

FAMILIES OF COMMUTING AUTOMORPHISMS, AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE AFFINE SPACE

SERGE CANTAT, ANDRIY REGETA, AND JUNYI XIE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the affine space of dimension $n \geq 1$ over an uncountable algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} is determined, among connected affine varieties, by its automorphism group (viewed as an abstract group). The proof is based on a new result concerning algebraic families of pairwise commuting automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Characterization of the affine space. In this paper, \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$ denotes the affine space of dimension n over \mathbf{k} .

Theorem A.— *Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed and uncountable field. Let n be a positive integer. Let X be a reduced, connected, affine variety over \mathbf{k} . If its automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ as an abstract group, then X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$ as a variety over \mathbf{k} .*

Note that no assumption is made on $\dim(X)$; in particular, we do not assume $\dim(X) = n$. This theorem is our main goal. It would be great to lighten the hypotheses on \mathbf{k} , but besides that the following remarks show the result is optimal:

- The affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$ is not determined by its automorphism group in the category of quasi-projective varieties because

- (1) $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n \times Z)$ for any projective variety Z with $\text{Aut}(Z) = \{\text{id}\}$;
- (2) for every algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} there is a projective variety Z over \mathbf{k} such that $\dim(Z) \geq 1$ and $\text{Aut}(Z) = \{\text{id}\}$ (one can take a general curve of genus ≥ 3 ; see [15] and [16, Main Theorem]).

- The connectedness is crucial: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the disjoint union of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$ and Z if Z is a variety with $\text{Aut}(Z) = \{\text{id}\}$.

1.2. Previous results. The literature contains already several theorems that may be compared to Theorem A. We refer to [4] for an interesting introduction and for the case of the complex affine plane; see [10, 11] for extensions and generalisations of Déserti's results in higher dimension. Some of those results assume $\text{Aut}(X)$ to be isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ as an ind-group; this is a rather strong hypothesis. Indeed, there are examples of affine varieties X and Y such that $\text{Aut}(X)$ and $\text{Aut}(Y)$ are

isomorphic as abstract groups, but not isomorphic as ind-groups (see [12, Theorem 2]). In [13] the authors prove that an affine toric surface is determined by its group of automorphisms in the category of affine surfaces; unfortunately, their methods do not work in higher dimension.

1.3. Commutative families. The proof of Theorem A relies on a new result concerning families of pairwise commuting automorphisms of affine varieties. To state it, we need a few standard notions. If V is a subset of a group G , we denote by $\langle V \rangle$ the subgroup generated by V , i.e. the smallest subgroup of G containing V . We say that V is **commutative** if $fg = gf$ for all pairs or equivalently, if $\langle V \rangle$ is an abelian group. In the following statement, $\text{Aut}(X)$ is viewed as an ind-group, so that it makes sense to speak of algebraic subsets of it (see the definitions in Section 2.2).

Theorem B.— *Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field and let X be an affine variety over \mathbf{k} . Let V be a commutative irreducible algebraic subvariety of $\text{Aut}(X)$ containing the identity. Then $\langle V \rangle$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$.*

It is crucial to assume that V contains the identity. Otherwise, a counter-example would be given by a single automorphism f of X for which the sequence $n \mapsto \deg(f^n)$ is not bounded (see Section 2.1). To get a family of positive dimension, consider the set V of automorphisms $f_a: (x, y) \mapsto (x, axy)$ of $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \setminus \{0\})^2$, for $a \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}$; V is commutative and irreducible, but $\langle V \rangle$ has infinitely many connected components (hence $\langle V \rangle$ is not algebraic). However, if V satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem B except that it does not contain the identity, the subset $V \cdot V^{-1} \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$ is irreducible, commutative and contains the identity; if its dimension is positive, Theorem B implies that $\text{Aut}(X)$ contains a commutative algebraic subgroup of positive dimension.

Remark 1.1. As noted by the referee and H. Kraft, Theorem B is equivalent to the following statement. *Let X be an affine variety, over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . Then, any connected commutative ind-subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(X)$ is a union of commutative algebraic subgroups. With the vocabulary of [6, §0.9 and 9.4], this means that the connected commutative ind-subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ are nested. Indeed, G is an increasing union of irreducible, connected, and commutative subvarieties V_i , $i \geq 1$, containing id_X (see § 2.2.2 below). By Theorem B, G is the increasing union of the algebraic subgroups $G_i := \langle V_i \rangle$.*

1.4. Acknowledgement. We thank Jean-Philippe Furter, Hanspeter Kraft, and Christian Urech for interesting discussions and comments, and the referee for helpful criticisms and suggestions. Hanspeter Kraft provided many interesting remarks that greatly improved this article, in particular the proof of Theorem 4.1, using the ind-group structure of centralizers, is simpler than the first proof we had written.

2. DEGREES AND IND-GROUPS

2.1. Degrees and compactifications. Let X be an affine variety. Embed X in the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$ for some N , and denote by $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ the affine coordinates of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$. Let f be an automorphism of X . Then, there are N polynomial functions $f_i \in \mathbf{k}[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_N(\mathbf{x}))$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X$. One says that f has degree $\leq d$ if one can choose the f_i of degree $\leq d$; the degree $\deg(f)$ can then be defined as the minimum of these degrees d . This notion depends on the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$.

Another way to proceed is as follows. To simplify the exposition, assume that all irreducible components of X have the same dimension $k = \dim(X)$. Fix a compactification \bar{X}_0 of X by a projective variety and let $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}_0$ be the normalization of \bar{X}_0 . If H is an ample line bundle on \bar{X} , and if f is a birational transformation of \bar{X} , one defines $\deg_H(f)$ (or simply $\deg(f)$) to be the intersection number

$$(2.1) \quad \deg(f) = (f^*H) \cdot (H)^{k-1}.$$

Since $\text{Aut}(X) \subset \text{Bir}(\bar{X})$, we obtain a second notion of degree. It is shown in [3, 21] (see also § 6 below) that these notions of degrees are compatible: if we change the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$, or the polarization H of \bar{X} , or the compactification \bar{X} , we get different degrees, but any two of these degree functions are always comparable, in the sense that there are positive constants satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad a \deg(f) \leq \deg'(f) \leq b \deg(f) \quad (\forall f \in \text{Aut}(X)).$$

A subset $V \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is of **bounded degree** if there is a uniform upper bound $\deg(g) \leq D < +\infty$ for all $g \in V$. This notion does not depend on the choice of degree. If $V \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is of bounded degree, then $V^{-1} = \{f^{-1}; f \in V\} \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is of bounded degree too (see [3] and [6] for instance); we shall not use this result.

2.2. Automorphisms of affine varieties and ind-groups. The notion of an ind-group goes back to Shafarevich, who called these objects infinite dimensional groups in [19]. We refer to [6, 9] for detailed introductions to this notion.

2.2.1. Ind-varieties. By an **ind-variety** we mean a set \mathcal{V} together with an ascending filtration $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that the following is satisfied:

- (1) $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \mathcal{V}_k$;
- (2) each \mathcal{V}_k has the structure of an algebraic variety over \mathbf{k} ;
- (3) for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the inclusion $\mathcal{V}_k \subset \mathcal{V}_{k+1}$ is a closed immersion.

We refer to [6] for the notion of equivalent filtrations on ind-varieties.

A map $\Phi: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ between ind-varieties $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{V}_k$ and $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_l \mathcal{W}_l$ is a **morphism** if for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ there is $l \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{V}_k) \subset \mathcal{W}_l$ and the induced map $\Phi: \mathcal{V}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_l$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Isomorphisms of ind-varieties are defined in the usual way. An ind-variety $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{V}_k$ has a natural Zariski topology: $S \subset \mathcal{V}$ is **closed** (resp. **open**) if $S_k := S \cap \mathcal{V}_k \subset \mathcal{V}_k$ is closed (resp. open) for

every k . A closed subset $S \subset \mathcal{V}$ inherits a natural structure of ind-variety and is called an **ind-subvariety**. An ind-variety \mathcal{V} is said to be affine if each \mathcal{V}_k is affine. We shall only consider affine ind-varieties and for simplicity we just call them ind-varieties. An ind-subvariety S is an **algebraic subvariety** of \mathcal{V} if $S \subset \mathcal{V}_k$ for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$; by definition, a **constructible subset** will always be a constructible subset in an algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{V} .

