \(V\) is a non-klt center of \((S, B + D)\) and coefficients of \(D\) are at most \(e\), then \(\text{mult}_V B > 1 - e\).

**Proof.** By taking a sequence of point blow-ups, we can get the divisor \(E\). Consider the blow-up at \(P\), we have \(f : S_1 \to S\) with \(K_{S_1} + B_1 + D_1 + mE_1 = f^*(K_S + B + D)\) where \(B_1\) and \(D_1\) are the strict transforms of \(B\) and \(D\) respectively, and \(E_1\) is the exceptional divisor with coefficient \(m = \text{mult}_P (B + D) - 1 \leq 1 - e + 2e - 1 = e\) since \(\text{mult}_P (D) \leq 2e\). Now \(D_1 + mE_1\) is again simple normal crossing supported and \(\text{mult}_Q B_1 \leq \text{mult}_P B\) for \(Q \in E_1\). Hence by induction on the number of blow-ups, we conclude that the coefficient of \(E\) is at most \(e\) and hence \(a_E(S, B + D) \geq -e\). \(\square\)

We have the following connectedness lemma of Kollár and Shokurov for non-klt locus (cf. Shokurov [30], Kollár [20, 17.4]).

**Theorem 2.9** (Connectedness Lemma). Let \(f : X \to Z\) be a proper morphism of normal varieties with connected fibers and \(D\) a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-divisor such that \(-(K_X + D)\) is \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier, \(f\)-nef, and \(f\)-big. Write \(D = D^+ - D^-\) where \(D^+\) and \(D^-\) are effective with no common components. If \(D^-\) is \(f\)-exceptional (i.e. all of its components have image of codimension at least \(2\)), then \(\text{Nklt}(X, D) \cap f^{-1}(z)\) is connected for any \(z \in Z\).

**Remark 2.10.** There are two main cases of interest of Connectedness Lemma:

1. \(Z\) is a point and \((X, D)\) is a log Fano pair. Then \(\text{Nklt}(X, D)\) is connected.
2. \(f : X \to Z\) is birational, \((Z, B)\) is a log pair and \(K_X + D = f^*(K_Z + B)\).
As an application, we have the following theorem on inversion of adjunction (cf. [23, Theorem 5.50]). Here we only use a weak version.

**Theorem 2.11** (Inversion of adjunction). Let \((X, B)\) be a pair and \(S \subset X\) a normal Cartier divisor not contained in the support of \(B\). Then
\[
\text{Nklt}(X, B) \cap S \subset \text{Nklt}(S, B|_S).
\]
In particular, if \(\text{Nklt}(X, B) \cap S \neq \emptyset\), then \((S, B|_S)\) is not klt.

2.5. **Length of extremal rays.** Recall the result on length of extremal rays due to Kawamata.

**Theorem 2.12** ([16]). Let \((X, B)\) be a klt pair. Then every \((K_X + B)\)-negative extremal ray \(R\) is generated by a rational curve \(C\) such that
\[
0 < -(K_X + B) \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X.
\]

However, we need to deal with non-klt pairs in application. We need a generalization of this theorem for general pairs which is proved by Fujino.

**Theorem 2.13** ([10, Theorem 1.1(5)]). Let \((X, B)\) be a pair. Fix a \((K_X + B)\)-negative extremal ray \(R\). Assume that
\[
R \cap \overline{NE}(X)_{\text{Nlc}(X,B)} = \{0\},
\]
where
\[
\overline{NE}(X)_{\text{Nlc}(X,B)} = \text{Im}(\overline{NE}(\text{Nlc}(X,B)) \to \overline{NE}(X)).
\]
Then \(R\) is generated by a rational curve \(C\) such that
\[
0 < -(K_X + B) \cdot C \leq 2 \dim X.
\]

3. **Boundedness of birationality**

In this section, we prove the first part of Theorem 1.4, on boundedness of ampleness and birationality.

**Theorem 3.1.** Fix integers \(n > m > 0\) and \(d > 0\), a rational number \(a \geq 0\), \(0 < \epsilon < 1\). Assume \(\text{LCTB}_{n-1}\) and \(\text{BrTBAB}_{n-m}\) hold. Then there exists an integer \(N(n,d,a,\epsilon)\) depending only on \(n\), \(d\), \(a\), and \(\epsilon\), satisfying the following property:

If \((f : X \to Z, B, H)\) is an almost-extremal \((n,d,a,\epsilon)\)-Fano fibration with \(\dim Z = m\), then \(-\tau_{n-m}K_X + N(n,d,a,\epsilon)f^*(H)\) is ample and gives a birational map.

