

SINGULARITY FORMATION IN THE HARMONIC MAP FLOW WITH FREE BOUNDARY

YANNICK SIRE, JUNCHENG WEI, AND YOUQUAN ZHENG

ABSTRACT. In the past years, there has been a new light shed on the harmonic map problem with free boundary in view of its connection with nonlocal equations. Here we fully exploit this link, considering the harmonic map flow with free boundary

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ \frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t) \perp T_{u(x,0,t)}\mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

for a function $u : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Here $u_0 : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a given smooth map and \perp stands for orthogonality. We prove the existence of initial data u_0 such that (0.1) blows up at finite time with a profile being the half-harmonic map. This answers a question raised by Yunmei Chen and Fanghua Lin in Remark 4.9 of [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M and N be an l -dimensional manifold without boundary. Suppose Σ is a k -dimensional submanifold in N without boundary. Any continuous map $u_0 : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying $u_0(\partial M) \subset \Sigma$ defines a relative homotopy class in maps from $(M, \partial M)$ to (N, Σ) . A map $u : M \rightarrow N$ with $u(\partial M) \subset \Sigma$ is called homotopic to u_0 if there exist a continuous homotopy $h : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow N$ satisfying $h([0, 1] \times \partial M) \subset \Sigma$, $h(0) = u_0$ and $h(1) = u$. An interesting problem is that whether or not each relative homotopy class of maps has a representation by harmonic maps, which is equivalent to the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \Gamma(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u), \\ u(\partial M) \subset \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u \perp T_u \Sigma. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here ν is the unit normal vector of M along the boundary ∂M , $\Delta \equiv \Delta_M$ is Laplace-Beltrami operator of M , Γ is the second fundamental form of N (viewed as a submanifold in \mathbb{R}^n), $T_p N$ is the tangent space in \mathbb{R}^n of N at p and \perp means orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^n . (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrangian equation for critical points of the following energy functional

$$E(u) = \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dM$$

defined on the space of maps

$$H_\Sigma^1(M, N) = \{u \in H^1(M, N) : u(\partial M) \subset \Sigma\}.$$

Here $H^1(M, N)$ is the usual Sobolev space of maps $u : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying $\nabla u \in L^2$. Existence results and partial regularity of energy minimizing maps on $H^1_\Sigma(M, N)$ were established (for example) in [1], [13], [14], [18], [20]. A classical method for (1.1) is to study the following parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = \Gamma(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) \text{ on } M \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, t) \in \Sigma \text{ on } x \in \partial M, t \geq 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u(x, t) \perp T_{u(x, t)} \Sigma \text{ for } x \in \partial M, t \geq 0, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \text{ on } M. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

This is the so-called harmonic map flow with free boundary. (1.2) was first studied by Ma [24] in the case $m = \dim M = 2$, where a global existence and uniqueness result for finite energy weak solutions were obtained under geometrical hypotheses on N and Σ . Global existence theorem for weak solutions of (1.2) were also established by Struwe in [33]. In [19], Hamilton considered the case when $\partial N = \Sigma$ is totally geodesic and $k_N \leq 0$. He proved the global existence of a classical solution for (1.2). When $N = \mathbb{R}^n$, (1.2) is the standard heat equation

$$u_t - \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } M \times [0, \infty).$$

As pointed out in [4] and [33], estimates near the boundary for (1.2) are quite difficult due to the high nonlinearity of the boundary conditions.

In the seminal paper [4] by Chen and Lin, the blow-up phenomenon for harmonic map flow with free boundary problem was studied, where the authors gave many blow-up examples in higher dimensions and also a blowing-up theorem. In low dimensions, they asked the following question: “When M is a smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ and Σ a smooth compact submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , is there is a smooth initial datum u_0 such that (1.2) has no global smooth solutions?” . In this paper we answer this question affirmatively. More precisely we consider the problem (1.2) when $M = \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. the following parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t) \perp T_{u(x, 0, t)} \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0, T), \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

for a function $u : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Here $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a given smooth map and \perp stands for orthogonality.