2.2.2. Ind-groups. The product of two ind-varieties is defined in the obvious way. An ind-variety \mathcal{G} is called an **ind-group** if the underlying set \mathcal{G} is a group and the map $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, defined by $(g, h) \mapsto gh^{-1}$, is a morphism of ind-varieties. If a subgroup H of \mathcal{G} is closed for the Zariski topology, then H is naturally an ind-subgroup of \mathcal{G} ; it is an **algebraic subgroup** if it is an algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{G} . A connected component of an ind-group \mathcal{G} , with a given filtration $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \dots$, is an increasing union of connected components \mathcal{G}_i^c of \mathcal{G}_i . The **neutral component** \mathcal{G}° of \mathcal{G} is the union of the connected components of the \mathcal{G}_i containing the neutral element $\text{id} \in \mathcal{G}$. We refer to [6], and in particular to Propositions 1.7.1 and 2.2.1, showing that \mathcal{G}° is an ind-subgroup in \mathcal{G} whose index is at most countable (the proof of [6] works in arbitrary characteristic).

We say that the ind-group \mathcal{G} acts **morphically** on X if the action $\mathcal{G} \times X \rightarrow X$ of \mathcal{G} on X induces a morphism of algebraic varieties $\mathcal{G}_i \times X \rightarrow X$ for every $i \in \mathbf{N}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be an affine variety over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ has the structure of an ind-group acting morphically on X .*

In particular, if V is an algebraic subset of $\text{Aut}(X)$, then $V(x) = \{v(x) \mid v \in V\} \subset X$ is constructible for every $x \in X$ by Chevalley's theorem. The proof can be found in [9, Proposition 2.1] (see also [6], Theorems 5.1.1 and 5.2.1): the authors assume that the field has characteristic 0, but their proof works in the general setting. To obtain a filtration, one starts with a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$, and define $\text{Aut}(X)_d$ to be the set of automorphisms f such that $\max\{\deg(f), \deg(f^{-1})\} \leq d$. For example, if $X = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$, the ind-group filtration $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)_d)$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ is defined by the following property: an automorphism f is in $(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)_d)$ if the polynomial formulas for $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and for its inverse $f^{-1} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \deg f_i \leq d \text{ and } \deg g_i \leq d, \quad (\forall i \leq n).$$

Note that an ind-subgroup is algebraic if and only if it is of bounded degree. Thus, we get the following basic fact.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be an affine variety over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . Let V be an irreducible algebraic subset of $\text{Aut}(X)$ that contains id . Then $\langle V \rangle$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, acting algebraically on X , if and only if $\langle V \rangle$ is of bounded degree.*

Example 2.3. Let $g \in \mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbf{C})$ be an irrational rotation, and set $V = \{g\} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{C}}^2)$. Then $\langle V \rangle$ is not an algebraic group, but it is Zariski dense in an abelian algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{C}}^2)$. This shows that $\mathrm{id} \in V$ is a necessary hypothesis.

Proof. (See also Chap I, Prop. 2.2 of [2]).— If $\langle V \rangle$ is algebraic, then it is contained in some $\mathrm{Aut}(X)_d$ and, as such, is of bounded degree; moreover, Theorem 2.1 implies that the action $\langle V \rangle \times X \rightarrow X$ is algebraic. If $\langle V \rangle$ is of bounded degree, then $\langle V \rangle^{-1} = \langle V \rangle$ is of bounded degree too, and $\langle V \rangle$ is contained in some $\mathrm{Aut}(X)_d$. The Zariski closure $\overline{\langle V \rangle}$ of $\langle V \rangle$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(X)_d$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$; we are going to show that $\overline{\langle V \rangle} = \langle V \rangle$. Set $W = V \cdot V^{-1}$, and note that W contains V because $\mathrm{id} \in V$. By definition, $\langle V \rangle$ is the increasing union of the subsets $W \subset W \cdot W \subset \cdots \subset W^k \subset \cdots$, and by Chevalley theorem, each $W^k \subset \overline{\langle V \rangle}$ is constructible. The $\overline{W^k}$ are irreducible, because V is irreducible, and their dimensions are bounded by the dimension of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)_d$; so, there exists $\ell \geq 1$ such that $\overline{W^\ell} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \overline{W^k} \subseteq \overline{\langle V \rangle}$. Since $\langle V \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \overline{W^k}$, we get $\overline{W^\ell} = \overline{\langle V \rangle}$; thus, there exists a Zariski dense open subset U of $\overline{\langle V \rangle}$ which is contained in W^ℓ . Now, pick any f in $\overline{\langle V \rangle}$. Then $(f \cdot U)$ and U are two Zariski dense open subsets of $\overline{\langle V \rangle}$, so $(f \cdot U)$ intersects U and this implies that f is in $U \cdot U^{-1} \subset \langle V \rangle$. So $\overline{\langle V \rangle} \subset \langle V \rangle$. \square

3. ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES OF COMMUTING AUTOMORPHISMS

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field. Let X be an affine variety over \mathbf{k} of dimension d . In this section, we prove Theorem B. Since $V \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is irreducible and contains the identity, every irreducible component of X is invariant under the action of V (and of $\langle V \rangle$); thus, we may and do assume X to be irreducible.

3.1. Invariant fibrations, base change, and degrees. Let B and Y be affine varieties and assume that B is irreducible. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow B$ be a dominant morphism. By definition, $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$ is the group of automorphisms $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ g = \pi$. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$ is a closed ind-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$.

Let B' be another irreducible affine variety, and let $\psi: B' \rightarrow B$ be a quasi-finite and dominant morphism. Pulling-back π by ψ , we get a new affine variety $Y \times_B B' = \{(y, b') \in Y \times B'; \pi(y) = \psi(b')\}$; the projections $\pi_Y: Y \times_B B' \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi': Y \times_B B' \rightarrow B'$ satisfy $\psi \circ \pi' = \pi \circ \pi_Y$. There is a natural homomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \iota_\psi: \mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\pi'}(Y \times_B B')$$

defined by $\iota_\psi(g) = g \times_B \mathrm{id}_{B'}$. For every $g \in \mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad g \circ \pi_Y = \pi_Y \circ \iota_\psi(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi' = \pi' \circ \iota_\psi(g).$$

If $\iota_\psi(g) = \mathrm{id}$ then $g \circ \pi_Y = \pi_Y$ and $g = \mathrm{id}$ because π_Y is dominant; hence, ι_ψ is an embedding. Since π_Y is dominant and generically finite, the next lemma follows from Proposition 6.3.

Lemma 3.1. *If S is a subset of $\text{Aut}_\pi(Y)$, then S is of bounded degree if and only if its image $\iota_\Psi(S)$ in $\text{Aut}_{\pi'}(Y \times_B B')$ is of bounded degree.*

Let us come back to the example $f(x, y) = (x, xy)$ from Section 1.3. This is an automorphism of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ that preserves the projection onto the first factor. The degrees of the iterates $f^n(x, y) = (x, x^n y)$ are not bounded, but on every fiber $\{x = x_0\}$, the restriction of f^n is the linear map $y \mapsto (x_0)^n y$, of constant degree 1. More generally, if $x \in B \mapsto A(x)$ is a regular map with values in $\text{GL}_N(\mathbf{k})$, then $g : (x, y) \mapsto (x, A(x)(y))$ is a regular automorphism of $B \times_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$ and, in most cases, we observe the same phenomenon: the degrees of the restrictions $g^n|_{\{x_0\} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N}$ are bounded, but the degrees of g^n are not.

If X is an affine variety over \mathbf{k} with a morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, we denote by η the generic point of B and X_η the generic fiber of π . If G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\pi(X)$, then its restriction to X_η may have bounded degree even if G is not a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ of bounded degree: this is shown by the previous example.

The next proposition provides a converse result. To state it, we make use of the following notation. Let B be an irreducible affine variety, and let $O(B)$ be the \mathbf{k} -algebra of its regular functions. By definition, \mathbb{A}_B^N denotes the affine space $\text{Spec } O(B)[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ over the ring $O(B)$ and $\text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N)$ denotes the group of $O(B)$ -**automorphisms** of \mathbb{A}_B^N ; $\text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N)$ is just another notation for $\text{Aut}_{\text{pr}_B}(\mathbb{A}^N \times B)$, where $\text{pr}_B : \mathbb{A}^N \times B \rightarrow B$ is the projective map to the second factor (see the first lines of § 3.1). Similarly, $\text{GL}_N(O(B))$ is the linear group over the ring $O(B)$. The inclusion $\text{GL}_N(O(B)) \subset \text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N)$ is an embedding of ind-groups. Indeed, the group $\text{GL}_N(O(B))$ may be identified to space of morphisms $\text{Mor}(B, \text{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}))$ between the affine varieties B and $\text{GL}_N(\mathbf{k})$. As a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N)$ it is closed, because it coincides with

$$\{f \in \text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N) ; \deg f, \deg f^{-1} \leq 1 \text{ and } f \text{ fixes} \\ \text{the zero section } 0 \times B \subseteq \mathbb{A}^N \times B = \mathbb{A}_B^N\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be an irreducible and normal affine variety over \mathbf{k} with a dominant morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ to an irreducible affine variety B over \mathbf{k} . Let η be the generic point of B and X_η the generic fiber of π . Let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\pi(X)$ whose restriction to X_η is of bounded degree. Then there exists*

- (a) *a nonempty affine open subset B' of B ,*
- (b) *an embedding $\tau : X_{B'} := \pi^{-1}(B') \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{B'}^r$ over B' for some $r \geq 1$,*
- (c) *and an embedding $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_r(O(B')) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{B'}(\mathbb{A}_{B'}^r)$,*

such that $\tau \circ g = \rho(g) \circ \tau$ for every $g \in G$.