The proof splits into two parts as the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** Fix integers \(n > 0\) and \(d > 0\), a rational number \(a \geq 0\), \(0 < \epsilon < 1\). Assume \(\text{LCTB}_{n-1}\) holds. Then there exists a number \(N'(n,d,a,\epsilon)\) depending only on \(n\), \(d\), \(a\), and \(\epsilon\), satisfying the following property:

If \((f : X \to Z, B, H)\) is an almost-extremal \((n,d,a,\epsilon)\)-Fano fibration with \(\dim Z > 0\), then \(-K_X + kf^*(H)\) is ample for all \(k \geq N'(n,d,a,\epsilon)\).

**Proof.** Let \((f : X \to Z, B, H)\) be an almost-extremal \((n,d,a,\epsilon)\)-Fano fibration with \(\dim Z > 0\). According to \(\text{LCTB}_{n-1}\), we may take
\[
t_0 = \min\{\lambda(n-1,d,a+1,\epsilon), \lambda(n-1,1,0,\epsilon)\} > 0.
\]
If dim $Z = 1$, for a general fiber $F$ of $f$, $(F \to f(F), B_{\lfloor F \rfloor}, 0)$ is naturally an almost-extremal $(n - 1, 1, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration by Remark 2.4. Hence by LCTB$_{n-1}$, $(F, (1 + t_0)B_{\lfloor F \rfloor})$ is klt.

If dim $Z > 1$, by Remark 2.4, $(f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1, B_1, H_1)$ is an almost-extremal $(n - 1, d, a + 1, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. Hence by LCTB$_{n-1}$, $(X_1, (1 + t_0)B_1)$ is klt.

Hence, in either case, every curve in $N_{klt}(X, (1 + t_0)B)$ is contracted by $f$ by inversion of adjunction, which means that $f(N_{klt}(X, (1 + t_0)B))$ is a set of finitely many points. In particular, every curve $C_0$ supported in $N_{klt}(X, (1 + t_0)B)$ satisfies that $f^* (H) \cdot C_0 = 0$. This implies that every class $c \in \overline{NE}(X_{Nlc(X, B)})$ satisfies that $f^* (H) \cdot c = 0$ since $Nlc(X, (1 + t_0)B) \subset N_{klt}(X, (1 + t_0)B)$.

Now we consider an extremal ray $R$ of $\overline{NE}(X)$.

If $R$ is $(K_X + (1 + t_0)B)$-non-negative, then
\[
(-K_X + a(1 + \frac{1}{t_0})f^*(H)) \cdot R = (1 + \frac{1}{t_0})A \cdot R + \frac{1}{t_0}(K_X + (1 + t_0)B) \cdot R > 0.
\]

If $R$ is $(K_X + (1 + t_0)B)$-negative and $f^*(H) \cdot R = 0$, then $-K_X \cdot R > 0$ since $-K_X$ is ample over $Z$.

If $R$ is $(K_X + (1 + t_0)B)$-negative and $f^*(H) \cdot R > 0$, then
\[
R \cap \overline{NE}(X_{Nlc(X, B)}) = \{0\}
\]
since we showed that $f^*(H) \cdot C = 0$ for every class $c \in \overline{NE}(X_{Nlc(X, B)})$. By Theorem 2.13, $R$ is generated by a rational curve $C$ such that
\[
(K_X + (1 + t_0)B) \cdot C \geq -2n.
\]

On the other hand, $f^*(H) \cdot C \geq 1$. Hence
\[
(-K_X + (a + \frac{a + 2n}{t_0})f^*(H)) \cdot C
= (1 + \frac{1}{t_0})A \cdot C + \frac{1}{t_0}(K_X + (1 + t_0)B) \cdot C + \frac{2n}{t_0}f^*(H) \cdot C > 0.
\]

In summary,
\[
(-K_X + kf^*(H)) \cdot R > 0
\]
holds for every extremal ray $R$ and for all $k \geq a + \frac{a + 2n}{t_0}$. By Kleiman’s Ampleness Criterion, $-K_X + kf^*(H)$ is ample for all $k \geq a + \frac{a + 2n}{t_0}$. We may take
\[
N'(n, d, a, \epsilon) = a + \min\{\lambda(n - 1, d, a + 1, \epsilon), \lambda(n - 1, 1, 0, \epsilon)\}
\]
and complete the proof. \qed

**Lemma 3.3.** Fix integers $n > m > 0$, and $L > 0$. Assume BrTBAB$_{n-m}$ holds. If $f : X \to Z$ is a Fano fibration with dim $X = n$ and dim $Z = m$, $H$ is a very ample divisor on $Z$ such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample, then $|−r_{n-m}K_X+Kf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map for all $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L+2n−2$.

