

THE HADWIGER THEOREM ON CONVEX FUNCTIONS, II: CAUCHY–KUBOTA FORMULAS

ANDREA COLESANTI, MONIKA LUDWIG, AND FABIAN MUSSNIG

ABSTRACT. A new version of the Hadwiger theorem on convex functions is established and an explicit representation of functional intrinsic volumes is found using new functional Cauchy–Kubota formulas. In addition, connections between functional intrinsic volumes and their classical counterparts are obtained and non-negative valuations are classified.

2020 AMS subject classification: 52B45 (26B25, 49Q20, 52A41, 52A39)

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Valuations play a central role in convex and integral geometry ever since they were the key ingredient in Dehn’s solution of Hilbert’s Third Problem in 1901 (see [20, 22]). In the classical setting, valuations are defined on the set of convex bodies, \mathcal{K}^n , that is, on non-empty, compact, convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n , and a functional $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *valuation* if

$$Z(K) + Z(L) = Z(K \cup L) + Z(K \cap L)$$

for every $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ such that $K \cup L \in \mathcal{K}^n$. Among the most important valuations are the intrinsic volumes, V_j , for $0 \leq j \leq n$. Here, V_n is the n -dimensional volume (or Lebesgue measure) and V_0 is the Euler characteristic (that is, $V_0(K) := 1$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$). If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is j -dimensional for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, then $V_j(K)$ is just the j -dimensional volume of K . For general $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the j th intrinsic volume of K can be defined using the Cauchy–Kubota formulas

$$(1.1) \quad V_j(K) := \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} V_j(\text{proj}_E K) \, dE.$$

Here, κ_j denotes the j -dimensional volume of the j -dimensional unit ball, integration is with respect to the Haar probability measure on $G(n, j)$, the Grassmannian of j -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\text{proj}_E : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow E$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $E \in G(n, j)$ (cf. [39]).

While (1.1) can be proved directly, it is also an immediate consequence of the celebrated Hadwiger theorem, which classifies continuous, translation and rotation invariant valuations and thereby characterizes linear combinations of intrinsic volumes. Here, continuity is understood with respect to the Hausdorff metric, and a valuation $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *translation invariant* if $Z(\tau K) = Z(K)$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and translation τ on \mathbb{R}^n , while it is *rotation invariant* if $Z(\vartheta K) = Z(K)$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(n)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Hadwiger [20]). *A functional $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist constants $\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(K) = \sum_{j=0}^n \zeta_j V_j(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

The Hadwiger theorem leads to effortless proofs of numerous further results in integral geometry and geometric probability (see [20, 22]).

We restate the Hadwiger theorem here in a form that makes use of the Cauchy–Kubota formulas (1.1).

Theorem 1.2 (Hadwiger). *A functional $Z : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist constants $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Z(K) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \int_{G(n,j)} V_j(\text{proj}_E K) \, dE$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

The Hadwiger theorem is the first culmination of the program, initiated by Blaschke, of classifying valuations invariant under various groups and the starting point of geometric valuation theory (see [39, Chapter 6]). We refer to [1, 2, 4–6, 18, 19, 25, 27, 30, 31] for some recent classification results and to [7, 21, 26] for some of the new valuations that keep arising.

Currently, a geometric theory of valuations on function spaces is being developed. On a space X of (extended) real-valued functions, a functional $Z : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *valuation* if

$$Z(u) + Z(v) = Z(u \vee v) + Z(u \wedge v)$$

for every $u, v \in X$ such that also their pointwise maximum $u \vee v$ and their pointwise minimum $u \wedge v$ belong to X . The first classification results of valuations on classical function spaces were obtained for L_p and Sobolev spaces and for Lipschitz and continuous functions (see [16, 17, 28, 29, 42, 43]).

Of special interest are valuations on convex functions, where the first classification results were obtained in [11, 12, 33, 34] and the first structural results in [3, 13, 23, 24]. Recently, the authors [15] established the Hadwiger theorem on convex functions. Let

$$\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty] : u \not\equiv +\infty, \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x)}{|x|} = +\infty, u \text{ is l.s.c. and convex} \right\}$$

denote the space of proper, super-coercive, lower semicontinuous, convex functions on \mathbb{R}^n , where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm. It is equipped with the topology induced by epi-convergence (see Section 2.2 for the definition). A functional $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *epi-translation invariant* if $Z(u \circ \tau^{-1} + \alpha) = Z(u)$ for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, every translation τ on \mathbb{R}^n and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It is *rotation invariant* if $Z(u \circ \vartheta^{-1}) = Z(u)$ for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(n)$.

The authors [15] introduced functional versions of intrinsic volumes on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following way. For $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, let

$$D_j^n := \left\{ \zeta \in C_b((0, \infty)) : \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-j} \zeta(s) = 0, \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1} \zeta(t) \, dt \text{ exists and is finite} \right\},$$

where $C_b((0, \infty))$ is the set of continuous functions with bounded support on $(0, \infty)$. In addition, let $\zeta \in D_j^n$ if $\zeta \in C_b((0, \infty))$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \zeta(s)$ exists and is finite. In this case, we set $\zeta(0) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \zeta(s)$ and consider ζ also as an element of $C_c([0, \infty))$, the set of continuous functions with compact support on $[0, \infty)$.

Theorem 1.3 ([15], Theorem 1.2). *For $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, there exists a unique, continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$(1.2) \quad Z(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} \, dx$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Here $C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of finite-valued functions $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with positive definite Hessian matrix D^2u and we write $[A]_i$ for the i th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues of any symmetric matrix A (with the convention that $[A]_0 := 1$).

Theorem 1.3 allows us to make the following definition. For $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, the *functional intrinsic volume* $V_{j,\zeta}^n : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique continuous extension of the functional defined in (1.2) on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that the functional intrinsic volumes are not only rotation invariant but even $O(n)$ invariant. Moreover, for $\zeta \in D_0^n$, the functional $V_{0,\zeta}^n$ is a constant, independent of $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by [13, Proposition 20],

$$(1.3) \quad V_{n,\zeta}^n(u) = \int_{\text{dom } u} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) dx$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\zeta \in D_n^n$, where $\text{dom } u := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) < \infty\}$ is the *domain* of u . We remark that for $\zeta \in C_c([0, \infty))$, extensions of (1.2) to $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were previously defined by the authors in [13] using Hessian measures and so-called Hessian valuations. For the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [15], singular Hessian valuations were introduced.

The Hadwiger theorem for convex functions is the following result. Let $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.4 ([15], Theorem 1.3). *A functional $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist functions $\zeta_0 \in D_0^n, \dots, \zeta_n \in D_n^n$ such that*

$$Z(u) = \sum_{j=0}^n V_{j,\zeta_j}^n(u)$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 show that the functionals $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ clearly play the role of intrinsic volumes on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this article, we present an integral-geometric approach to valuations on convex functions. We obtain a new version of the Hadwiger theorem on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Theorem 1.7, based on new functional Cauchy–Kubota formulas, and we present a new proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we establish a new integral-geometric representation of the functionals $V_{j,\zeta}^n$, corresponding to the Cauchy–Kubota formulas (1.1). For a linear subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ for the set of proper, lower semicontinuous, supercoercive, convex functions $w : E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$. For $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the *projection function* $\text{proj}_E u : E \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$\text{proj}_E u(x_E) := \min_{z \in E^\perp} u(x_E + z)$$

for $x_E \in E$, where E^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of E . If $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $O(k)$ invariant and $\dim E = k$, we define Z on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ by identifying $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ with $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ (see Section 3.1).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $0 \leq j \leq k < n$. If $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad V_{j,\zeta}^n(u) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} V_{j,\xi}^k(\text{proj}_E u) dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\xi \in D_j^k$ is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \xi(s) := \frac{\kappa_{n-k}}{\binom{n-j}{k-j}} (s^{n-k} \zeta(s) + (n-k) \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt)$$

for $s > 0$.