Notation.— For $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and $\xi \in O(X)$ (resp. in $\mathbf{k}(X)$), we denote by $f^*\xi$ the function $\xi \circ f$. The field of constant functions is identified with $\mathbf{k} \subset O(X)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Shrinking B , we assume B to be normal.

Pick any closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}_B^\ell$ over B . Let X' be the Zariski closure of X in \mathbb{P}_B^ℓ . Let \bar{X} be the normalization of X' , with the structure morphism $\bar{\pi} : \bar{X} \rightarrow B$; thus, $\bar{\pi} : \bar{X} \rightarrow B$ is a normal and projective scheme over B containing X as a Zariski open subset. By Proposition 3.1 in [7, Chap. II], $D := \bar{X} \setminus X$ is an effective Weil divisor of \bar{X} . Denote by \bar{X}_η the generic fiber of $\bar{\pi}$ and by D_η the generic fiber of $\bar{\pi}|_D$. Shrinking B again if necessary, we may assume that all irreducible components of D meet the generic fiber, i.e. $D = \bar{D}_\eta$.

Write $X = \text{Spec} A$, where $A = O(X)$. Let M be a finite dimensional subspace of A such that $1 \in M$ and A is generated by M as a \mathbf{k} -algebra. Since the action of G on X_η is of bounded degree, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that the divisor

$$(3.3) \quad (\text{Div}(g^*v) + mD)|_{\bar{X}_\eta}$$

is effective for every $v \in M$ and $g \in G$. Now, consider $\text{Div}(g^*v) + mD$ as a divisor of \bar{X} and write $\text{Div}(g^*v) + mD = D_1 - D_2$ where D_1 and D_2 are effective and have no common irreducible component. Since $g \in \text{Aut}_\pi(X)$, we get $g^*v \in A$ and $D_2 \cap X = \emptyset$. Moreover, $D_2 \cap \bar{X}_\eta = \emptyset$. So D_2 is contained in $\bar{X} \setminus X$, but then we deduce that D_2 is empty because $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is covered by D and $D = \bar{D}_\eta$.

Observe that $H^0(\bar{X}, mD)$ is a finitely generated $O(B)$ -module. Denote by N the G -invariant $O(B)$ -submodule of A generated by the g^*v , for $g \in G$ and $v \in M$. Since $N \subseteq H^0(\bar{X}, mD)$, N is a finitely generated $O(B)$ -module. Let r be the dimension of the $\mathbf{k}(B)$ -vector space $N \otimes_{O(B)} \mathbf{k}(B)$. Fix a basis (w_1, \dots, w_r) of this space made of elements $w_i \in N$. After shrinking B , we may assume that N is a free $O(B)$ -module generated by w_1, \dots, w_r . Let W be a free $O(B)$ -module of rank r with a basis (z_1, \dots, z_r) ; thus, $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r O(B)z_i$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Spec } O(B)[W] = \text{Spec } O(B)[z_1, \dots, z_r] = \mathbb{A}_{O(B)}^r.$$

Let $\tau_W^* : W \rightarrow N$ be the isomorphism of modules defined by $\tau_W^*(z_i) = w_i$. The action of G on N induces a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_B(W)$ such that $\tau_W^* \circ \rho(g) = g^* \circ \tau_W^*$.

Using the basis (z_i) , we obtain a homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(O(B))$. Let τ be the morphism $X \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } O(B)[W] = \mathbb{A}_{O(B)}^r$ over B induced by $\tau_W^* : W \rightarrow N \subseteq A$. The group $\text{GL}_r(O(B))$ can naturally be identified to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_{O(B)}^r)$, and then $\tau \circ g = \rho(g) \circ \tau$ for every $g \in G$. \square

3.2. Orbits. If S is a subset of $\text{Aut}(X)$ and x is a point of X the S -orbit of x is the subset $S(x) = \{f(x); f \in S\}$. Let V be an irreducible algebraic subvariety of $\text{Aut}(X)$ containing id . Set $W = V \cdot V^{-1}$; it is a constructible subset of $\text{Aut}(X)$ containing V (for $\text{id} \in V$). Then, the group $\langle V \rangle$ is the union of the sets

$$(3.5) \quad W^k = \{f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_k; f_j \in W \text{ for all } j\}.$$

Since W contains id , the W^k form a non-decreasing sequence

$$(3.6) \quad W^0 = \{\text{id}\} \subset W \subset W^2 \subset \dots \subset W^k \subset \dots$$

of constructible subsets of $\text{Aut}(X)$; their closures are irreducible, because so is V . In particular, $k \mapsto \dim(W^k)$ is non-decreasing.

The W^k -orbit of a point $x \in X$ is the image of $W^k \times \{x\}$ by the morphism $\text{Aut}(X) \times X \rightarrow X$ defining the action on X : applying Chevalley's theorem one more time, $W^k(x)$ is a constructible subset of X . If $U \subset X$ is open, its W^k -orbit $W^k(U)$ is open too; thus, $\langle W \rangle(U) = \cup_{k \geq 0} W^k(U)$ is open in X .

An increasing union of irreducible constructible sets needs not be stationary: the sequence of subsets of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ defined by $Z_k = (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{y=0\}) \cup_{j=1}^k \{(j,0)\}$ provides such an example. However, we shall see in the next proposition that the $W^k(x)$ are better behaved.

Let π_1 and π_2 be the projections from $X \times X$ to the first and second factor, respectively. Let Δ_X be the diagonal in $X \times X$; if Y is a subvariety of X , set

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta_Y = \pi_1^{-1}(Y) \cap \Delta_X = \{(y,y) \in X \times X; y \in Y\} \subset X \times X.$$

Consider the morphism $\Phi: \text{Aut}(X) \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ defined by

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi(g, x) = (x, g(x)),$$

and set $\Gamma_i = \Phi(W^i \times X)$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. The family $(\Gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ forms a non-decreasing sequence of constructible sets; we denote by Γ_∞ their union. Then, consider the action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on $X \times X$ given by $g \cdot (x, y) = (x, g(y))$. By construction, $\Gamma_i = W^i \cdot \Delta_X$ and $\Gamma_\infty = \langle W \rangle \cdot \Delta_X$; similarly $W^i \cdot \Delta_Y = \Gamma_i \cap \pi_1^{-1}(Y)$ and $\langle W \rangle \cdot \Delta_Y = \Gamma_\infty \cap \pi_1^{-1}(Y)$ for every subvariety $Y \subset X$.

Lemma 3.3. *The subset Γ_∞ of $X \times X$ is constructible.*

Proof. Let us prove, by an induction on $\dim(Y)$, that $\pi_1^{-1}(Y) \cap \Gamma_\infty$ is constructible for every irreducible subvariety $Y \subseteq X$. By convention, set $\dim Y = -1$ when $Y = \emptyset$. So, the case $\dim Y = -1$ is trivial. Now assume that $\dim Y \geq 0$ and that the result holds in dimension $< \dim(Y)$. Set $Z_Y = \overline{\langle W \rangle \cdot \Delta_Y}$; this set is invariant under the action of $\langle W \rangle$ on $X \times X$. Since $\overline{W^i \cdot \Delta_Y}$ is irreducible and increasing for each $i \geq 0$, there is $m \geq 0$, such that

$$(3.9) \quad Z_Y = \overline{\langle W \rangle \cdot \Delta_Y} = \overline{W^i \cdot \Delta_Y} \quad (\forall i \geq m).$$

Then there is a dense open subset U_Y of Z_Y which is contained in $W^m \cdot \Delta_Y$, hence in $\langle W \rangle \cdot \Delta_Y$. Shrinking U_Y if necessary, we may assume that $\pi_1(U_Y)$ is open in Y . Then $Y \setminus \pi_1(U_Y)$ is a closed subset of X , the irreducible components of which have dimension $< \dim Y$. By the induction hypothesis, $\pi_1^{-1}(Y \setminus \pi_1(U_Y)) \cap \Gamma_\infty$ is constructible. We also know that $\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(U_Y)) \cap \Gamma_\infty = \langle W \rangle \cdot U_Y$ is an open subset of Z_Y . Thus, $\pi_1^{-1}(Y) \cap \Gamma_\infty = (\pi_1^{-1}(Y \setminus \pi_1(U_Y)) \cap \Gamma_\infty) \cup (\pi_1^{-1}(\pi_1(U_Y)) \cap \Gamma_\infty)$ is constructible. \square

Proposition 3.4. *The orbits $W^k(x)$ satisfy the following properties.*

- (1) *The function $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mapsto \dim(W^k(x))$ is non-decreasing.*

- (2) *The function $x \in X \mapsto \dim(W^k(x))$ is lower semi-continuous in the Zariski topology: the subsets $\{x \in X; \dim(W^k(x)) \leq n\}$ are Zariski closed for all pairs (n, k) of integers.*
- (3) *The integers*

$$s(x) := \max_{k \geq 0} \{\dim(W^k(x))\} \quad \text{and} \quad s_X := \max_{x \in X} \{s(x)\}$$

are bounded from above by $\dim(X)$.