The stationary solution of (1.3) $u : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+ \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0) \in \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ := \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}, \\ -\frac{du}{dy}(x, 0) \perp T_{u(x, 0)} \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0) \in \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

This is the harmonic extension form of the so-called half harmonic map from \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{S}^1 , which was systematically studied in [27] and the nondegeneracy property was proved in [30]. In particular, it was proved in [27] that

Proposition 1.1. *Let $u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}^1)$ be a non-constant entire half-harmonic map and u^e be its harmonic extension to \mathbb{R}^2_+ satisfying (1.4). There exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^d \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^d \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^e(z)$ or its complex conjugate equals to

$$e^{i\vartheta} \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\lambda_k(z - a_k) - i}{\lambda_k(z - a_k) + i}.$$

Furthermore, the energy can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{E}(u, \mathbb{R}) = [u]_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla u^e|^2 dz = \pi d.$$

This proposition indicates that the map $\omega : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$

$$x \rightarrow \left(\frac{2x}{x^2+1}, \frac{x^2-1}{x^2+1} \right) \quad (1.5)$$

is a half-harmonic map which corresponds to the case $\vartheta = 0$, $d = 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $a_1 = 0$. The harmonic extension of ω takes the form

$$\omega(x, y) = \left(\frac{2x}{x^2+(y+1)^2}, \frac{x^2+y^2-1}{x^2+(y+1)^2} \right),$$

which is a solution to (1.4). Notice that the previous equations involve nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions. This is a feature of nonlocal problems and as previously mentioned, we shall exploit this fact in a systematic way. Our main result is

Theorem 1. *Given points $q = (q_1, \dots, q_k) \in (\partial\mathbb{R}_+^2)^k := (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})^k$ and any sufficiently small $T > 0$, there exists u_0 such that the solution $u_q(x, t)$ of Problem (1.3) blows-up at exactly those k points as $t \nearrow T$. More precisely, there exist numbers $k_i^* > 0$ and a function $u_* \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ such that*

$$u_q(x, y, t) - u_*(x, y) - \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\omega \left(\frac{x - q_j}{\lambda_j}, \frac{y}{\lambda_j} \right) - \omega(\infty) \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \nearrow T,$$

in the H^1 and uniform senses in \mathbb{R}_+^2 where

$$\lambda_i(t) = k_i^* \frac{T - t}{|\log(T - t)|^2} (1 + o(1)) \text{ as } t \nearrow T.$$

In particular, we have

$$|\nabla u_q(\cdot, \cdot, t)|^2 \rightarrow |\nabla u_*|^2 + 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_{q_j} \text{ as } t \nearrow T.$$

In [21], Jost, Liu and Zhu studied the qualitative behaviour at singularities of (1.2). More precisely, they showed that nontrivial harmonic maps and nontrivial harmonic maps with free boundary can split off at interior singularity points and boundary singularity points respectively, the energy identity and the no-neck property holding in the blowing-up process for (1.2). Theorem 1 gives an example of finite time blow-up in the critical space dimension, which completes the picture of the singularity analysis for the harmonic map flow with free boundary.

To prove this theorem, we will use the *inner-outer gluing scheme* which was proved to be useful in singular perturbation elliptic problems, for example, [9], [10], [11]. This method has also been developed into various parabolic flows, for example, the infinite time blowing-up solutions for critical nonlinear heat equation [6], [12],

singularity formation for two dimensional harmonic map flow [7], type II ancient solution for Yamabe flow [8].

Images of half-harmonic maps are special cases of minimal surfaces (or hypersurfaces) with free boundary, which have been extensively studied in recent years (see for instance [16], [17] and the survey articles [5], [28], [25]). Gluing methods have been used successfully in the doubling and tripling constructions as well as desingularization constructions of free boundary minimal surfaces (see e.g. [15], [22] and [23]). We believe that the parabolic *inner-outer gluing scheme* used in this paper can further be applied to the constructions in minimal surfaces (or hypersurfaces) with free boundary.

Results similar to Theorem 1 have been established by Davila, del Pino and the second author in [7] in the case of two dimensional harmonic map flow into \mathbb{S}^2 , see [29] for earlier results in the corrotational case. Comparing with [7], the main difficulty in this paper is the *nonlocality* of the problem (1.3). In fact, according to [32], we can write problem (1.3) as

$$\sqrt{\partial_t - \Delta}u = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t).$$