Here we set $\kappa_0 := 1$ and $D_0^0 := D_1^1$. Further, let $V_{0,\xi}^0(\text{proj}_E u) := \xi(0)$ for $\xi \in D_0^0$.

In the proof of this theorem we make essential use of results from [15] that were established for the proof of Theorem 1.3. We also use tools from the integral geometry of convex bodies. The proof of Theorem 1.5 and our new proof of Theorem 1.3 are presented in Section 3. Note that embedding \mathcal{K}^n into $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that (1.4) generalizes the classical Cauchy–Kubota formulas (see Section 5.2).

As a consequence of Theorem 1.5 (with $j = k$) and the representation of the functional intrinsic volume for $j = n$ in (1.3), we immediately obtain the following representation of $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ for $0 \leq j < n$. This is the first explicit representation of functional intrinsic volumes as integrals, as in [15] limits using Moreau–Yosida approximation were used.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $0 \leq j < n$. If $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(u) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ is given by

$$\alpha(s) := \kappa_{n-j} (s^{n-j} \zeta(s) + (n-j) \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1} \zeta(t) dt)$$

for $s > 0$.

Here, in the case $j = 0$, we set $\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E) := 0$ and $V_{0,\zeta}^n(u) := \alpha(0)$ for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that a convex function is differentiable almost everywhere on the interior of its domain and hence the integral representing $V_{j,\zeta}^n(u)$ is well-defined for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 imply the following new version of the Hadwiger theorem for convex functions, which corresponds to Theorem 1.2. Let $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.7. *A functional $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist functions $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in C_c([0, \infty))$ such that*

$$Z(u) = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{G(n,j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha_j(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that by Theorem 1.6 and properties of the integral transform which maps ζ to α (see Lemma 3.8), Theorem 1.7 is in fact equivalent to Theorem 1.4.

In Section 4, we present results for valuations on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) := \{v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : v \text{ is convex}\}$, the space of finite-valued convex functions. The results are obtained from results for valuations on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by using the Legendre–Fenchel transform or convex conjugate. The new Cauchy–Kubota formulas correspond to results on restrictions of convex functions to linear subspaces in this setting.

In the final section, we collect several applications and results. In particular, we present a second proof of Theorem 1.5 which uses Theorem 1.4. Thus, similar to the classical Cauchy–Kubota formulas (1.1), Theorem 1.5 can be proved both directly and as a consequence of the Hadwiger theorem. We also obtain connections between functional intrinsic volumes and their classical counterparts and answer questions about non-negative and monotone valuations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We work in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , with $n \geq 1$, endowed with the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ and the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We also use coordinates, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $k \leq n$, we often identify \mathbb{R}^k with $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\}$. Let $B^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$ be the Euclidean unit ball and \mathbb{S}^{n-1} the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

2.1. Convex Bodies. A basic reference on convex bodies is the book by Schneider [39]. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, its *support function* $h_K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$h_K(x) := \max_{y \in K} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

It is a one-homogeneous and convex function that determines K .

For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, let $C_j(K, \cdot)$ be its j th curvature measure (see [39]). We require the following integral-geometric formula. Let $0 \leq j \leq k < n$. By (4.79) in [39], for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and every Borel set $B \subseteq \text{bd } K$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad C_j(K, B) = \frac{n\kappa_n}{k\kappa_k} \int_{G(n,k)} C_j^E(\text{proj}_E K, \text{proj}_E B) \, dE,$$

where $C_j^E(\text{proj}_E K, \cdot)$ is the j th curvature measure of the convex body $\text{proj}_E K$ taken with respect to the subspace E and $\text{bd } K$ is the boundary of K .

Under suitable regularity assumptions, curvature measures can be expressed in terms of the principal curvatures of the boundary. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ have boundary of class C^2 with positive Gauss curvature. For $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $x \in \text{bd } K$, let $\tau_j(K, x)$ be the j th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures of $\text{bd } K$ at x . By (2.36) and (4.25) in [39], we have

$$(2.2) \quad C_j(K, B) = \binom{n-1}{n-1-j}^{-1} \int_B \tau_{n-1-j}(K, x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and for every Borel set $B \subseteq \text{bd } K$, where \mathcal{H}^k is the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

2.2. Convex Functions. We collect some basic results and properties of convex functions. Standard references are the books by Rockafellar [36] and Rockafellar & Wets [37] (also, see [12]).

Let $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of proper, lower semicontinuous, convex functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$. Every function $u \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniquely determined by its *epi-graph*

$$\text{epi } u := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : u(x) \leq t\},$$

which is a closed, convex subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write

$$\{u < t\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) < t\}, \quad \{u \leq t\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) \leq t\}$$

for the *sublevel sets* of u , which are convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Since u is lower semicontinuous, the sublevel sets $\{u \leq t\}$ are closed. If in addition $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the sublevel sets are bounded. Similarly, we write

$$\{u = t\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) = t\}, \quad \{t_1 < u \leq t_2\} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : t_1 < u(x) \leq t_2\}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_1 < t_2$.

The standard topology on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its subsets is induced by epi-convergence. A sequence of functions $u_k \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is *epi-convergent* to $u \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- (i) $u(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(x_k)$ for every sequence $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that converges to x ;
- (ii) $u(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(x_k)$ for at least one sequence $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that converges to x .

Note that the limit of an epi-convergent sequence of functions from $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is always lower semicontinuous.

A sequence of functions $v_k \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ is epi-convergent to $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ if and only if v_k converges pointwise to v , which by convexity is equivalent to uniform convergence on compact sets. On $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, epi-convergence is, basically, equivalent to Hausdorff convergence of sublevel sets. Here we say that for a sequence $u_k \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the sets $\{u_k \leq t\}$ converge to the empty set, if there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{u_k \leq t\} = \emptyset$ for every $k \geq k_0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u_k, u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If u_k epi-converges to u , then $\{u_k \leq t\}$ converges to $\{u \leq t\}$ for every $t \neq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} u(x)$. Conversely, if for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a sequence $t_k \rightarrow t$ such that $\{u_k \leq t_k\}$ converges to $\{u \leq t\}$, then u_k epi-converges to u .*

For $u \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $u^* \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be its *Legendre–Fenchel transform* or *convex conjugate*, which is defined by

$$u^*(y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x, y \rangle - u(x))$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since u is lower semicontinuous, $u^{**} = u$. Moreover, $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $u^* \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, and $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $u^* \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.2. *A sequence of functions u_k in $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is epi-convergent to $u \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if u_k^* is epi-convergent to u^* .*

Since $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, this implies the following simple result.

Lemma 2.3. *For every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a sequence of functions from $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that epi-converges to u .*

For a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, let

$$\mathbf{I}_K(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K, \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

be its (convex) indicator function. Clearly, $\mathbf{I}_K \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ while $\mathbf{I}_K^* = h_K$ and $h_K \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

For $u \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *subdifferential* of u at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\partial u(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(z) \geq u(x) + \langle y, z - x \rangle \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Every element of $\partial u(x)$ is called a *subgradient* of u at x . If u is differentiable at x , then $\partial u(x) = \{\nabla u(x)\}$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $y \in \partial u(x)$ if and only if $x \in \partial u^*(y)$.