- (4) *There is a Zariski dense open subset \mathcal{U} of X and an integer k_0 such that $\dim(W^k(x)) = s_X$ for all $k \geq k_0$ and all $x \in \mathcal{U}$.*
- (5) *There is an integer $\ell \geq 0$, such that for every x in X , $W^\ell(x) = \langle W \rangle(x)$ and $W^\ell(x)$ is an open subset of $\overline{\langle W \rangle(x)}$.*

This result and its proof below are analogous to [6, Prop. 7.1.2] and [1, Sec. 1].

Proof. The first assertion follows from the inclusions (3.6), and the third one is obvious. Since the action $(f, x) \in W^k \times X \mapsto f(x) \in X$ is a morphism, the second and fourth assertions follow from Chevalley's constructibility result and the semi-continuity of the dimension of the fibers (see [8, II, Exercise 3.19] and [20, Section I.6.3, Corollary] respectively). By Lemma 3.3, Γ_∞ is constructible. Since it is the increasing union of the constructible subsets Γ_i , there is an integer ℓ such that $\Gamma_\infty = \Gamma_i$ for $i \geq \ell$. Then, $W^\ell(x) = \langle W \rangle(x)$ because $W^i(x) = \pi_2(\Gamma_i \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{x\})$ and $\langle W \rangle(x) = \pi_2(\Gamma_\infty \cap \pi_1^{-1}\{x\})$. Now, the constructible set $W^\ell(x)$ contains a dense open subset U of $\overline{\langle W \rangle(x)}$; since $\langle W \rangle$ acts transitively on $W^\ell(x)$, $W^\ell(x) = \langle W \rangle(U)$ is open in $\overline{\langle W \rangle(x)}$. \square

3.3. Open orbits. Let us assume in this paragraph that $s_X = \dim X$: there is an orbit $W^k(x_0)$ which is open and dense and coincides with $\langle W \rangle(x_0)$. We fix such a pair (k, x_0) . Let f be an element of $\langle W \rangle$. Since $f(x_0)$ is in the set $W^k(x_0)$, there is an element g of W^k such that $g(x_0) = f(x_0)$, i.e. $g^{-1} \circ f(x_0) = x_0$. By commutativity, $(g^{-1} \circ f)(h(x_0)) = h(x_0)$ for every h in W^k , and this shows that $g^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}$ because $W^k(x_0)$ is dense in X . Thus, $\langle W \rangle$ coincides with W^k , and $\langle W \rangle = \langle V \rangle$ is an irreducible algebraic subgroup of the ind-group $\text{Aut}(X)$.

Thus, Theorem B is proved in case $s_X = \dim X$. The proof when $s_X < \dim X$ occupies the next section, and is achieved in § 3.4.4.

3.4. No dense orbit. Assume now that there is no dense orbit; in other words, $s_X < \dim(X)$. Fix an integer $\ell > 0$ and a W -invariant open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad s(x) = s_X \quad \text{and} \quad W^\ell(x) = \langle W \rangle(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{U}$ (see Proposition 3.4, assertions (4) and (5)).

3.4.1. *A fibration.* We start with a construction which is reminiscent of Rosenlicht’s quotient theorem [17]: instead of looking at orbits of an algebraic group G , we consider “orbits” of the commutative set of transformations W^ℓ .

Let C be an irreducible algebraic subvariety of X of codimension s_X that intersects the general orbit $W^\ell(x)$ transversally (in k points). As in § 3.2, denote by $\pi_1 : X \times X \rightarrow X$ the projection to the first factor. The morphism

$$(3.11) \quad \pi' := (\pi_1)|_{(X \times C) \cap \Gamma_\ell} : (X \times C) \cap \Gamma_\ell \rightarrow X$$

is generically finite of degree k . So there is a non-empty open subset \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $\pi'|_{\pi'^{-1}(\mathcal{V})} : \pi'^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is finite étale. Observe that for every $g \in \langle W \rangle$, $g(\mathcal{V})$ is open in \mathcal{U} and $\pi'|_{\pi'^{-1}(g(\mathcal{V}))}$ is finite étale of degree k . Set $Y := \langle W \rangle(\mathcal{V})$; it is open in \mathcal{U} and satisfies

- (i) for each $x \in Y$ the intersection of C and $W^\ell(x)$ is transverse and contains exactly k points;
- (ii) Y is W -invariant.

To each point $x \in Y$, we associate the intersection $C \cap W^\ell(x)$, viewed as a point in the space $C^{[k]}$ of cycles of length k and dimension 0 in C . This gives a dominant morphism

$$(3.12) \quad \pi : Y \rightarrow B$$

where, by definition, B is the irreducible variety $B = \overline{\pi(Y)} \subset C^{[k]}$. The group $\langle W \rangle$ is now contained in $\text{Aut}_\pi(Y)$. Shrinking B and Y accordingly, we may assume that B is normal and that π is surjective. Let η be the generic point of B .

The fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ of $b \in B$, we denote by Y_b . By construction, for every $b \in B(\mathbf{k})$, Y_b is an orbit of $\langle W \rangle$; and Section 3.3 shows that Y_b is isomorphic to the image $\langle W \rangle_b$ of $\langle W \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(Y_b)$: this group $\langle W \rangle_b$ coincides with the image of W^ℓ in $\text{Aut}(Y_b)$ and the action of $\langle W \rangle$ on Y_b corresponds to the action of $\langle W \rangle_b$ on itself by translation. Thus, Section 3.3 implies the following properties

- (1) every fiber of π , in particular its generic fiber, is geometrically irreducible;
- (2) the generic fiber of π is normal and affine, shrinking B (and Y accordingly) again, we may assume B and Y to be normal and affine;
- (3) the action of $\langle W \rangle$ on the generic fiber Y_η has bounded degree.

3.4.2. *Reduction to $Y = U_B \times_B (\mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s)$.* In this section, the variety Y will be modified, so as to reduce our study to the case when Y is an abelian group scheme over B . Note that B and Y will be modified several times in this paragraph, keeping the same names.

By Proposition 3.2, after shrinking B , there exist an embedding $\tau : Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^N$ for some $N \geq 0$ and a homomorphism $\rho : \langle W \rangle \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_N(O(B)) \subseteq \text{Aut}_B(\mathbb{A}_B^N)$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \tau \circ g = \rho(g) \circ \tau \quad (\forall g \in \langle W \rangle).$$

Via τ , we view Y as a B -subscheme of \mathbb{A}_B^N , and via ρ we view $\langle W \rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}(B))$. Consider the inclusion of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}(B))$ into $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B))$, and compose it with the embedding of W into $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathcal{O}(B))$. Denote by $\langle W \rangle_\eta$ the Zariski closure of $\langle W \rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B), Y_\eta) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(Y_\eta)$, where $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B), Y_\eta)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B))$ which preserves Y_η . There is a natural inclusion of sets $W \hookrightarrow W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B)$: a point x of W , viewed as a morphism $x: \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}(x) \rightarrow W$, is mapped to the point

$$(3.14) \quad x^B: \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}(x)(B \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(x)) = \mathrm{Spec} \mathrm{Frac}(\mathbf{k}(x) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B)) \rightarrow W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B),$$

where $\mathbf{k}(x)(B \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(x))$ is the function field of $B \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(x)$ which is the variety over the field $\mathbf{k}(x)$; note that \mathbf{k} being algebraically closed, $B \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{k}(x)$ and $\mathbf{k}(x) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B)$ is an integral domain. The image of this inclusion is Zariski dense in $W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B)$. The morphism $W \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B), Y_\eta)$ naturally extends to a morphism $W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B), Y_\eta)$. It follows that $\langle W \rangle_\eta$ is the Zariski closure of $\langle W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B) \rangle$ in $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbf{k}(B), Y_\eta)$.