**Proof.** Let $f : X \to Z$ be a Fano fibration with dim $X = n$ and dim $Z = m$, $H$ a very ample divisor on $Z$ such that $-K_X + Lf^*(H)$ is ample.

If $m = \dim Z = 1$, take a general fiber $F$ of $f$, then $F$ is a terminal Fano variety of dimension $n - m$. By BrTBAB$_{n-m}$, $|−r_{n-m}K_{F}|$ gives a birational
map. For two general fibers $F_1$ and $F_2$, for an integer $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)L + 2$, consider the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)) \to \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_1}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F_2}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_2}) \to 0. \]
Since $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)L + 2$,
\[-(r_{n-m} + 1)K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2 \]
is ample, hence by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem,
\[ H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2)) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - (r_{n-m} + 1)K_X + kf^*(H) - F_1 - F_2)) = 0. \]
Hence
\[ H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H))) \to H^0(F_1, \mathcal{O}_{F_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_1})) \oplus H^0(F_2, \mathcal{O}_{F_2}(-r_{n-m}K_{F_2})) \]
is surjective. Since $|−r_{n-m}K_{F_i}|$ gives a birational map on $F_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, $|−r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)|$ gives a birational map on $X$ for all $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)L + 2$.

Now suppose that $\dim Z > 1$. Recall the construction in Remark 2.4, take a general element $Z_1 \in |H|$, denote $X_1 = f^*(Z_1) \in |f^*(H)|$, $B_1 = B|_{X_1}$, and $H_1 = H|_{Z_1}$. Then $f_1 : X_1 \to Z_1$ is a Fano fibration with $\dim X_1 = n - 1$ and $\dim Z_1 = m - 1$, $H_1$ is a very ample divisor on $Z_1$ such that
\[-K_{X_1} + (L + 1)f_1^*(H_1) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (−K_X + Lf^*(H))|_{X_1} \]
is ample. By induction on $m$, we may assume that $|−r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + kf^*_1(H_1)|$ gives a birational map for all $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)(L + 1) + 2n - 4$.

For an integer $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)L + 2 + 2n - 3$, consider the short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + (k - 1)f^*(H)) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)) \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k + r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)) \to 0. \]
Since $k \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)L + 1$, $−(r_{n-m} + 1)K_X + (k - 1)f^*(H)$ is ample, hence by Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem,
\[ H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + (k - 1)f^*(H))) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - (r_{n-m} + 1)K_X + (k - 1)f^*(H))) = 0. \]
Hence
\[ H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H))) \to H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k + r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1))) \]
is surjective. By induction hypothesis, $|−r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k + r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)|$ gives a birational map on $X_1$ since
\[ k + r_{n-m} \geq (r_{n-m} + 1)(L + 1) + 2n - 4. \]
In particular, $|−r_{n-m}K_X + kf^*(H)| \neq \emptyset$. Hence $|−r_{n-m}K_X + (k + 1)f^*(H)|$ can separate general elements in $|f^*(H)|$, and $|−r_{n-m}K_X + (k + 1)f^*(H))|_{X_1} = |−r_{n-m}K_{X_1} + (k + 1 + r_{n-m})f_1^*(H_1)|$.
gives a birational map on $X_1$, which is a general element in $|f^*(H)|$. This implies that $|-r_{n-m}K_X + (k+1)f^*(H)|$ gives a birational map for all $k \geq (r_{n-m}+1)L + 2n - 3$.

We complete the proof. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. We may take $N(n, d, a, \epsilon) = (r_{n-m} + 1) [N'(n, d, a, \epsilon)] + 2n - 2$. \hfill \Box

4. BOUNDEDNESS OF VOLUMES

In this section, we prove the second part of Theorem 1.4, on boundedness of volumes. We follow the idea in [15].

**Theorem 4.1.** Fix integers $n > m > 0$ and $d > 0$, a rational number $a \geq 0$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Assume GA$_{n-m}$ holds. Then there exists a number $V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, k)$ depending only on $n, d, a, \epsilon$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, satisfying the following property:

If $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is an $(n, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration with dim $Z = m$, then $\text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) \leq V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, k)$.