For functions $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denote by $u_1 \square u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ their *infimal convolution* which is defined as

$$(u_1 \square u_2)(x) := \inf_{x_1 + x_2 = x} u_1(x_1) + u_2(x_2)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that

$$\text{epi}(u_1 \square u_2) = \text{epi } u_1 + \text{epi } u_2,$$

where the addition on the right side is the Minkowski addition of subsets in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Further, we define *epi-multiplication* on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following way. For $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\lambda \cdot u(x) := \lambda u\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This corresponds to rescaling the epi-graph of u by the factor λ , that is, $\text{epi } \lambda \cdot u = \lambda \text{epi } u$.

The two operations above can also be described using convex conjugates. For $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(u_1 \square u_2)^* = u_1^* + u_2^*,$$

where the addition on the right side is the pointwise addition of functions. Similarly,

$$(\lambda \cdot u)^* = \lambda u^*$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

2.3. Hessian Measures. We will use two families of Hessian measures of convex functions. For a more detailed presentation, see [10, 14]. We remark that Hessian measures were introduced by Trudinger and Wang [40, 41] in the context of so-called Hessian equations.

For $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we use the non-negative Borel measures $\Psi_j^n(u, \cdot)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ that have the property that for every Borel function $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(y) d\Psi_j^n(u, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(\nabla u(x)) [D^2u(x)]_{n-j} dx$$

for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition,

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(y) d\Psi_n^n(u, y) = \int_{\text{dom } u} \beta(\nabla u(x)) dx$$

for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, we use the non-negative Borel measures $\Phi_j^n(v, \cdot)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ that have the property that for every Borel function $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(x) d\Phi_j^n(v, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta(x) [D^2v(x)]_j dx$$

for $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The measure $\Phi_n^n(v, \cdot)$ is called the Monge–Ampère measure of v .

The interplay of Hessian measures and convex conjugation is well understood. Let $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. It is an immediate consequence of [14, Theorem 8.2] that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_B \beta(y) d\Psi_j^n(u, y) = \int_B \beta(x) d\Phi_j^n(u^*, x)$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Borel subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, when $\beta : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that one of the two integrals above, and therefore both, exist.

2.4. Valuations on Convex Functions. We say that $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *epi-homogeneous* of degree j if $Z(\lambda \cdot u) = \lambda^j Z(u)$ for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of [13, Proposition 20].

Proposition 2.4. *For $\zeta \in C_c([0, \infty))$, the functional $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by*

$$Z(u) := \int_{\text{dom}(u)} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) dx,$$

is a continuous, epi-translation and $O(n)$ invariant valuation that is epi-homogeneous of degree n .

Next, we consider valuations on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$. For $X \subseteq \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we associate with a valuation $Z : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ its *dual valuation* Z^* defined on $X^* := \{u^* : u \in X\}$ by setting

$$Z^*(u) := Z(u^*).$$

It was shown in [14] that $Z : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous valuation if and only if $Z^* : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous valuation. Since $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $u^* \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, this allows us to transfer results between $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$. We call a valuation $Z : \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *dually epi-translation invariant* if Z^* is epi-translation invariant or equivalently if

$$Z(v + \ell + \alpha) = Z(v)$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, every linear functional $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that Z is *homogeneous* of degree j if Z^* is epi-homogeneous of degree j or equivalently if

$$Z(\lambda v) = \lambda^j Z(v)$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda > 0$.

3. CAUCHY–KUBOTA FORMULAS

In this section, we give a new proof of Theorem 1.3 and establish the Cauchy–Kubota formulas from Theorem 1.5. In the proofs, we require results on projection functions that we prove in the first part. Then we introduce and discuss the integral transform \mathcal{R} that connects the coefficient functions in our two versions of the Hadwiger theorem on convex functions. Finally, we establish Cauchy–Kubota formulas first for smooth functions and then in the general case.

3.1. Projection Functions. For a linear subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the *projection function* $\text{proj}_E u: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\text{proj}_E u(x_E) := \min_{z \in E^\perp} u(x_E + z),$$

where $x_E \in E$ and E^\perp is the orthogonal complement of E . Note that this minimum is attained since u is lower semicontinuous and super-coercive. Since $\min_{z \in E^\perp} u(x_E + z) \leq t$ if and only if there exists $z \in E^\perp$ such that $u(x_E + z) \leq t$, this implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \{\text{proj}_E u \leq t\} = \text{proj}_E \{u \leq t\}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \text{epi } \text{proj}_E u = \text{proj}_{E \times \mathbb{R}} \text{epi } u.$$

In particular, it is clear that $\text{proj}_E u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear subspace and let $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $x_E, y_E \in E$ are such that $y_E \in \partial \text{proj}_E u(x_E)$, then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{proj}_E x = x_E$ and $\text{proj}_E u(x_E) = u(x)$ also $y_E \in \partial u(x)$. In particular, such $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ exist.*

Proof. Let x_E, y_E be given with $y_E \in \partial \text{proj}_E u(x_E)$. By the definition of the projection function, there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{proj}_E x = x_E$ such that

$$\text{proj}_E u(x_E) = \min_{z \in E^\perp} u(x_E + z) = u(x).$$

Since $y_E \in \partial \text{proj}_E u(x_E)$, we have

$$\text{proj}_E u(z_E) \geq \text{proj}_E u(x_E) + \langle z_E - x_E, y_E \rangle$$

for every $z_E \in E$. Thus, using again the definition of the projection function as well as the fact that $\langle w, y_E \rangle = \langle \text{proj}_E w, y_E \rangle$ for every $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain

$$u(z) \geq \text{proj}_E u(\text{proj}_E z) \geq u(x) + \langle z - x, y_E \rangle$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which shows that $y_E \in \partial u(x)$. \square

Since for every linear subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the map $K \mapsto \text{proj}_E K$ is continuous on \mathcal{K}^n , we directly obtain the following result from (3.1) and Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. *For every linear subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the map $\text{proj}_E: \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ is continuous.*

We also need the next result.

Lemma 3.3. *The map*

$$(\vartheta, u) \mapsto u \circ \vartheta^{-1}$$

is jointly continuous on $\text{SO}(n) \times \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let u_l be a sequence of functions in $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that epi-converges to some $\bar{u} \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, let ϑ_l be a convergent sequence in $\text{SO}(n)$ and without loss of generality we may assume that $\vartheta_l x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. We need to show that $u_l \circ \vartheta_l^{-1}$ epi-converges to \bar{u} . This is equivalent, by Lemma 2.2, to the epi-convergence of the corresponding sequence of convex conjugates in $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, which on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to pointwise convergence and to uniform convergence on compact sets. Let $v_l, \bar{v} \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ be defined as $v_l := u_l^*$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{v} := \bar{u}^*$. Since v_l is uniformly convergent to \bar{v} on compact sets, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} v_l(\vartheta_l^t y) = \bar{v}(y),$$

where ϑ_l^t denotes the transpose of ϑ_l . Thus, $v_l \circ \vartheta_l^t$ is epi-convergent to \bar{v} , and by Lemma 2.2, we obtain that $u_l \circ \vartheta_l^{-1}$ is epi-convergent to \bar{u} . \square

Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $E \in G(n, k)$. There exists a rotation $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(n)$ such that $\{\vartheta x : x \in E\} = \mathbb{R}^k$, where we consider both E and \mathbb{R}^k as subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n (note that ϑ is not unique). Now, for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ we have $u \circ \vartheta^{-1} \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Note that the restriction of $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(n)$ to \mathbb{R}^k is an element of $\text{O}(k)$ but not necessarily of $\text{SO}(k)$. For an $\text{O}(k)$ invariant $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, set

$$Z(u) := Z(u \circ \vartheta^{-1})$$

for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$. Since Z is $\text{O}(k)$ invariant, this definition does not depend on the particular choice of $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(n)$ and Z is well-defined on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(E)$.