Since $W \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k}(B)$ is geometrically irreducible, $\langle W \rangle_\eta$ is a geometrically irreducible commutative linear algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}(B)$. As a consequence ([14], Chap. 16.b), there exists a finite extension L of $\mathbf{k}(B)$ and an integer $s \geq 0$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad \langle W \rangle_\eta \otimes_{\mathbf{k}(B)} L \simeq U_L \times \mathbb{G}_{m,L}^s$$

where U_L is a unipotent commutative linear algebraic group over L .

Let $\psi: B' \rightarrow B$ be the normalization of B in L . We obtain a new fibration $\pi': Y \times_B B' \rightarrow B'$, together with an embedding ι_ψ of $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\pi'}(Y \times_B B')$; by Lemma 3.1, the subgroup $\langle W \rangle$ has bounded degree if and only if its image $\iota_\psi \langle W \rangle$ has bounded degree too. Because the generic fiber of π is geometrically irreducible, $Y \times_B B'$ is irreducible. After such a base change, we may assume that $\langle W \rangle_\eta \simeq U_\eta \times \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbf{k}(B)}^s$, where U_η corresponds to the group U_L of Equation (3.15). Replacing (this new) B by an affine open subset, and shrinking Y accordingly, we may assume that $Y = U_B \times_B (\mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s)$, where U_B is an integral unipotent commutative algebraic group scheme over B , and

$$(3.16) \quad W \subseteq U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$$

acts on Y by translation; here $U_B(B)$ and $\mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B)$ denote the ind-varieties of sections of the structure morphisms $U_B \rightarrow B$ and $\mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s \rightarrow B$ respectively.

Remark 3.5. A section $\sigma: B \rightarrow U_B$ defines an automorphism of $U_B \simeq B \times_B U_B$ by $\phi(\sigma \times_B \mathrm{id}_{U_B})$, where $\phi: U_B \times_B U_B \rightarrow U_B$ is the multiplication morphism of U_B ; it defines in the same way an element of $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$. Similarly $\mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B)$ embeds into $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$, so $U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B) \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$, and this is the meaning of (3.16).

Remark 3.6. Both $U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_\pi(Y)$ are ind-varieties over \mathbf{k} and the inclusions in (3.16) are morphisms between ind-varieties.

Now, to prove Theorem B, we only need to show that W is contained in an algebraic subgroup of $U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_{m,B}^s(B)$.

3.4.3. *Structure of U_B .* Let B be a normal affine variety over the algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} , and let U_B be an integral, connected and unipotent algebraic group scheme over B (we do not assume U_B to be commutative here).

Lemma 3.7. *The ind-group $U_B(B)$ is an increasing union of algebraic subgroups.*

In the language of [6], Lemma 3.7 says that $U_B(B)$ is a nested ind-group (see Remark 1.1). Before describing the proof, let us assume that U_B is just an r -dimensional additive group $\mathbb{G}_{a,B}^r$. Then, each element of U_B can be written

$$(3.17) \quad f = (a_1^f(z), \dots, a_r^f(z))$$

where each $a_i^f(z)$ is an element of $O(B)$; its n -th power is given by $f^n = (na_1^f(z), \dots, na_r^f(z))$. Thus, viewed as automorphisms of Y , the degrees of the f^n are bounded independently of n , by (a function of) the degrees of the a_i^f . Our proof is a variation on this basic remark, with two extra difficulties: the structure of U_B may be more subtle in positive characteristic (see [18], §VII.2); instead of iterating one element f , we need to control the group U_B itself.

Proof. Denote by $\pi_U : U_B \rightarrow B$ the structure morphism. Recall, from the end of Section 3.4.2, that B is an affine variety.

The proof is by induction on the relative dimension of $\pi_U : U_B \rightarrow B$. If this dimension is zero, there is nothing to prove. So, we assume that the lemma holds for relative dimensions $\leq \ell$, for some $\ell \geq 0$, and we want to prove it when the relative dimension is $\ell + 1$. Denote by U_η the generic fiber of π_U . Our field \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed, and the group U_B is connected, so by Corollary 14.55 of [14] (see also § 14.63), there exists a finite field extension L of $\mathbf{k}(B)$ such that $U_L := U_\eta \otimes_{\mathbf{k}(B)} L$ sits in a central exact sequence

$$(3.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,L} \rightarrow U_L \xrightarrow{q_L} V_L \rightarrow 0,$$

where V_L is an irreducible unipotent group of dimension ℓ and V_L is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}_L^ℓ as an L -variety; moreover, there is an isomorphism of L -varieties $\phi_L : U_L \rightarrow V_L \times \mathbb{G}_{a,L}$ such that the quotient morphism q_L is given by the projection onto the first factor. So we have a section $s_L : V_L \rightarrow U_L$ such that $q_L \circ s_L = \text{id}$. The section s_L is just given by a regular function on V_L , it needs not be a homomorphism of groups. Doing the base change given by the normalization of B in L , and then shrinking the base if necessary, we may assume that B is affine and

- there is an exact sequence of group schemes over B ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,B} \rightarrow U_B \xrightarrow{q_B} V_B \rightarrow 0,$$

where V_B is a unipotent group scheme over B of relative dimension ℓ ;

- there is an isomorphism of B -schemes $V_B \simeq \mathbb{A}_B^\ell$;
- s_L extends to a section $s_B : V_B \rightarrow U_B$ over B : $q_B \circ s_B = \text{id}$.

For $b \in B$, denote by U_b, V_b, q_b, s_b the specialization of U_B, V_B, q_B, s_B at b . We denote by \circ_U and \circ_V the group laws on the groups U_B and V_B respectively. The morphism of B -schemes $\beta : U_B \rightarrow V_B \times \mathbb{G}_{a,B}$ sending a point x in the fiber U_b to the point $(q_b(x), x - s_b(q_b(x)))$ of the fiber $V_b \times \mathbb{G}_{a,b}$ defines an isomorphism. We use β to transport the group law of U_B into $V_B \times \mathbb{G}_{a,B}$; this defines a law $*$ on $V_B \times \mathbb{G}_{a,B}$, given by

$$(3.19) \quad a_1 * a_2 = \beta(\beta^{-1}(a_1) \circ_U \beta^{-1}(a_2)),$$

for a_1 and a_2 in $V_B \times \mathbb{G}_{a,B}$. Denote by $O(V_B \times_B V_B)$ the function ring of the \mathbf{k} -variety $V_B \times_B V_B \simeq B \times \mathbb{A}^\ell \times \mathbb{A}^\ell$. We write a point in $V_B \times_B V_B$ as (b, x_1, x_2) where $x_1, x_2 \in V_B$ with the same image b in B . There is an element $F(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)$ of $O(V_B \times_B V_B)[y_1, y_2]$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad (x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \circ_V x_2, F(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2))$$

for all $b \in B$ and $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in V_b \times \mathbb{G}_a$. For every fixed (x_1, y_1, x_2) , the morphism $y_2 \mapsto F(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)$ is an automorphism of the variety \mathbb{G}_a . Thus, we can write

$$(3.21) \quad F(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = C_0(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1) + C_2(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1)y_2.$$

The function $C_2(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1)$ does not vanish on $V_B \times_B V_B \times \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq B \times \mathbb{A}^{2\ell+1}$; thus, C_2 is an element of $O(B)$. By symmetry we get

$$(3.22) \quad F(b, x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = C_0(b, x_1, x_2) + C_1(b)y_1 + C_2(b)y_2$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad (x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \circ_V x_2, C_0(b, x_1, x_2) + C_1(b)y_1 + C_2(b)y_2).$$

Now, apply this equation for $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ (the neutral element of V_B). The restriction of β to the fiber $q_b^{-1}(0)$ is $x \mapsto x - s_b(0)$, so for $(0, y_1)$ and $(0, y_2)$ in $V_b \times \mathbb{G}_a$, we obtain $(0, y_1) * (0, y_2) = (0, y_1 + y_2 + s_b(0))$; then $C_1 = C_2 = 1$.