The problem under consideration interpolates between the two-dimensional harmonic map flow and the half-harmonic map flow. It inherits characteristics from both problems. In [31] we showed that for the half-harmonic map flow, infinite time blow-up exists. In this paper we combine techniques from both papers [7] and [31] to prove finite time blow up for (1.3), which is unknown even in the corrotational case. The flow under consideration is actually a reminiscence of a nonlocal geometric flow involving the operator $\sqrt{\partial_t - \Delta}$ described in [32], as previously mentioned, and enjoys nice monotonicity properties (see for instance [2] for general considerations). The techniques used in the present paper can also be used to deal with infinite-time blow up for the flow

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^{m+1} \times (0, \infty), \\ -\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\partial u(\cdot, t)}{\partial y} = u^{p_*}, \end{cases}$$

where p_* is the critical exponent for the Trace Sobolev embedding. The construction of blowing-up solutions with profiles being high degree half harmonic maps is also an interesting problem. In this case we expect that there will be no finite time blow-up and instead infinite-time blow up may occur. This is due to L^2 integrability of the kernel corresponding to the scaling. We plan to come back to these problems later.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION

From [32], we know that problem (1.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, y, t) = u_0(x, y) \text{ for all } (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Note that we use the factor $\frac{1}{8\pi}$ to keep (2.1) agree with the half-harmonic map equation when u is independent of t . Here and in the following, $\frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t)$ always means $\frac{du}{dy}|_{(x,0,t)}$.

2.1. Setting up the problem. Our aim is to find a solution of (2.1) which looks like

$$U(x, y, t) := U_{\lambda, \xi}(x, y) = \omega\left(\frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}, \frac{y}{\lambda}\right)$$

at main order, where $\omega(x, y) = \left(\frac{2x}{x^2 + (y+1)^2}, \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{x^2 + (y+1)^2}\right)$ is the extension form of the canonical least energy half-harmonic map (1.5). We look for parameter functions $\lambda(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ of class C^1 satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \lambda(t) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \xi(t) = q \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

and a solution to (2.1) with form $u(x, y, t) = U(x, y, t) + \varphi(x, y, t)$ blowing up at $t = T$ and the point $(q, 0)$. Here $\varphi(x, y, t)$ is a small perturbation term.

Note that problem (2.1) is also equivalent to

$$\sqrt{\partial_t - \Delta}u = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t). \quad (2.2)$$

for all $(x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, T)$. We refer the interested readers to [32] for the definition of $\sqrt{\partial_t - \Delta}u$. Since $u(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1$, as in [7], we parameterize the admissible perturbation by free small functions $\varphi : \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with the following form

$$p(\varphi) := \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)U,$$

where

$$\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi := \varphi - (\varphi \cdot U)U, \quad a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) := \sqrt{1 + (\varphi \cdot U)^2 - |\varphi|^2} - 1 = \sqrt{1 - |\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi|^2} - 1,$$

hence $|U + p(\varphi)|^2 = 1$ holds on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, T)$. Considering the error operator defined as

$$S(u) = -\sqrt{\partial_t - \Delta}u + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t),$$

a useful observation is that if φ solves

$$S(U + \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)U) + \tilde{b}(x, 0, t)U = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for some scalar function $\tilde{b}(x, 0, t)$ and $|\varphi| < \frac{1}{2}$, then $u = U + \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)U$ satisfies (2.2), that is to say, $S(U + \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)U) = 0$. Indeed, since $|u| = 1$, $-\tilde{b}(x, t)U \cdot u = S(u) \cdot u = 0$. On the other hand, since $|\varphi| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $|a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$, thus $U \cdot u = 1 + a(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) > 0$ and therefore $\tilde{b} \equiv 0$. Hence we only need to solve (2.3).

Equivalently, we will find $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (U + \varphi)_t = \Delta(U + \varphi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{d(U + \varphi)}{dy}(x, 0, t) = \\ \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t) \right) \Big|_{u=U+\varphi} \\ (U + \varphi) + \tilde{b}(x, 0, t)U \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T) \end{array} \right.$$

holds. Let us define the error operators as

$$S_1(u) = -u_t + \Delta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T),$$

$$S_2(u) = \frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t)$$

in $(x, 0, t) \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)$. For each fixed t , since U is a half-harmonic map, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta U = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ -\frac{dU}{dy}(x, 0, t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] U(x, 0, t) \text{ in } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence $S_1(U) = -U_t$ and

$$S_2(U) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] \\ - \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] \end{array} \right\} U(x, 0, t)$$