For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, define the distance of two linear subspaces $E, F \in G(n, k)$ as the Hausdorff distance of the convex bodies $B^n \cap E$ and $B^n \cap F$. This induces a topology on the Grassmannian $G(n, k)$, which is used in the proof of the following statement.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. If $Z : \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, epi-translation and $\text{O}(k)$ invariant valuation, then*

$$(3.3) \quad u \mapsto \int_{G(n, k)} Z(\text{proj}_E u) \, dE$$

defines a continuous, epi-translation and $\text{O}(n)$ invariant valuation on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We will first show that

$$(3.4) \quad (E, u) \mapsto Z(\text{proj}_E u)$$

is jointly continuous on $G(n, k) \times \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For this, let E_l be a convergent sequence in $G(n, k)$ with limit $\bar{E} \in G(n, k)$, and let u_l be a sequence in $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that epi-converges to some $\bar{u} \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We need to show that

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} Z(\text{proj}_{E_l} u_l) = Z(\text{proj}_{\bar{E}} \bar{u}).$$

Since E_l converges to \bar{E} , we may choose a sequence $\vartheta_l \in \text{SO}(n)$ such that $\vartheta_l x \rightarrow x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\{\vartheta_l x : x \in E_l\} = \bar{E}$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we now have

$$(\text{proj}_{E_l} u_l) \circ \vartheta_l^{-1} \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\bar{E})$$

for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By the $\text{O}(k)$ invariance of Z , the definition of $w \mapsto Z(\text{proj}_E w)$ on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and our choice of ϑ_l , it follows that

$$Z(\text{proj}_{E_l} u_l) = Z((\text{proj}_{E_l} u_l) \circ \vartheta_l^{-1}) = Z(\text{proj}_{\bar{E}}(u_l \circ \vartheta_l^{-1}))$$

for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Combined with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, this implies (3.5).

Next, let u_l be again an epi-convergent sequence in $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with limit $\bar{u} \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since u_l is epi-convergent, $G(n, k)$ is compact, and the map defined by (3.4) is continuous, the supremum

$$\sup\{|Z(\text{proj}_E u_l)| : l \in \mathbb{N}, E \in G(n, k)\}$$

is finite. Hence, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G(n, k)} Z(\text{proj}_E u_l) dE = \int_{G(n, k)} Z(\text{proj}_E \bar{u}) dE$$

and therefore (3.3) is continuous. In particular, the right side of (3.3) is well-defined and finite. In addition, it is easy to see that (3.3) is epi-translation and $O(n)$ invariant. Finally, the valuation property follows from the corresponding property of Z combined with the fact that

$$\text{proj}_E(u \vee v) = (\text{proj}_E u) \vee (\text{proj}_E v), \quad \text{proj}_E(u \wedge v) = (\text{proj}_E u) \wedge (\text{proj}_E v)$$

for every $u, v \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $E \in G(n, k)$. \square

As a consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *For $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$, the functional*

$$u \mapsto \int_{G(n, j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE$$

is a continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3.2. The Integral Transform \mathcal{R} . For $\zeta \in C_b((0, \infty))$ and $s > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{R} \zeta(s) := s \zeta(s) + \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt.$$

Note that, under these assumptions, we have $\mathcal{R} \zeta \in C_b((0, \infty))$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{R}^l \zeta := \underbrace{(\mathcal{R} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{R})}_l \zeta$$

and set $\mathcal{R}^0 \zeta := \zeta$.

Lemma 3.6. *If $l \geq 0$ and $\zeta \in C_b((0, \infty))$, then*

$$\mathcal{R}^l \zeta(s) = s^l \zeta(s) + l \int_s^\infty t^{l-1} \zeta(t) dt$$

for $s > 0$.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on l . Observe that the statement is trivially true for $l = 0$ and $l = 1$. Therefore, assume that $l > 1$ and that the statement is true for the case $l - 1$. Using the induction assumption, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^l \zeta(s) &= \mathcal{R}^{l-1} \mathcal{R} \zeta(s) \\ (3.6) \quad &= s^l \zeta(s) + s^{l-1} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt + (l-1) \int_s^\infty t^{l-1} \zeta(t) dt + (l-1) \int_s^\infty t^{l-2} \int_t^\infty \zeta(r) dr dt \end{aligned}$$

for every $s > 0$. Using integration by parts and that ζ has bounded support shows that

$$(l-1) \int_s^\infty t^{l-2} \int_t^\infty \zeta(r) dr dt = -s^{l-1} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt + \int_s^\infty t^{l-1} \zeta(t) dt$$

for every $s > 0$, which combined with (3.6) completes the proof. \square

We require the following simple result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $0 \leq k < n - 1$. If $\zeta \in D_k^n$, then*

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt = 0.$$

Moreover, if $0 \leq k < n$ and $\rho \in D_k^{n-1}$, then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-k} \int_s^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^2} dt = \begin{cases} \rho(0) & \text{if } k = n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\zeta \in D_k^n$. If ζ is such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt$ exists and is finite, then (3.7) is trivial. In the remaining case, we use L'Hospital's rule and the definition of D_k^n to obtain

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \left| s^{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt \right| \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty |\zeta(t)| dt = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|\zeta(s)|}{\frac{n-1-k}{s^{n-k}}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|s^{n-k} \zeta(s)|}{n-1-k} = 0.$$

The proof of the second statement is analogous. We remark that for $k = n - 1$ the limit $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \rho(s) = \rho(0)$ exists and is finite. \square

In the following lemma, basic properties of the integral transform \mathcal{R} are established.

Lemma 3.8. *For $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq l \leq n - k$, the map $\mathcal{R}^l : D_k^n \rightarrow D_k^{n-l}$ is a bijection with inverse $\mathcal{R}^{-l} : D_k^{n-l} \rightarrow D_k^n$, given by*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{R}^{-l} \rho(s) := (\mathcal{R}^{-1})^l \rho(s) = \frac{\rho(s)}{s^l} - l \int_s^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^{l+1}} dt$$

for $\rho \in D_k^{n-l}$ and $s > 0$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. We will first show that if $\zeta \in D_k^n$, then $\mathcal{R} \zeta \in D_k^{n-1}$. In case $k = n - 1$, it easily follows from the definition of D_{n-1}^n that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{R} \zeta(s)$ exists and is finite and thus $\mathcal{R} \zeta \in D_{n-1}^{n-1}$. In case $k < n - 1$, we have

$$s^{n-1-k} \mathcal{R} \zeta(s) = s^{n-k} \zeta(s) + s^{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt$$

for $s > 0$. Since $\zeta \in D_k^n$, it follows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-k} \zeta(s) = 0$. Combined with Lemma 3.7 this shows that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-1-k} \mathcal{R} \zeta(s) = 0.$$

Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \mathcal{R} \zeta(t) dt &= \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt + \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \int_t^\infty \zeta(r) dr dt \\ &= \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt - \frac{s^{n-1-k}}{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt + \int_s^\infty \frac{t^{n-1-k}}{n-1-k} \zeta(t) dt \\ &= \frac{n-k}{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt - \frac{1}{n-1-k} s^{n-1-k} \int_s^\infty \zeta(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\zeta \in D_k^n$, we see that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt$ exists and is finite. Combined with Lemma 3.7, this shows that the expression above converges to a finite value as $s \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus, $\mathcal{R} \zeta \in D_k^{n-1}$. It now easily follows by induction that $\mathcal{R}^l \zeta \in D_k^{n-l}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq l \leq n - k$, where we remark that the case $l = 0$ is trivial.