We identify now the ind-varieties $U_B(B)$ and $V_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_a(B)$. By induction, the ind-group $V_B(B)$ is an increasing union of algebraic subgroups V_i ; as observed before the proof of this lemma, the ind-group $\mathbb{G}_a(B)$ is an increasing union of subgroups G_j . If S and T are elements of $V_B(B)$ and $\mathbb{G}_a(B)$ respectively, we set

$$(3.24) \quad \delta_V(S) = \min\{i; S \in V_i\}, \quad \delta_{\mathbb{G}_a}(T) = \min\{j; T \in G_j\}.$$

Each element of $U_B(B)$ is given by a section $(S, T) \in V_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_a(B)$ and the group law in $U_B(B)$ corresponds to the law

$$(3.25) \quad (S_1, T_1) * (S_2, T_2) = (S_1 \circ_V S_2, C_0(S_1, S_2) + T_1 + T_2)$$

because $C_1 = C_2 = 1$. Here $C_0 : V_B(B) \times V_B(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(B)$ is a morphism of ind-varieties, so there is a function $\alpha : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$(3.26) \quad \delta_{\mathbb{G}_a} C_0(S_1, S_2) \leq \alpha(\delta_V(S_1) + \delta_V(S_2)).$$

Now, note that $V_i \times G_{\alpha(2i)}$ is an algebraic subgroup of $U_B(B)$, because

$$(3.27) \quad \delta_{\mathbb{G}_a}(C_0(S_1, S_2) + T_1 + T_2) \leq \max\{\delta_{\mathbb{G}_a}(C_0(S_1, S_2)), \delta_{\mathbb{G}_a}(T_1), \delta_{\mathbb{G}_a}(T_2)\}.$$

Thus, $U_B(B)$ is the increasing union of the algebraic subgroups $V_i \times G_{\alpha(2i)}$. \square

3.4.4. Subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ and conclusion.

Lemma 3.8. *If Z is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ containing id , then $\langle Z \rangle$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$.*

This lemma may be derived from [6, Proposition 4.4.1.]; it means that $(\mathbb{G}_m^s(B))^\circ$ is nested. We provide the proof for completeness.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. Pick a projective compactification \bar{B} of B . After taking the normalization of \bar{B} , we may assume \bar{B} to be normal. If h is any non-constant rational function on \bar{B} , denote by $\text{Div}(h)$ the divisor $(h)_0 - (h)_\infty$ on \bar{B} .

Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$ be the standard coordinates on \mathbb{G}_m^s . Each element $f \in \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ can be written as $(b_1^f(z), \dots, b_s^f(z))$, for some $b_j^f \in \mathcal{O}^*(B)$. Let R be an effective divisor whose support $\text{Support}(R)$ contains $\bar{B} \setminus B$. Replacing R by some large multiple, Z is contained in the subset P_R of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ made of automorphisms $f \in \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ such that $\text{Div}(b_i^f) + R \geq 0$ and $\text{Div}(1/b_i^f) + R \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let us study the structure of this set $P_R \subset \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$.

Let K be the set of pairs (D_1, D_2) of effective divisors supported on $\bar{B} \setminus B$ such that D_1 and D_2 have no common irreducible component, $D_1 \leq R$, $D_2 \leq R$, and D_1 and D_2 are rationally equivalent. Then K is a finite set. For every pair $\alpha = (D_1^\alpha, D_2^\alpha) \in K$, we choose a function $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y)$ such that $\text{Div}(h_\alpha) = D_1^\alpha - D_2^\alpha$; if h is another element of $\mathcal{O}^*(Y)$ such that $\text{Div}(h) = D_1^\alpha - D_2^\alpha$, then $h/h_\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$. By convention $\alpha = 0$ means that $\alpha = (0, 0)$, and in that case we choose h_α to be the constant function 1. For every $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s) \in K^s$, denote by P_β the set of elements $f \in \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ such that the $b_i^f \in \mathcal{O}^*(B)$ satisfy $\text{Div}(b_i^f) = D_1^{\alpha_i} - D_2^{\alpha_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then $P_\beta \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^s(\mathbf{k})$ is an irreducible algebraic variety over \mathbf{k} . Moreover, $\text{id} \in P_\beta$ if and only if $\beta = 0$, and P_0 is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m^s(\mathbf{k})$ as an algebraic group.

Observe that P_R is the disjoint union $P_R = \bigsqcup_{\beta \in K^s} P_\beta$. Since $\text{id} \in Z$, Z is irreducible, and $Z \subseteq P_R$, we obtain $Z \subset P_0$. Since P_0 is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$, $\langle Z \rangle$ coincides with $(Z \cdot Z^{-1})^\ell$ for some $\ell \geq 1$, and $\langle Z \rangle$ is a connected algebraic group. \square

Proof of Theorem B. By Proposition 2.2, we only need to prove that $W = \langle V \rangle$ is of bounded degree. By Lemma 3.1 W is a subgroup of bounded degree if and only if

$W \subset \text{Aut}_\pi(Y)$ is a subgroup of bounded degree. Moreover, by (3.16), W is a subgroup of $U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m^s(B) \subset \text{Aut}_\pi(Y)$. Denote by $\pi_1 : U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m^s(B) \rightarrow U_B(B)$ the projection to the first factor and $\pi_2 : U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m^s(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$ the projection to the second. By Lemma 3.7, there exists an algebraic subgroup H_1 of $U_B(B)$ containing $\pi_1(W)$. Since $\pi_2(W)$ is irreducible and contains id , Lemma 3.8 shows that $\pi_2(W)$ is contained in an algebraic subgroup H_2 of $\mathbb{G}_m(B)$. Then W is contained in the algebraic subgroup $H_1 \times H_2$ of $U_B(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m^s(B)$. This concludes the proof. \square

4. ACTIONS OF ADDITIVE GROUPS

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathbf{k} be an uncountable, algebraically closed field. Let X be a connected affine variety over \mathbf{k} . Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ be an algebraic subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^r for some $r \geq 1$. Let $H = \{h \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid gh = hg \text{ for every } g \in G\}$ be the centralizer of G . If H/G is at most countable then G acts simply transitively on X , so that X is isomorphic to G as a G -variety.*

This section is devoted to the proof of this result. A proof is described in [6, §10.4] when X is irreducible and the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is 0; we just explain how to extend the proof of Furter and Kraft.

Proof. Let X_1 be an irreducible component of X on which G acts non-trivially. Denote by X_j , $j \geq 2$ the remaining components.

Suppose that G acts transitively on X_1 . Then $X = X_1$, because otherwise X_1 would intersect another component of X on a proper G -invariant set; so, the statement is proved in that case. We now assume towards a contradiction that G does not act transitively on X_1 . Pick a G -orbit $O_1 \subset X_1$, and set $Z_1 = \overline{O_1}$ or $Z_1 = \overline{X_1} \setminus \overline{O_1}$ if $\overline{O_1} = X_1$. By construction, Z_1 is a proper, closed, and G -invariant subset of X_1 . Hence, the ideal $I_1 \subset O(X)$ of functions vanishing on Z_1 and on each of the X_i for $i \neq 1$ is not reduced to 0. If we choose a function f_1 in $I_1 \setminus \{0\}$, its G -orbit generates a G -invariant, finite dimensional subspace of I_1 (see [22, §1.2]); since G is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^r , there is a non-zero invariant vector f in this space (this is an instance of the Lie-Kolchin theorem). Such a function is not constant since it vanishes on Z_1 . This implies that I_1^G is an infinite dimensional vector space over \mathbf{k} , for it contains $f\mathbf{k}[f]$.

Identify $G(\mathbf{k})$ to the vector space \mathbf{k}^r , and pick an element $g_0 \in G(\mathbf{k})$ that acts non-trivially on X_1 . To each s in I_1^G we associate the map $x \in X(\mathbf{k}) \mapsto s(x)g_0 \in \mathbf{k}^r$ and the automorphism of X defined by $F_s(x) = (s(x)g_0)(x)$. If $F_s = \text{id}_X$, then g_0 is an element of the stabilizer G_x for every x at which $s(x) \neq 0$. If s vanishes on a proper subset of X_1 , this implies that g_0 acts trivially on X_1 , a contradiction. So, $F_s \neq \text{id}_X$ for $s \neq 0$. This means that $F : s \in I_1^G \mapsto F_s \in H$ is injective.

Now, by Proposition 10.4.4(1) of [6], the homomorphism F is a morphism of ind-groups. Thus, H contains an infinite dimensional ind-group. Since \mathbf{k} is not countable, we get a contradiction and we are done. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section, we prove Theorem A. So, \mathbf{k} is an uncountable, algebraically closed field, X is a connected affine algebraic variety over \mathbf{k} , and $\varphi : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ is an isomorphism of (abstract) groups.