**Remark 4.2.** Before proving the theorem, we remark that GA$_n$ implies the boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of terminal Fano variety of dimension $n$. In fact, let $Y$ be a terminal Fano variety of dimension $n$, then $(Y, 0)$ is a $\frac{1}{2}$-klt log Fano pair. By GA$_n$, $\alpha(Y, 0) \geq \mu(n, \frac{1}{2}) > 0$. On the other hand, it is well-known that $\alpha(Y, 0) \cdot \sqrt[\epsilon]{(-K_Y)^n} \leq n$ (cf. [19, 6.7.1]). Hence $(-K_Y)^n$ is bounded from above uniformly. We denote the bound to be $M_0'(n)$.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Let $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ be an $(n, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration.

If $m = \dim Z = 1$, for a general fiber $F$ of $f$, $F$ is a terminal Fano variety of dimension $n - m$. By Remark 4.2, $\text{Vol}(F, -K_F) \leq M_0'(n-m)$. Fix $k \geq 0$, assume that for some $w > 0$,

$$\text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) > n(dk + w)M_0'(n - m).$$

It suffices to find an upper bound for $w$. We may assume that $w > 2$. Note that $f^*(H) \sim_Q df$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$\text{Vol}(X, -K_X - wF) \geq \text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) - n(dk + w)\text{Vol}(F, -K_F) > 0.$$

Hence there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B' \sim_Q -K_X - wF$. For two general fibers $F_1$ and $F_2$, consider the pair $(X, (1 - s)B + sB' + F_1 + F_2)$ where $s = \frac{ad+2}{ad+w} < 1$. Note that

$$-(K_X + (1 - s)B + sB' + F_1 + F_2) \sim_Q (1 - s)A$$

is ample. By Connectedness Lemma, Nklt$(X, (1 - s)B + sB' + F_1 + F_2)$ is connected. On the other hand, it contains $F_1 \cup F_2$, hence contains a non-klt center dominating $Z$. By inversion of adjunction, $(F, (1 - s)B|_F + sB'|_F)$ is not klt for a general fiber $F$. On the other hand, $(F, B|_F)$ is an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair of dimension $n - m$, $F$ is a terminal Fano variety, and $B'|_F - B|_F \sim_Q -(K_F + B|_F)$. Hence by GA$_{n-m}$,

$$s \geq \text{ulct}(F, B|_F; B'|_F - B|_F) \geq \mu(n - m, \epsilon).$$
Hence \( w \leq \frac{a+d+2}{\mu(n-m, \epsilon)} - ad \). In this case, we may take
\[
V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, k) = n \left( dk + \frac{a+d+2}{\mu(n-m, \epsilon)} - ad \right) M_0(n-m).
\]