Second, for $\zeta \in D_k^n$ we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}^l \zeta(s)}{s^l} - l \int_s^\infty \frac{\mathcal{R}^l \zeta(t)}{t^{l+1}} dt = \zeta(s) + \frac{l}{s^l} \int_s^\infty t^{l-1} \zeta(t) dt - l \int_s^\infty \frac{\zeta(t)}{t} dt - l^2 \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{t^{l+1}} \int_t^\infty r^{l-1} \zeta(r) dr dt$$

for every $s > 0$. Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_s^\infty \frac{1}{t^{l+1}} \int_t^\infty r^{l-1} \zeta(r) dr dt = \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{s^l} \int_s^\infty t^{l-1} \zeta(t) dt - \int_s^\infty \frac{\zeta(t)}{t} dt \right)$$

for $s > 0$ and therefore the (left) inverse of \mathcal{R}^l is given by (3.8). Similarly, one shows that \mathcal{R}^l is the inverse operation to (3.8).

Now let $\rho \in D_k^{n-1}$ with $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ be given. We need to show that $\mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho \in D_k^n$. Again, it is easy to see that the continuity and the bounded support of ρ imply the same properties for $\mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho$. Since

$$s^{n-k} \mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho(s) = s^{n-k-1} \rho(s) - s^{n-k} \int_s^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

it follows from the definition of D_k^{n-1} and Lemma 3.7 that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} s^{n-k} \mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho(s) = 0$. Note, that in the last step the cases $k < n-1$ and $k = n-1$ need to be dealt with separately. Furthermore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho(t) dt &= \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \rho(t) dt - \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \int_t^\infty \frac{\rho(r)}{r^2} dr dt \\ &= \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \rho(t) dt + \frac{s^{n-k}}{n-k} \int_s^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^2} ds - \int_s^\infty \frac{t^{n-k}}{n-k} \frac{\rho(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{n-1-k}{n-k} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \rho(t) dt + \frac{1}{n-k} s^{n-k} \int_s^\infty \frac{\rho(t)}{t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

In case $k = n-1$, the first term on the right side of the last equation vanishes. In case $k < n-1$, it follows from the definition of D_k^{n-1} that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1-k-1} \rho(t) dt$ exists and is finite and from Lemma 3.7 that the second term converges as $s \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}^{-1} \rho \in D_k^n$ and $\mathcal{R} : D_k^n \rightarrow D_k^{n-1}$ is a bijection.

Finally, it now easily follows by induction that $\mathcal{R}^l : D_k^n \rightarrow D_k^{n-l}$ is a bijection for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq l \leq n-k$, where again the case $l = 0$ is trivial. Furthermore, this implies that $(\mathcal{R}^{-1})^l$ is indeed given by (3.8). \square

We remark that since $D_k^k = D_n^n$ for every $0 \leq k < n$, Lemma 3.8 allows us to redefine D_k^n as

$$D_k^n = \mathcal{R}^{-(n-k)} D_n^n = \{\mathcal{R}^{-(n-k)} \zeta : \zeta \in D_n^n\}.$$

3.3. Cauchy–Kubota Formulas for Smooth Functions. We use the auxiliary space,

$$\text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : u(0) = 0 \leq u(x) \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

If $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the level sets $\{u \leq t\}$ have boundary of class C^2 with positive Gaussian curvature for every $t > 0$. We have $u(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. For such a function u and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, we write $\tau_j(u, x)$ for the j th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures of $\{u \leq t\}$ at $x \neq 0$, where $t = u(x)$.

We need the following result, whose proof is based on a lemma by Reilly [35].

Proposition 3.9 ([15], Proposition 3.13). *Let $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$. For $0 < t_1 < t_2$ and $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{t_1 < u \leq t_2\}} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx &= \int_{\{t_1 < u \leq t_2\}} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j}(u, x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\{u=t_2\}} \eta_{n-j-1}(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j-1}(u, x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\{u=t_1\}} \eta_{n-j-1}(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j-1}(u, x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_{n-j-1}(s) = \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1} \zeta(t) dt$ for $s > 0$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$. For $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j}(u, x) dx.$$

Proof. Since $u(0) \geq u(x) + \langle \nabla u(x), -x \rangle$ it follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$|\nabla u(x)| \geq \frac{u(x) - u(0)}{|x|}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Using that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)/|x| = +\infty$, we obtain that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla u(x)| = +\infty$. The proof now follows by letting $t_1 \rightarrow 0^+$ and $t_2 \rightarrow \infty$ in Proposition 3.9. Here, for the integral involving t_1 we use that η_{n-j-1} is bounded and thus, since $\{u = 0\} = \{0\}$ and because of (2.2), this integral vanishes as $t_1 \rightarrow 0^+$. For the integral involving t_2 , we use the fact that η_{n-j-1} has compact support. \square

We can now prove Cauchy–Kubota formulas for convex functions in $C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $1 \leq j \leq k < n$. If $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_E \xi(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) [D^2 \text{proj}_E u(x_E)]_{k-j} dx_E dE \end{aligned}$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\xi \in D_j^k$ is given by

$$\xi(s) := \frac{\kappa_{n-k}}{\binom{n-j}{k-j}} \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \zeta(s)$$

for $s > 0$.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be of class C^2 with positive Gaussian curvature. In particular, this implies that K is strictly convex. For $E \in G(n, k)$, let $\text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K$ denote the boundary of $\text{proj}_E K$ as a subset of E . It follows from the strict convexity of K that for every $x_E \in \text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K$, there exists a unique point $x \in \text{bd} K$ such that $\text{proj}_E x = x_E$. The map $x_E \mapsto x$ can be also defined as follows. Let $\nu_K: \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be the Gauss map of K , and let $\nu_{\text{proj}_E K}: \text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_E^{k-1}$ be the Gauss map

of $\text{proj}_E K$ (here the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_E^{k-1} of E is seen as a subset of \mathbb{S}^{n-1}). Then ν_K and $\nu_{\text{proj}_E K}$ are diffeomorphisms and

$$x = \nu_K^{-1}(\nu_{\text{proj}_E K}(x_E)).$$

For simplicity, we write $x = \text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E$, that is, $\text{proj}_E^{-1} = \nu_K^{-1} \circ \nu_{\text{proj}_E K}$. Let $\gamma: \text{bd} K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (which implies in particular that $\gamma \circ \text{proj}_E^{-1}$ is continuous). It follows from (2.2), (2.1) combined with Fubini's theorem, and again (2.2) (in dimension k) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{bd} K} \gamma(x) \tau_{n-j}(K, x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{n-j} \int_{\text{bd} K} \gamma(x) dC_{j-1}(K, x) \\ (3.10) \quad &= \binom{n-1}{n-j} \frac{n\kappa_n}{k\kappa_k} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_{\text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K} \gamma(\text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E) dC_{j-1}^E(\text{proj}_E K, x_E) dE \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_{\text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K} \gamma(\text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E) \tau_{k-j}^E(\text{proj}_E K, x_E) d\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(x_E) dE. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\tau_{k-j}^E(\text{proj}_E K, x_E)$ is the $(k-j)$ th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures of $\text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E K$ at x_E in E .