5.1. Translations and dilatations. Let $\text{Tr} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ be the group of all translations and Tr_i the subgroup of translations of the i -th coordinate:

$$(5.1) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_i + c, \dots, x_n)$$

for some c in \mathbf{k} . Let $D \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{k}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ be the diagonal group (viewed as a maximal torus) and let D_i be the subgroup of automorphisms

$$(5.2) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, ax_i, \dots, x_n)$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$. A direct computation shows that Tr (resp. D) coincides with its centralizer in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a subgroup of Tr whose index is at most countable. Then, the centralizer of G in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is Tr .*

Proof. The centralizer of G contains Tr . Let us prove the reverse inclusion. The index of G in Tr being at most countable, G is Zariski dense in Tr . Thus, if h centralizes G , we get $hg = gh$ for all $g \in \text{Tr}$, and h is in fact in the centralizer of Tr . Since Tr coincides with its centralizer, we get $h \in \text{Tr}$. \square

5.2. Closed subgroups. As in Section 2.2, we endow $\text{Aut}(X)$ with the structure of an ind-group, given by a filtration by algebraic varieties Aut_j for $j \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.2. *The groups $\varphi(\text{Tr})$, $\varphi(\text{Tr}_i)$, $\varphi(D)$ and $\varphi(D_i)$ are closed subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Tr} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ coincides with its centralizer, $\varphi(\text{Tr}) \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ coincides with its centralizer too and, as such, is a closed subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. The same argument applies to $\varphi(D) \subset \text{Aut}(X)$. To prove that $\varphi(\text{Tr}_i) \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is closed we note that $\varphi(\text{Tr}_i)$ is the subset of elements $f \in \varphi(\text{Tr})$ that commute to every element $g \in \varphi(D_j)$ for every index $j \neq i$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Analogously, $\varphi(D_i) \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is a closed subgroup because an element f of D is in D_i if and only if it commutes to all elements g of Tr_j for $j \neq i$. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem A.

5.3.1. *Abelian groups* (see [14, 18]). Before starting the proof, let us recall a few important facts on abelian, affine algebraic groups. Let G be an algebraic group over the field \mathbf{k} , such that G is abelian, affine, and connected.

- (1) If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, then G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^r \times \mathbb{G}_m^s$ for some pair of integers (r, s) ; if G is unipotent, then $s = 0$. (see [18], §VII.2, p.172)
- (2) If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) > 0$, then G is a product of a multiplicative type subgroup G_s and a unipotent subgroup G_u (see [14], Theorem 17.17). Moreover, since \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed, G_s is isomorphic to an algebraic torus \mathbb{G}_m^s for some $s \geq 0$.

We list two criteria on the p -torsion elements of a commutative connected algebraic group G that may rigidify the structure of G_s and G_u :

- (3) If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 0$, G is unipotent, and all non-trivial elements of G have order p , then G is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^r for some $r \geq 0$. (see [18], §VII.2, Prop. 11, p.178)
- (4) If $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 0$, and there is no non-trivial element in G of order p^ℓ , for any $\ell \geq 0$, then G is isomorphic to $G_s = \mathbb{G}_m^s$ for some $s \geq 0$. (see [14], Theorem 16.13 and Corollary 16.15, and [18], §VII.2, p.176)

To keep examples in mind, note that all non-trivial elements of $\text{Tr}_1(\mathbf{k})$ have order p and $\text{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$ does not contain any non-trivial element of order p^ℓ when $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p$.

5.3.2. *Proof of Theorem A.* Let us now prove Theorem A.

By Lemma 5.2, $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1) \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is a closed subgroup; in particular, $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$ is an ind-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. Let $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ$ be the connected component of the identity of $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$; from Section 2.2.2, we know that the index of $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ$ in $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$ is at most countable. The ind-group $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ$ is an increasing union $\cup_i V_i$ of irreducible algebraic varieties V_i , each V_i containing the identity. Theorem B implies that each $\langle V_i \rangle$ is an irreducible algebraic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. Since $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$ does not contain non-trivial elements of order $k < \infty$ with $k \wedge \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 1$, it follows from properties (1) and (2) of Section 5.3.1 that $\langle V_i \rangle$ is unipotent; moreover, by properties (1) and (3) of Section 5.3.1, $\langle V_i \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^{r_i}$ for some r_i . Thus

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ = \cup_{i \geq 0} F_i$$

where the F_i form an increasing family of unipotent algebraic subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$, each of them isomorphic to some $\mathbb{G}_a^{r_i}$. We may assume that $\dim F_0 \geq 1$.

Similarly, $\varphi(\text{D}_1)^\circ \subset \varphi(\text{D}_1)$ is a subgroup of countable index and

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi(\text{D}_1)^\circ = \cup_{i \geq 0} G_i,$$

where the G_i are increasing irreducible commutative algebraic subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ (we do not assert that G_i is of type $\mathbb{G}_m^{s_i}$ yet). We may assume that $\dim G_0 \geq 1$.

The group D_i acts by conjugation on Tr_i for every $i \leq n$, this action has exactly two orbits $\{0\}$ and $\text{Tr}_i \setminus \{0\}$, and the action on $\text{Tr}_i \setminus \{0\}$ is free; hence, the same properties hold for the action of $\varphi(D_i)$ on $\varphi(\text{Tr}_i)$ by conjugation.

Let H_i be the subgroup of $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$ generated by all $g \circ f \circ g^{-1}$ with f in F_i and g in G_i . Theorem B shows that H_i is an irreducible algebraic subgroup of $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)$. We have $H_i \subseteq H_{i+1}$ and $g \circ H_i \circ g^{-1} = H_i$ for every $g \in G_i$.

Write $H_i = \mathbb{G}_a^l$ for some $l \geq 1$. We claim that $G_i \simeq \mathbb{G}_a^r \times \mathbb{G}_m^s$ for a pair of integers $r, s \geq 0$ with $r + s \geq 1$. This follows from properties (1), (2) and (3) of Section 5.3.1 because, when $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p > 1$, the only element in $\varphi(D_1)$ of order p^ℓ , $\ell \geq 0$, is the identity element. Since the action of $\varphi(D_1)$ on $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1 \setminus \{0\})$ is free, the action of G_i on $F_i \setminus \{0\}$ is free too, and thus, we get an action of \mathbb{G}_a^r by automorphisms of the algebraic group \mathbb{G}_a^l without fixed point in $\mathbb{G}_a^l \setminus \{0\}$, and this forces $r = 0$ (an instance of the Lie-Kolchin theorem). Let q be a prime number with $q \wedge \text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 1$. Then \mathbb{G}_m^s contains a copy of $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^s$, and D_1 does not contain such a subgroup if $s > 1$; so, $s = 1$, $G_i \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$ and $G_i = G_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$. It follows that $\varphi(D_1)^\circ \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$. Since the index of $\varphi(D_1)^\circ$ in $\varphi(D_1)$ is countable, there exists a countable subset $I \subseteq \varphi(D_1)$ such that $\varphi(D_1) = \sqcup_{h \in I} \varphi(D_1)^\circ \circ h$.

Let $f \in F_i$ be a nontrivial element. Since the action of $\varphi(D_1)$ on $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1 \setminus \{0\})$ is transitive,

$$(5.5) \quad F_i \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{h \in I} \left(\left(\bigcup_{g \in \varphi(D_1)^\circ} (g \circ h) \circ f \circ (g \circ h)^{-1} \right) \cap F_i \right).$$

The right hand side is a countable union of subvarieties of $F_i \setminus \{0\}$ of dimension at most one. It follows that $\dim F_i = 1$, $F_i \simeq \mathbb{G}_a$, and $\varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ \simeq \mathbb{G}_a$. Thus, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi(\text{Tr}_1)^\circ \simeq \mathbb{G}_a, \text{ and } \varphi(D_1)^\circ \simeq \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Since each $\varphi(\text{Tr}_i)^\circ$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a , $\varphi(\text{Tr})^\circ$ is an n -dimensional commutative unipotent group and its index in $\varphi(\text{Tr})$ is at most countable. By Lemma 5.1, the centralizer of $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\text{Tr})^\circ)$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n)$ is Tr . It follows that the centralizer of $\varphi(\text{Tr})^\circ$ in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is $\varphi(\text{Tr})$. Then Theorem 4.1 implies that X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^n$.

6. APPENDIX: THE DEGREE FUNCTIONS FOR RATIONAL SELF-MAPS

Here, we follow [3, 21] to prove a general version of Lemma 3.1. As above, \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field. We first start with the case of projective varieties.