Now assume that \( \dim Z > 1 \). As constructed in Remark 2.4, \((f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Z_1, B_1, H_1)\) is an \((n-1, d, a + 1, \epsilon)\)-Fano fibration. By induction, we may assume that \( \text{Vol}(X_1, -K_{X_1} + k f_1^*(H_1)) \leq V'(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon, k) \). Fix \( k \geq 0 \), assume that for some \( w > 0 \),
\[
\text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) > n(k+w)V'(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon, k+1).
\]
It suffices to find an upper bound for \( w \). We may assume that \( w > m + 1 \). By Lemma 2.5,
\[
\text{Vol}(X, -K_X - wX_1) \geq \text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) - n(k+w)\text{Vol}(X, -K_{X_1} + kf_1^*(H)|_{X_1})
\]
\[
= \text{Vol}(X, -K_X + kf^*(H)) - n(k+w)\text{Vol}(X, -K_{X_1} + (k+1)f_1^*(H_1)) > 0.
\]
Hence there exists an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( B' \sim_Q -K_X - wX_1 \). Take \( s = \frac{a+m+1}{a+w} < 1 \). For a general fiber \( F_1 \) over \( z_1 \in Z \), then there exists a number \( \delta > 0 \) (cf. [19, 4.8]) such that for any general \( H' \in |H| \) containing \( z_1 \),
\[
\text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') = \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + \delta f^*(H')).
\]
We may take general \( H^j \in |H| \) containing \( z_1 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq J \) with \( J > \frac{m}{\delta} \) and take \( G_1 = \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{m}{\delta} f^*(H^j) \). Then \( \text{mult}_{F_1} G_1 \geq m \) and \( G_1 \sim_Q mf^*(H) \sim_Q mX_1 \). In particular, \((X, G_1)\) is not klt at \( F_1 \) and by construction, in a neighborhood of \( F_1 \),
\[
\text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') \cup F_1 \subseteq \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1).
\]
Take a general element \( G_2 \in |f^*(H)| \), consider the pair \((X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2)\) where \( s = \frac{a+m+1}{a+w} < 1 \). Then
\[
-(K_X + (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2) \sim_Q (1-s)A
\]
is ample. Since
\[
F_1 \cup G_2 \subseteq \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2),
\]
by Connectedness Lemma, there is a curve \( C \) contained in \( \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB' + G_1 + G_2) \), intersecting \( F_1 \) and not contracted by \( f \). Hence \( C \) is contained in \( \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') \) by the construction of \( G_1 \) and generality of \( G_2 \). Since \( C \) intersects \( F_1 \), so does \( \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') \). Since \( F_1 \) is a general fiber over \( Z \), \( \text{Nklt}(X, (1-s)B + sB') \) dominates \( Z \). By inversion of adjunction, \((F, (1-s)B|_F + sB'|_F)\) is not klt for a general fiber \( F \). On the other hand, \((F, B|_F)\) is an \( \epsilon \)-klt log Fano pair of dimension \( n - m \) and \( F \) is a terminal Fano variety. Hence by \( GA_{n-m} \),
\[
s \geq u\text{lct}(F, B|_F, B'|_F - B|_F) \geq \mu(n-m, \epsilon).
\]
Hence \( w \leq \frac{a+m+1}{\mu(n-m, \epsilon)} - a \). We may take
\[
V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, k) = n \left( k + \frac{a+m+1}{\mu(n-m, \epsilon)} - a \right) V'(n-1, d, a+1, \epsilon, k+1)
\]
inductively, and complete the proof. □

5. LOWER BOUND OF LOG CANONICAL_THRESHOLDS IN DIMENSION TWO

In this section, we consider LCTB\textsubscript{2}. Firstly, we prove the following general theorem for surfaces. The basic idea of proof comes from [3] and [15], but we are in a totally different situation from [15].

**Theorem 5.1.** Fix \( m > 0 \) and \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). Then there exists a number \( \lambda'(m, \epsilon) > 0 \) depending only on \( m \) and \( \epsilon \) satisfying the following property:

If \( T \) is a projective smooth surface and \( B = \sum_i b_i B^i \) an effective \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( T \) such that

1. \( (T, B) \) is \( \epsilon \)-klt, but \( (T, (1 + t)B) \) is not klt for some \( t > 0 \);
2. \( K_T + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} G - A \) where \( A \) is an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor and \( G \) is a nef \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( T \);
3. \( \sum_i b_i \leq m \);
4. \( (B)^2 \leq m, B \cdot G \leq m \).

Then \( t > \lambda'(m, \epsilon) \).

**Proof.** Take \( (T, B) \) as in the theorem. Since \( (T, B) \) is \( \epsilon \)-klt, \( b_i < 1 - \epsilon \) for all \( i \). We may assume that \( t < \epsilon \) since we want to bound \( t \) from below, and hence \( (1 + t)b_i < 1 \) for all \( i \). Since \( (T, (1 + t)B) \) is not klt, it has isolated non-klt centers. We may take a sequence of point blow-ups

\[ T_r \to T_{r-1} \to \cdots \to T_1 \to T_0 = T \]

where \( T_{k+1} \to T_k \) is the blow-up at a non-klt center \( P_k \in \text{Nklt}(T_k, (1 + t)B_k + E_k) \) where \( B_k \) is the strict transform of \( B \) on \( T_k \) and

\[ K_{T_k} + (1 + t)B_k + E_k = \pi_k^*(K_T + (1 + t)B), \]

where \( \pi_k : T_k \to T \) is the composition map and \( E_k \) is a \( \pi_k \)-exceptional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor. For \( k \geq l \), denote \( \pi_{k,l} \) to be the composition map \( T_k \to T_l \) and \( E_k^l \) be the strict transform of the exceptional divisor \( E^l \) of \( \pi_{l,l-1} \) on \( T_k \). Then we can write \( E_k = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i E_k^i \). For \( l \geq 1 \), since \( P_{l-1} \) is a non-klt center of \( (T_{l-1}, (1 + t)B_{l-1} + E_{l-1}) \),

\[ e_l = \text{mult}_{P_{l-1}}((1 + t)B_{l-1} + E_{l-1}) - 1 \geq 0. \]