Now, let $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2$. We first observe that, by the coarea formula,

$$\int_{\{t_1 < u \leq t_2\}} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j}(u, x) dx = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\{u=t\}} \frac{(\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|)}{|\nabla u(x)|} \tau_{n-j}(u, x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) dt.$$

Next, fix $E \in G(n, k)$. For every $t > 0$, the convex set $\{u \leq t\}$ has positive Gaussian curvature. We consider the map $\text{proj}_E^{-1}: \text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E \{u \leq t\} \rightarrow \{u = t\}$ defined as above. Combined with Lemma 3.1 we therefore have

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla u(\text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E) = \nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)$$

for every $x_E \in \text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E \{u \leq t\}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{t_1 < u \leq t_2\}} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|) \tau_{n-j}(u, x) dx \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\{u=t\}} \frac{(\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(x)|)}{|\nabla u(x)|} \tau_{n-j}(u, x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) dt \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_{\text{bd}_E \text{proj}_E \{u \leq t\}} \frac{(\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla u(\text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E)|)}{|\nabla u(\text{proj}_E^{-1} x_E)|} \tau_{k-j}^E(\text{proj}_E u, x_E) d\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(x_E) dE dt \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\{\text{proj}_E u=t\}} \frac{(\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|)}{|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|} \tau_{k-j}^E(\text{proj}_E u, x_E) d\mathcal{H}^{k-1}(x_E) dt dE \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_{\{t_1 < \text{proj}_E u \leq t_2\}} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta)(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) \tau_{k-j}^E(\text{proj}_E u, x_E) dx_E dE, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the coarea formula, (3.10), (3.11), and Fubini's theorem. Next, let $t_1 \rightarrow 0^+$ and $t_2 \rightarrow +\infty$. We apply Lemma 3.10 to both u and to $\text{proj}_E u$. On the right side we also use the boundedness of

$\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta$, equation (2.2) and the dominated convergence theorem, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_E \mathcal{R}^{-(k-j)} (\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta) (|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) [D^2 \text{proj}_E u(x_E)]_{k-j} dx_E dE. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{R}^{-(k-j)} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta = \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \zeta$ and

$$\binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} = \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{j},$$

we have therefore shown (3.9) for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The conclusion now follows since for each $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $u_0 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc},0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{epi}(u_0)$ is a translate of $\text{epi}(u)$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and since both sides of (3.9) are invariant with respect to epi-translations. \square

For the special case $j = k$, we immediately obtain the following result, where we use that each function in D_j^j can be uniquely extended to a function in $C_c([0, \infty))$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $1 \leq j < n$. If $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ is given by

$$\alpha(s) := \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(s)$$

for $s > 0$.

3.4. New Proof of Theorem 1.3. The case $j = n$ follows from Proposition 2.4 and the case $j = 0$ is trivial. So let $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$. For $\zeta \in D_j^n$, define

$$\alpha(s) := \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(s)$$

for $s > 0$ and note that α can be extended to a function in $C_c([0, \infty))$ by Lemma 3.8 and the definition of D_j^j . Hence Lemma 3.5 shows that the functional Z , defined by

$$Z(u) := \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE,$$

is a continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From Proposition 3.12, we obtain that

$$(3.12) \quad Z(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx$$

for $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus Z has the required properties. It is uniquely determined by (3.12) since $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lemma 2.3.

3.5. An Auxiliary Result. Using polar coordinates, we obtain from (1.2) and (2.4) that

$$(3.13) \quad V_{0,\zeta}^n(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|x|) dx = n \kappa_n \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1} \zeta(t) dt$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\zeta \in D_0^n$. The following result proves the case $j = 0$ in Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $0 \leq k < n$. If $\zeta \in D_0^n$, then*

$$V_{0,\zeta}^n(u) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k} \int_{G(n,k)} V_{0,\mathcal{R}^{n-k}}^k(\text{proj}_E u) dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Observe that by (3.13), Lemma 3.8 and the definition of D_0^n , we have

$$V_{0,\zeta}^n(u) = n \kappa_n \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1} \zeta(t) dt = \kappa_n \mathcal{R}^n \zeta(0)$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and similarly

$$V_{0,\mathcal{R}^{n-k}}^k(\text{proj}_E u) = \kappa_k \mathcal{R}^k \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \zeta(0) = \kappa_k \mathcal{R}^n \zeta(0)$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $E \in G(n, k)$. Combined with our conventions for the case $k = 0$, the statement is now immediate. \square

3.6. Proof of Theorem 1.5. The case $j = 0$ follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.13. Therefore, assume that $j > 0$. For $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows from Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{j,\zeta}^n(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|\nabla u(x)|) [D^2 u(x)]_{n-j} dx \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} \int_E \xi(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) [D^2 \text{proj}_E u(x_E)]_{k-j} dx_E dE \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} V_{j,\xi}^k(\text{proj}_E u) dE, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi \in D_j^k$ is as in (1.5). The statement now follows from Theorem 1.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 2.3.

4. THE HADWIGER THEOREM ON FINITE-VALUED CONVEX FUNCTIONS

The authors [15] established the Hadwiger theorem also for valuations on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ by using duality with valuations on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, define $V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}$ as the valuation dual to $V_{j,\zeta}^n$, that is, $V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}(v) := V_{j,\zeta}^n(v^*)$ for $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 4.1 ([15], Theorem 1.4). *For $0 \leq j \leq n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, the functional $V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*} : \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, dually epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation such that*

$$V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta(|x|) [D^2 v(x)]_j dx$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \cap C_+^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Hadwiger theorem on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ is the following result. Let $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.2 ([15], Theorem 1.5). *A functional $Z : \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, dually epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist functions $\zeta_0 \in D_0^n, \dots, \zeta_n \in D_n^n$ such that*

$$Z(v) = \sum_{j=0}^n V_{j, \zeta_j}^{n,*}(v)$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

For $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ and a linear subspace E of \mathbb{R}^n , let $v|_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the restriction of v to E . We require the following result.

Lemma 4.3 ([37], Theorem 11.23). *If E is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$(\text{proj}_E u)^*(x_E) = (u^*)|_E(x_E)$$

for $x_E \in E$, where on the left side the convex conjugate is taken with respect to the ambient space E .

The following result is obtained from Theorem 1.7 by using Lemma 4.3, (2.3) and (2.4). It is our second version of the Hadwiger theorem on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$. Let $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.4. *A functional $Z : \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, dually epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation if and only if there exist functions $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in C_c([0, \infty))$ such that*

$$Z(v) = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{G(n,j)} \int_E \alpha_j(|x|) d\Phi_j^j(v|_E, x) dE$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

Here in the summand $j = 0$ we define $d\Phi_0^0(v|_E, \cdot)$ to be the Dirac point measure at 0 and note that this summand is just a constant functional on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

The following integral-geometric formulas are obtained from Theorem 1.5 by using Lemma 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *For $0 \leq j \leq k < n$ and $\zeta \in D_k^n$,*

$$V_{j, \zeta}^{n,*}(v) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k \kappa_{n-k}} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} V_{j, \xi}^{k,*}(v|_E) dE$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, where $\xi \in D_j^k$ is given by

$$\xi(s) := \frac{\kappa_{n-k}}{\binom{n-j}{k-j}} (s^{n-k} \zeta(s) + (n-k) \int_s^\infty t^{n-k-1} \zeta(t) dt)$$

for $s > 0$.