6.1. Degree functions on projective varieties. Let X be a projective and normal variety over \mathbf{k} of pure dimension $d = \dim(X)$. Let H be a big and nef divisor on X . For every dominant rational self-map f of X , and every $j = 0, \dots, d$, set

$$(6.1) \quad \deg_{j,H} f = (f^*(H^j) \cdot H^{d-j}).$$

Pick a normal resolution of f ; by this we mean a projective and normal variety Γ , a birational morphism $\pi_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and a morphism $\pi_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ satisfying $f = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$. Then we have $\deg_{j,H} f = (\pi_2^*(H^j) \cdot \pi_1^*(H^{d-j})) > 0$, for f is dominant. Let L be another big and nef divisor. There is $c > 1$ such that $cL - H$ and $cH - L$ are big. Then we have $\deg_{j,H} f = (\pi_2^*(H^j) \cdot \pi_1^*(H^{d-j})) \leq c^d (\pi_2^*(L^j) \cdot \pi_1^*(L^{d-j})) = c^d \deg_{j,L} f$. Symetrically, we get $\deg_{j,L} f \leq (c')^d \deg_{j,H} f$ for some $c' > 1$. Thus, two big and nef divisors give rise to comparable degree functions:

$$(6.2) \quad C^{-1} \deg_{j,H}(f) \leq \deg_{j,L}(f) \leq C \deg_{j,H}(f) \quad (\forall 0 \leq j \leq d)$$

for all rational dominant maps $f : X \dashrightarrow X$, and some $C > 1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let Y be a projective and normal variety over \mathbf{k} of pure dimension d . Let $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant and generically finite rational map. Let H and L be big and nef divisors, on X and Y respectively. Then there is a constant $C > 1$ such that for every $j = 0, \dots, d$, and every pair of dominant rational self-maps $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ and $g : Y \dashrightarrow Y$ satisfying $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ g$, we have*

$$C^{-1} \deg_{j,L}(g) \leq \deg_{j,H}(f) \leq C \deg_{j,L}(g).$$

Proof. Denote by x_1, \dots, x_s the generic points of X and y_1, \dots, y_r the generic points of Y . Since π is dominant and generically finite, there is a surjective map $\sigma : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $\pi(y_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. For every $i = 1, \dots, r$, set $t_i = \deg[\mathbf{k}(y_i) : \pi^*\mathbf{k}(x_{\sigma(i)})]$ and then

$$(6.3) \quad m = \min_{i=1, \dots, s} \left(\sum_{l \in \sigma^{-1}(i)} t_l \right), \quad m' = \max_{i=1, \dots, s} \left(\sum_{l \in \sigma^{-1}(i)} t_l \right)$$

Take a resolution of π , defined by a projective and normal variety Z , a birational morphism $\pi_1 : Z \rightarrow Y$ and a morphism $\pi_2 : Z \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\pi = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$. Set $h := \pi_1^{-1} \circ g \circ \pi_1 : Z \dashrightarrow Z$. For each index $0 \leq j \leq d$, the projection formula (see [3], Theorem 2.3.2(iv) and the references therein, notably [5], Proposition 1.7) gives

$$(6.4) \quad \deg_{j,L} g = \deg_{j, \pi_1^* L} h$$

$$(6.5) \quad m \deg_{j,H} f \leq \deg_{j, \pi_2^* H} h \leq m' \deg_{j,H} f.$$

Since $\pi_1^* L$ and $\pi_2^* H$ are big and nef on Z , there is a constant $C_1 > 1$ that depends only on $\pi_1^* L$ and $\pi_2^* H$ such that

$$(6.6) \quad C_1^{-1} \deg_{j, \pi_2^* H} h \leq \deg_{j, \pi_1^* L} h \leq C_1 \deg_{j, \pi_2^* H} h.$$

We conclude the proof by combining the last three equations. \square

6.2. Equivalent functions. Let S be a set. We shall say that two functions $F, G : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$, are **equivalent** if there is a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$(6.7) \quad C^{-1} \max\{G, 1\} \leq \max\{F, 1\} \leq C \max\{G, 1\},$$

where $\max\{G, 1\}$ denotes the maximum between G and 1. We denote by $[F]$ the equivalence class of F ; the equivalence class $[1]$ coincides with the set of bounded functions $S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$.

6.3. Degree functions on varieties. Now, let X be a variety of pure dimension d over \mathbf{k} . Let $\pi : Z \dashrightarrow X$ be a birational map such that Z is projective and normal, and let H be a big and nef divisor on Z . Then, define the degrees $\deg_{j,H} f$ of any rational dominant map $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ by $\deg_{j,H} f = \deg_{j,H} \pi^{-1} \circ f \circ \pi$. The previous paragraph shows that if we change the model (Z, π) or the divisor H (to H'), then we get two notions of degrees $\deg_{j,H}$ and $\deg_{j,H'}$ which are equivalent functions, in the sense of § 6.2, on the set of rational dominant self-maps of X . This justifies the following definition.

Let S be a family of dominant rational maps $f_s : X \dashrightarrow X$, $s \in S$. A **notion of degree** on S in codimension j is a function $\deg_j : S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ in the equivalence class $[\deg_{j,H}]$ for some normal projective model $Z \rightarrow X$ and some big and nef divisor H on Z . The equivalence class $[\deg_j]$ is unique.

Remark 6.2. Assume further that X is affine. In Section 2.1, we defined a notion of degree $f \mapsto \deg f$ (in codimension 1) on the set of automorphisms of X ; this notion depends on an embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^N$, $N \geq 0$. However, its equivalence class on $\text{Aut}(X)$ does not depend on the choice of such an embedding and is equal to the class $[\deg_1]$ defined in this section.

From Lemma 6.1 and the definitions, we obtain:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant and generically finite rational map between two varieties X and Y over \mathbf{k} , each of pure dimension d . Let S be a family of dominant rational maps $g_s : Y \dashrightarrow Y$ such that for every s in S there is a rational map $f_s : X \dashrightarrow X$ that satisfies $\pi \circ g_s = f_s \circ \pi$. Then, for each $j = 0, \dots, d$, the equivalence classes of the degree functions $s \in S \mapsto \deg_j(g_s)$ and $s \in S \mapsto \deg_j(f_s)$ are equal.*

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, and M. Zaidenberg. Flexible varieties and automorphism groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(4):767–823, 2013.
- [2] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [3] Nguyen-Bac Dang. Degrees of iterates of rational maps on normal projective varieties. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 121:1268–1310, 2020.
- [4] Julie Déserti. Sur le groupe des automorphismes polynomiaux du plan affine. *J. Algebra*, 297(2):584–599, 2006.

- [5] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume second edition. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [6] Jean-Philippe Furter and Hanspeter Kraft. On the geometry of the automorphism groups of affine varieties. arXiv:1809.04175, 2018.
- [7] Robin Hartshorne. *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili.
- [8] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [9] Sergei Kovalenko, Alexander Perepechko, and Mikhail Zaidenberg. On automorphism groups of affine surfaces. In *Algebraic varieties and automorphism groups*, volume 75 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 207–286. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [10] Hanspeter Kraft. Automorphism groups of affine varieties and a characterization of affine n -space. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 78:171–186, 2017.
- [11] Hanspeter Kraft, Andriy Regeta, and Immanuel van Santen. Is the affine space determined by its automorphism group? *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2021:4280–4300.
- [12] Matthias Leuenberger and Andriy Regeta. Vector fields and automorphism groups of danielewski surfaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2022:4720–4752.
- [13] Alvaro Liendo, Andriy Regeta, and Christian Urech. Characterisation of affine surfaces by their automorphism groups. *to appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. di Pisa*, 2021. arXiv:1805.03991.
- [14] J. S. Milne. *Algebraic groups*, volume 170 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017. The theory of group schemes of finite type over a field.
- [15] Bjorn Poonen. Varieties without extra automorphisms. I. Curves. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7(1):67–76, 2000.
- [16] Herbert Popp. The singularities of the moduli schemes of curves. *J. Number Theory*, 1:90–107, 1969.
- [17] Maxwell Rosenlicht. Some basic theorems on algebraic groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 78:401–443, 1956.
- [18] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Groupes algébriques et corps de classes*. Hermann, Paris, 1975. 2nde Ed., Pub. Inst. Math. de l’Université de Nancago, No. VII, Act. Scient. et Indus., No. 1264.
- [19] I. R. Shafarevich. On some infinite-dimensional groups. *Rend. Mat. e Appl. (5)*, 25(1-2):208–212, 1966.
- [20] Igor R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry. 1*. Springer, Heidelberg, third edition, 2013. Varieties in projective space.
- [21] Tuyen Trung Truong. Relative dynamical degrees of correspondance over a field of arbitrary characteristic. <https://arXiv:1605.05049>, 2016.
- [22] È. B. Vinberg and V. L. Popov. Invariant theory. In *Algebraic geometry, 4 (Russian)*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 137–314, 315. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989.

UNIV RENNES, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 RENNES, FRANCE

Email address: serge.cantat@univ-rennes1.fr, xiejunyi@bicmr.pku.edu.cn

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA,
JENA 07737, GERMANY

Email address: andriyregeta@gmail.com

BICMR, PEKING UNIVERSITY, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: xiejunyi@bicmr.pku.edu.cn