We stop this process at \( T_r \) if \( |E_r| \neq 0 \). Furthermore, we may assume that \( \text{mult}_{P_k}B_k \) is non-increasing. Write

\[ K_{T_k} + B_k + E_k^l = \pi_k^*(K_T + B), \]

where \( E_k^l = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i^l E_k^i \), note that \( e_i^l \) may be negative. Take the integer \( s \) such that

\[ s = \max\{k \leq r \mid \text{mult}_{P_{k-1}}B_{k-1} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } e_i^l > -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \text{ for all } l \leq k\}. \]

Recall that \( B_s = \sum_i b_i B^i_s \) with \( 0 \leq b_i < 1 - \epsilon \) where \( B^i_s \) is the strict transform of \( B^i \) on \( T_s \) for all \( i \).

**Claim 1.** \( (B^i_s)^2 \geq -\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B^i - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \sum_{i=1}^s \text{mult}_{P_{i-1}}B^i_{i-1} \) for all \( i \).
Proof. Suppose that \((B_s)^2 < 0\), then
\[
-2 \leq 2p_s(B_s) - 2 = (K_{T_s} + B_s) \cdot B_s
\]
\[
= \epsilon(B_s)^2 + (K_{T_s} + (1 - \epsilon)B_s) \cdot B_s
\]
\[
\leq \epsilon(B_s)^2 + (K_{T_s} + B_s + E_s') \cdot B_s - \epsilon \cdot B_s
\]
\[
= \epsilon(B_s)^2 + (K + B) \cdot B - \sum_{l=1}^{s} \epsilon'_l E_s' \cdot B_s
\]
\[
\leq \epsilon(B_s)^2 + G \cdot B + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} {E_s' \cdot \pi_{s,l} B_s}
\]
\[
= \epsilon(B_s)^2 + G \cdot B + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} {\sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_l} - 1 B_{l-1}}
\]
Hence we proved the claim. \(\square\)

Now we can give an upper bound for \(s\).

On \(T_s\), we have
\[
(B_s)^2 = \left(\sum_{i} b_i B_i^s\right)^2 \geq \sum_{i} b_i^2 (B_i^s)^2
\]
\[
\geq \sum_{i} b_i^2 \left( - \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} \right)
\]
\[
\geq \sum_{i} b_i \left( - \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} \right)
\]
\[
\geq - \frac{2m}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} G \cdot B - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}
\]
\[
\geq - \frac{3m}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1}
\]

On the other hand, \((B_s)^2 = (B)^2 - \sum_{l=1}^{s} (\mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1})^2\) and \((B)^2 \leq m\).

Hence
\[
m + \frac{3m}{\epsilon} \geq \sum_{l=1}^{s} \mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} (\mult_{P_{l-1}} B_{l-1} - \frac{\epsilon}{4}) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} s
\]
by the assumption \(\mult_{P_k} B_k \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\) for \(k < s\). Hence
\[
s \leq \frac{32m}{\epsilon^3}.
\]

Claim 2. There exists a point \(Q_s\) on \(T_s\) such that \(\mult_{Q_s} \pi_{s}^* (tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\).
Proof. Consider the pair $(T_s, (1+t)B_s + E_s)$. Note that $E_s$ is simple normal crossing supported.

Assume that there exists a curve $E$ with coefficient at least $1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$ in $E_s$, that is,

$$\text{mult}_E(K_{T_s} - \pi^e_s(K_T + (1+t)B)) \leq -1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}.$$ 

On the other hand, since $(T, B)$ is $\epsilon$-klt,

$$\text{mult}_E(K_{T_s} - \pi^e_s(K_T + B)) > -1 + \epsilon.$$ 

Hence $\text{mult}_E\pi^e_s(tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ and we can take any point $Q_s \in E$.

If all coefficients of $E_s$ are smaller than $1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$, then $s < r$ and $P_s$ is a non-klt center of $(T_s, (1+t)B_s + E_s)$. By Lemma 2.8, $\text{mult}_{P_s}((1+t)B_s) \geq \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$. Since we need a lower bound for $t$, we may assume that $t < \frac{1}{2}$, then $\text{mult}_{P_s}B_s \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$. Therefore, by the maximality of $s$, $e'_{s+1} \leq -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$. Then

$$\text{mult}_{P_s}\pi^e_s(tB) = \text{mult}_{E_{s+1}}\pi^e_s(tB) = e_{s+1} - e'_{s+1} \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}.$$ 

We can take $Q_s = P_s$.