For results of a similar nature we refer to [9, Theorem 2.1], where Crofton formulas for Hessian measures were established. The following special case of the previous theorem corresponds to Theorem 1.6. Combined with properties of the integral transform mapping ζ to α (see Lemma 3.8), it shows that Theorem 4.4 is equivalent to Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.6. *For $0 \leq j < n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$,*

$$V_{j, \zeta}^{n,*}(v) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} \int_E \alpha(|x|) d\Phi_j^j(v|_E, x) dE$$

for every $v \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, where $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ is given by

$$\alpha(s) := \kappa_{n-j}(s^{n-j}\zeta(s) + (n-j) \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1}\zeta(t) dt)$$

for $s > 0$.

5. ADDITIONAL RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present a second proof of Theorem 1.5, which uses Theorem 1.4, and establish connections between functional intrinsic volumes and their classical counterparts. We also answer questions about non-negative and monotone valuations.

We require the following result, which follows from [15, Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 3.24].

Lemma 5.1 ([15]). *If $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(u_t) = \kappa_n \binom{n}{j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(t)$$

for $t \geq 0$, where $u_t(x) := t|x| + \mathbf{I}_{B^n}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

5.1. Second Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\xi = \frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \zeta$$

and Lemma 3.8 implies that $\xi \in D_j^k$. For $j = 0$, the result now follows from Lemma 3.13. Thus, let $j > 0$. For every $E \in \mathbf{G}(n, k)$, it easily follows from (3.2) that $\text{proj}_E(t \cdot u) = t \cdot \text{proj}_E u$ for every $t > 0$ and $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, using Lemma 3.4, we obtain that the right side of (1.4) defines a continuous, epi-translation and rotation invariant valuation that is epi-homogeneous of degree j . Thus, by Theorem 1.4, there exists $\tilde{\zeta} \in D_j^n$ such that

$$\frac{\kappa_n \binom{n}{j}}{\kappa_k \binom{k}{j}} \int_{\mathbf{G}(n,k)} V_{j,\mathcal{R}^{n-k}\zeta}^k(\text{proj}_E u) dE = V_{j,\tilde{\zeta}}^n(u)$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We need to show that $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta$.

Indeed, for $t \geq 0$, consider the function $u_t \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined in Lemma 5.1 and observe that

$$\text{proj}_E u_t(x_E) = t|x_E| + \mathbf{I}_{B_E^k}(x_E)$$

for $x_E \in E$, where B_E^k denotes the Euclidean unit ball in the k -dimensional space E . It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\mathcal{R}^{k-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \zeta = \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \tilde{\zeta}$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta = \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \tilde{\zeta}.$$

Hence, Lemma 3.8 implies that $\tilde{\zeta} = \zeta$.

5.2. Retrieving Intrinsic Volumes and Cauchy–Kubota formulas. The space, \mathcal{K}^n , of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n can be embedded into the function space $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by identifying $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with its indicator function $\mathbf{I}_K \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Similarly, we can embed \mathcal{K}^n into $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ by identifying K with its support function $h_K \in \text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$. As the following results show, the functional intrinsic volumes generalize the classical intrinsic volumes, and it is easy to retrieve the intrinsic volume V_j on \mathcal{K}^n from both $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}$ on $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 5.2. *If $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(\mathbf{I}_K) = \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(0) V_j(K)$$

or equivalently

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(\mathbf{I}_K) = (n-j) \kappa_{n-j} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1} \zeta(t) dt V_j(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. If $\zeta \in D_n^n$, then

$$V_{n,\zeta}^n(\mathbf{I}_K) = \zeta(0) V_n(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ be given and $0 \leq j \leq n$. It follows from (3.1) that $\text{proj}_E \mathbf{I}_K = \mathbf{I}_{\text{proj}_E K}$ and thus,

$$\int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E \mathbf{I}_K)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E \mathbf{I}_K(x_E)|) dx_E = \int_{\text{proj}_E K} \alpha(0) dx_E = \alpha(0) V_j(\text{proj}_E K)$$

for every $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ and $E \in G(n, j)$, where integration is with respect to the Lebesgue measure on E . Hence, combining this, Theorem 1.6, Lemma 3.6 and (1.1), we obtain

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(\mathbf{I}_K) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j} \binom{n}{j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(0) \int_{G(n,j)} V_j(\text{proj}_E K) dE = \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(0) V_j(K),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Since $\mathbf{I}_K^* = h_K$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, we immediately obtain the following dual statement.

Proposition 5.3. *If $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$, then*

$$V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}(h_K) = \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(0) V_j(K)$$

or equivalently

$$V_{j,\zeta}^{n,*}(h_K) = (n-j) \kappa_{n-j} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1} \zeta(t) dt V_j(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$. If $\zeta \in D_n^n$, then

$$V_{n,\zeta}^{n,*}(h_K) = \zeta(0) V_n(K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

We remark that it is possible to prove Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, without using Theorem 1.6, by direct calculation.

Proposition 5.2 shows that our new Cauchy–Kubota formulas generalize the classical ones. In order to see this, let $0 \leq j \leq k < n$ and choose $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ such that $\alpha(0) \neq 0$. Set $\zeta := \mathcal{R}^{-(n-j)} \alpha$ and note

that by Lemma 3.8 we have $\zeta \in D_j^n$. Choosing $u = \mathbf{I}_K$ for some convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ in Theorem 1.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{n-j}\alpha(0)V_j(K) &= V_{j,\zeta}^n(\mathbf{I}_K) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k} \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n-j}{k-j}} \int_{G(n,k)} V_{j,\mathcal{R}^{n-k}\zeta}^k(\text{proj}_E \mathbf{I}_K) dE \\ &= \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k} \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n-j}{k-j}} \int_{G(n,k)} \kappa_{k-j}(\mathcal{R}^{k-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \mathcal{R}^{-(n-j)} \alpha)(0) V_j(\text{proj}_E K) dE, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Proposition 5.2 and the fact that $\text{proj}_E \mathbf{I}_K = \mathbf{I}_{\text{proj}_E K}$ for $E \in G(n, k)$, which follows from (3.1). Since $\mathcal{R}^{k-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-k} \mathcal{R}^{-(n-j)} \alpha = \alpha$ and $\alpha(0) \neq 0$, we therefore obtain

$$\frac{\kappa_{n-j}}{\kappa_{k-j}} \binom{n-j}{k-j} V_j(K) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_k} \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(n,k)} V_j(\text{proj}_E K) dE.$$

Note that the special case $j = k$ is just (1.1).

5.3. Non-negative and Monotone Valuations. Theorem 1.6 allows us to easily answer the question under which conditions on $\zeta \in D_j^n$ the valuation $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ is non-negative.

Let $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\zeta \in D_j^n$. Recall that $\alpha \in C_c([0, \infty))$ is given by

$$\alpha(s) = \kappa_{n-j}(s^{n-j}\zeta(s) + (n-j) \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1}\zeta(t) dt) = \kappa_{n-j} \mathcal{R}^{n-j} \zeta(s)$$

for $s > 0$. Since

$$V_{j,\zeta}^n(u) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_j \kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \int_{G(n,j)} \int_{\text{dom}(\text{proj}_E u)} \alpha(|\nabla \text{proj}_E u(x_E)|) dx_E dE$$

for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is easy to see that if α is non-negative, then so is $V_{j,\zeta}^n$.

Conversely, assume that $V_{j,\zeta}^n(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 5.1 we now have

$$0 \leq V_{j,\zeta}^n(u_t) = \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n-j}} \binom{n}{j} \alpha(t)$$

for every $t \geq 0$. Thus, α needs to be non-negative.