We proved the claim. \hfill \qed

By Claim 2, it follows that $\text{mult}_{Q_0}(tB) \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{232m/\epsilon^3+3}$ where $Q_0 = \pi_s(Q_s)$ (cf. [15, Section 5, Claim 4]). On the other hand, since $(X, B)$ is klt, $\text{mult}_{Q_0}(B) < 2$ by Lemma 2.6. Combining with the inequality $s < \frac{32m}{\epsilon^3}$, we have

$$t \geq \frac{\epsilon^2}{232m/\epsilon^3+3},$$

and hence we may take this number to be $\lambda'(m, \epsilon)$. \hfill \qed

As an application, we can prove LCTB$_2$ now.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $(f : T \to Z, B, H)$ be an almost-extremal $(2, d, a, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. There are two cases, $\dim Z = 0$ or 1.

1) Suppose that $\dim Z = 0$. Then $T$ is a smooth del Pezzo surface, $(T, B)$ is $\epsilon$-klt, and $-(K_T + B)$ is ample. Note that $-K_T$ is ample, $-3K_T$ is very ample, and $(-K_T)^2 \leq 9$ for the del Pezzo surface $T$. Write $B = \sum b_iB_i$, then

$$\sum b_i \leq B \cdot (-K_T) \leq (-K_T)^2 \leq 9;$$

$$(B)^2 \leq (-K_T)^2 \leq 9.$$ 

Apply Theorem 5.1 for $G = 0$, then $(T, (1+t)B)$ is klt for all $0 < t \leq \lambda'(9, \epsilon)$.

2) Suppose that $\dim Z = 1$. By assumption, $(T, B)$ is $\epsilon$-klt and

$$K_T + B \sim_Q -A + af^*(H) \sim_Q -A + adF,$$

where $A$ is an ample $Q$-divisor on $T$ and $F$ is a general fiber of $f$. Moreover, $-K_T$ is ample over $Z$, that is, $f : T \to Z$ is a conic bundle such that all fibers are plane conics, a smooth fiber is a smooth rational curve, and a singular fiber is the union of two lines intersecting at one point. Note that the assumption that $(f : T \to Z, B, H)$ is almost-extremal implies that we may write $B = \sum b_iB_i + \sum j c_jF_j$, where $B_i$ is a curve not contained in a fiber for all $i$, and $F_j$ is a whole fiber for all $j$. This condition is crucial in
the following claim. Recall that $B \cdot F < (-K_T) \cdot F = 2$ and $(-K_T)^2 \leq 8$ for the conic bundle $T$.

**Claim 3.** $\sum b_i + \sum 2c_j \leq 2 + \frac{8+4ad}{\epsilon}$.

**Proof.** Firstly, we have

$$\sum b_i \leq \sum b_i B^i \cdot F = B \cdot F < 2.$$ 

Hence it suffices to show that $\sum c_j \leq \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$. Assume, to the contrary, that $w = \sum c_j > \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$, then $B - wF \sim Q D$ for some effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D$ and for a general fiber $F$. For two general fibers $F_1$ and $F_2$, consider $(T, (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2)$, then

$$-\left( K_T + (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2 \right) \sim Q A$$

is ample. Note that

$$F_1 \cup F_2 \subset \text{Nklt}(T, (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2).$$

By Connectedness Lemma, there is a curve $C$ in $\text{Nklt}(T, (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D + F_1 + F_2)$ dominating $Z$. Hence

$$\text{mult}_C \left( (1 - \frac{2+ad}{w})B + \frac{2+ad}{w}D \right) \geq 1.$$ 

Since $(T, B)$ is $\epsilon$-klt, $\text{mult}_C B < 1 - \epsilon$, and hence $\text{mult}_C \frac{2+ad}{w}D > \epsilon$. On the other hand, $\text{mult}_C D \leq D \cdot F = B \cdot F < 2$. Hence $w < \frac{4+2ad}{\epsilon}$, a contradiction.