In the cases $j = 0$ and $j = n$, non-negativity is easy to describe. Thus, we have shown the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *For $j = 0$, the valuation $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ is non-negative if and only if $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_s^\infty t^{n-1}\zeta(t) dt \geq 0$. For $j = n$, the valuation $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ is non-negative if and only if ζ is non-negative. For $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, the valuation $V_{j,\zeta}^n$ is non-negative if and only if*

$$s^{n-j}\zeta(s) + (n-j) \int_s^\infty t^{n-j-1}\zeta(t) dt \geq 0$$

for every $s > 0$.

A valuation $Z: \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *increasing*, if $Z(u_1) \leq Z(u_2)$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_1 \leq u_2$. It is *decreasing* if $Z(u_1) \geq Z(u_2)$ for all $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_1 \leq u_2$. It is *monotone* if it is decreasing or increasing.

Proposition 5.5. *If Z is a continuous, epi-translation invariant, and monotone valuation on $\text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then Z is constant.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that Z is increasing. By Lemma 2.3 and the continuity of Z , it is sufficient to prove that $Z(u_1) = Z(u_2)$ for every $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{dom}(u_1) = \text{dom}(u_2) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix two such functions $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Conv}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $r > 0$, let $B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\}$ and set

$$u_{1,r} = u_1 + \mathbf{I}_{B_r}, \quad u_{2,r} = u_2 + \mathbf{I}_{B_r}.$$

As u_1 and u_2 are continuous in B_r , there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$u_{2,r}(x) - \gamma \leq u_{1,r}(x) \leq u_{2,r}(x) + \gamma$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From the epi-translation invariance and monotonicity of Z , we deduce

$$Z(u_{1,r}) = Z(u_{2,r}),$$

and this equality holds for every $r > 0$. On the other hand $u_{1,r}$ and $u_{2,r}$ epi-converge to u_1 and u_2 , respectively, as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The continuity of Z implies that $Z(u_1) = Z(u_2)$. \square

We remark that monotone functionals on convex functions that are epi-additive, that is, additive with respect to infimal convolution, were classified in [38]. Rigid motion invariant and monotone valuations (that are not necessarily epi-translation invariant) were studied in [8].

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for their careful reading and helpful remarks. M. Ludwig was supported, in part, by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 34446. F. Mussnig was supported, in part, by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 770127) and, in part, by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): J 4490-N.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alesker, *Continuous rotation invariant valuations on convex sets*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 977–1005.
- [2] S. Alesker, *Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen's conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), 244–272.
- [3] S. Alesker, *Valuations on convex functions and convex sets and Monge–Ampère operators*, Adv. Geom. **19** (2019), 313–322.
- [4] S. Alesker and D. Faifman, *Convex valuations invariant under the Lorentz group*, J. Differential Geom. **98** (2014), 183–236.
- [5] A. Bernig and D. Faifman, *Valuation theory of indefinite orthogonal groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **273** (2017), 2167–2247.
- [6] A. Bernig and J. H. G. Fu, *Hermitian integral geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), 907–945.
- [7] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *The Gauss image problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **73** (2020), 1406–1452.
- [8] L. Cavallina and A. Colesanti, *Monotone valuations on the space of convex functions*, Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces **3** (2015), 167–211.
- [9] A. Colesanti and D. Hug, *Hessian measures of semi-convex functions and applications to support measures of convex bodies*, Manuscripta Math. **101** (2000), 209–238.
- [10] A. Colesanti and D. Hug, *Steiner type formulae and weighted measures of singularities for semi-convex functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 3239–3263.
- [11] A. Colesanti, M. Ludwig, and F. Mussnig, *Minkowski valuations on convex functions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **56** (2017), Art. 162.
- [12] A. Colesanti, M. Ludwig, and F. Mussnig, *Valuations on convex functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), 2384–2410.
- [13] A. Colesanti, M. Ludwig, and F. Mussnig, *A homogeneous decomposition theorem for valuations on convex functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), 108573.
- [14] A. Colesanti, M. Ludwig, and F. Mussnig, *Hessian valuations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **69** (2020), 1275–1315.
- [15] A. Colesanti, M. Ludwig, and F. Mussnig, *The Hadwiger theorem on convex functions, I*, arXiv:2009.03702 (2020).
- [16] A. Colesanti, D. Pagnini, P. Tradacete, and I. Villanueva, *A class of invariant valuations on $\text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$* , Adv. Math. **366** (2020), 107069.

- [17] A. Colesanti, D. Pagnini, P. Tradacete, and I. Villanueva, *Continuous valuations on the space of Lipschitz functions on the sphere*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **280** (2021), 108873.
- [18] C. Haberl, *Minkowski valuations intertwining with the special linear group*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **14** (2012), 1565–1597.
- [19] C. Haberl and L. Parapatits, *The centro-affine Hadwiger theorem*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (2014), 685–705.
- [20] H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
- [21] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *Geometric measures in the dual Brunn–Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems*, *Acta Math.* **216** (2016), 325–388.
- [22] D. A. Klain and G.-C. Rota, *Introduction to Geometric Probability*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [23] J. Knoerr, *The support of dually epi-translation invariant valuations on convex functions*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **281** (2021), 109059.
- [24] J. Knoerr, *Smooth valuations on convex functions*, *J. Differential Geom.*, in press.
- [25] J. Li and D. Ma, *Laplace transforms and valuations*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** (2017), 738–758.
- [26] M. Ludwig, *Ellipsoids and matrix valued valuations*, *Duke Math. J.* **119** (2003), 159–188.
- [27] M. Ludwig, *Minkowski areas and valuations*, *J. Differential Geom.* **86** (2010), 133–161.
- [28] M. Ludwig, *Fisher information and valuations*, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 2700–2711.
- [29] M. Ludwig, *Valuations on Sobolev spaces*, *Amer. J. Math.* **134** (2012), 827–842.
- [30] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, *A characterization of affine surface area*, *Adv. Math.* **147** (1999), 138–172.
- [31] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, *A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **172** (2010), 1219–1267.
- [32] V. D. Milman and L. Rotem, *Mixed integrals and related inequalities*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **264** (2013), 570–604.
- [33] F. Mussnig, *Volume, polar volume and Euler characteristic for convex functions*, *Adv. Math.* **344** (2019), 340–373.
- [34] F. Mussnig, *$SL(n)$ invariant valuations on super-coercive convex functions*, *Canad. J. Math.* **73** (2021), 108–130.
- [35] R.C. Reilly, *On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph*, *Michigan Math. J.* **20** (1973), 373–383.
- [36] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997, Reprint of the 1970 Original, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [37] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets, *Variational Analysis*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 317, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [38] L. Rotem, *A Riesz representation theorem for functionals on log-concave functions*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **282** (2022), 109396.
- [39] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski Theory*, Second expanded ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [40] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, *Hessian measures. I*, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **10** (1997), 225–239.
- [41] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, *Hessian measures. II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), 579–604.
- [42] A. Tsang, *Valuations on L^p spaces*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **20** (2010), 3993–4023.
- [43] I. Villanueva, *Radial continuous rotation invariant valuations on star bodies*, *Adv. Math.* **291** (2016), 961–981.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA “U. DINI” UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A - 50134, FIRENZE, ITALY

Email address: andrea.colesanti@unifi.it

INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8-10/1046, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA

Email address: monika.ludwig@tuwien.ac.at

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA “U. DINI” UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIALE MORGAGNI 67/A - 50134, FIRENZE, ITALY

Email address: mussnig@gmail.com