Also we have

$$(B)^2 < (B + A)^2 = (adF - K_T)^2 = 4ad + (-K_T)^2 \leq 4ad + 8;$$

$$B \cdot adF < (-K_T) \cdot adF = 2ad.$$ 

Apply Theorem 5.1 for $G = adF$ and $m = \frac{10+4ad}{\epsilon}$, then $(T, (1 + t)B)$ is klt for all $0 < t \leq \lambda'(\frac{10+4ad}{\epsilon}, \epsilon)$. $\square$

6. **Proof of Theorems and Corollaries**

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** It follows from Theorems 3.1 and 4.1. We may take $N(n, d, a, \epsilon)$ as in Theorem 3.1, and take

$$V(n, d, a, \epsilon) = r^n_{n-m} \cdot V'(n, d, a, \epsilon, N(n, d, a, \epsilon))$$

as in Theorem 4.1. The birational boundedness follows easily, cf. [13, Lemma 2.4.2(2)]. $\square$

Before proving the corollaries, we recall the following theorem proved in [15] by using Minimal Model Program.

**Theorem 6.1** (cf. [15, Proof of Theorem 2.3]). Fix an integer $n > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Every $n$-dimensional variety $X$ of $\epsilon$-Fano type is birational to an $n$-dimensional variety $X'$ of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration such that $\text{Vol}(X, -K_X) \leq \text{Vol}(X', -K_{X'})$. 
Proof of Corollary 1.5. By Theorem 6.1, to prove BBAB, we only need to show the birational boundedness of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration.

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional variety of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$. By $S_n$, $Z$ is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type of dimension less than $n$.

If $\dim Z = 0$, then $X$ is an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one. There is nothing to prove since we assume BBAB. Since $\text{Br} \text{BAB} \leq \text{Fano pair}$. Hence $(f : Q)$.

By definition, there exists a very ample divisor $H$ on $Z$ with degree $d$ such that $d$ is bounded from above by some number $D(n, \epsilon)$ depending only on $n$ and $\epsilon$. By definition, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ such that $(X, B)$ is an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair. Hence $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is an almost-extremal $(n, d, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. Since $\text{Br} \text{BAB} \leq n-1$, by Theorem 1.4, for fixed $d$, such $X$ forms a birationally bounded family. Since $d$ has only finitely many possible values, we complete the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. By Theorem 6.1, to prove WBAB, we only need to show the boundedness of anti-canonical volumes of varieties of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration.

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional variety of $\epsilon$-Fano type with a Mori fibration $f : X \to Z$. By $S_n$, $Z$ is of $\delta(n, \epsilon)$-Fano type of dimension less than $n$.

If $\dim Z = 0$, then $X$ is an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard number one. There is nothing to prove since we assume WTBAB.

Suppose that $\dim Z > 0$. Then $Z$ is bounded by BAB. In particular, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $H$ on $Z$ with degree $d$ such that $d$ is bounded from above by some number $D(n, \epsilon)$ depending only on $n$ and $\epsilon$. By definition, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $B$ such that $(X, B)$ be an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair. Hence $(f : X \to Z, B, H)$ is an almost-extremal $(n, d, 0, \epsilon)$-Fano fibration. By Theorem 4.1, $\text{Vol}(X, −K_X) \leq V'(n, d, 0, \epsilon, 0)$. Since $d$ has only finitely many possible values, we complete the proof.

Proof of Corollaries 1.8 and 1.9. BAB$_2$ was proved by Alexeev [1], BTBAB$_3$ and WTBAB$_3$ are implied by TBAB$_3$ which was proved by Kawamata [17], LCTB$_2$ holds by Theorem 1.7, and GA$_2$ was proved in [15, Theorem 2.8].

Finally, we show that $S_3$ is implied by [4, Corollary 1.7] (cf. [15, Theorem 6.3]). Consider an $\epsilon$-klt log Fano pair $(X, B)$ of dimension 3 with a Mori fibration $X \to Z$. If $\dim Z \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\dim Z = 2$, then there exist effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ such that $(Z, \Delta)$ is klt, $−(K_Z + \Delta)$ is ample by [11, Corollary 3.3], and $(Z, \Delta')$ is $\delta$-klt, $−(K_Z + \Delta') \sim 0$ by [4, Corollary 1.7]. Note that $\delta$ depends only on $\epsilon$. We may choose sufficiently small $t > 0$ such that $(Z, (1−t)\Delta' + t\Delta)$ is still $\delta$-klt. In this case,

$$−(K_Z + (1−t)\Delta' + t\Delta) \sim −t(K_Z + \Delta)$$

is ample. Hence $Z$ is of $\delta$-Fano type.

As all the conjectures we need are confirmed in lower dimension, BBAB$_3$ and WBAB$_3$ hold by Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6.
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