Now we compute

$$0 = S_1(U + \varphi) = -U_t - \partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= S_2(U + \varphi) = S_2(U + \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi + aU) \\ &= \frac{d}{dy} \varphi(x, 0, \tau) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) + b(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi)U \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t - \tau)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi(x - z, 0, t - \tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] U(x, 0, t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a(x, 0, t)U(x, 0, t) - a(x-z, 0, t-\tau)U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \right. \\
&\quad \cdot (U(x, 0, t) + \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t-\tau) - \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \times \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \\
&+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (U(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \\
&+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (a(x, 0, t)U(x, 0, t) - a(x-z, 0, t-\tau)U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdot (a(x, 0, t)U(x, 0, t) - a(x-z, 0, t-\tau)U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \Big) \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
b(\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi) &= \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(U + \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi)U)(x, 0, t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (U + \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi + a(\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi)U)(x-z, 0, t-\tau) \right|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dy d\tau \Big) (1+a) \\
&- \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (U(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp}\varphi(x-z, 0, t-\tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dy d\tau \\
&- \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$S_1(U) = -U_t = -\mathcal{E}_0(x, y, t) - \mathcal{E}_1(x, y, t).$$

Here

$$\mathcal{E}_0(x, y, t) = \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2+(y^2-\lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\lambda} \approx \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)}{(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2} \right) \dot{\lambda}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1(x, y, t) = \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\xi}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_0(x, y, t)$ is not L^2 integrable, we shall decompose the correction φ into $\varphi = \Phi^* + \Phi$ and system (2.4), (2.5) transforms into the following

$$0 = S_1(U + \varphi) = -U_t - \partial_t \Phi^* + \Delta \Phi^* - \partial_t \Phi + \Delta \Phi \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dy} \Phi^*(x, 0, \tau) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \Phi^*) \\ &+ \frac{d}{dy} \Phi(x, 0, \tau) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \Phi) + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}(\Phi^* + \Phi)) + \tilde{b}(x, 0, t)U. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The correction Φ^* will be chosen such that the term $-\mathcal{E}_0$ is canceled at main order away from the blow up point $(\xi, 0)$.

2.2. The definition of Φ^* . Let us consider the linear problem (2.7),

$$0 = -\partial_t \Phi + \Delta \Phi + \mathcal{E}_1^*$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1^* = -U_t - \partial_t \Phi^* + \Delta \Phi^*.$$

Our aim is to construct a function Φ^* such that \mathcal{E}_1^* is smaller than the largest term $-\mathcal{E}_0$ of the initial error $-U_t$ given by (2.6) away from the blow-up point q .

As in [7], we decompose Φ^* into the following form

$$\Phi^* := \Phi^0[\lambda, \xi] + Z^*(x, y, t)$$

where

$$Z^*(x, y, t) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1^*(x, y, t) \\ z_2^*(x, y, t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a solution of the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Z^* = \Delta Z^* \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{d}{dy} Z^*(x, 0, t) = 0 \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ Z^*(x, y, 0) = Z_0^*(x, y) \end{cases}$$

independent of the parameter functions. Further assumptions on Z_0^* will be given in subsection 2.5. $\Phi^0[\lambda, \xi]$ is an explicit function satisfying

$$-\mathcal{E}_0 - \partial_t \Phi^* + \Delta \Phi^* \approx 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Observe that if ϕ^0 is a solution to

$$-\phi_t^0 + \Delta \phi^0 - \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)}{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2 + \lambda^2} - \frac{-2y}{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2 + \lambda^2} \right) \dot{\lambda} = 0,$$

then $\Phi^0 = \phi^0$ will satisfy (2.9). Set

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= -2\dot{\lambda}, \\ z(r) &= \sqrt{(x-\xi)^2 + y^2 + \lambda^2}, \\ \phi^0(x, y, t) &= \begin{pmatrix} x-\xi \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \psi(z(r), t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi(z, t)$ satisfies

$$\psi_t = \psi_{zz} + \frac{3\psi_z}{z} + \frac{p(t)}{z^2}$$

which is the radially symmetric form of an inhomogeneous heat equation in \mathbb{R}^4 . Then Duhamel's formula gives the following expression for a weak solution

$$\psi(z, t) = \int_{-T}^t p(s)k(z, t-s)ds, \quad k(z, t) = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}}}{z^2},$$

where $p(t)$ is also defined for negative values of t by setting $p(t) = -2\dot{\lambda}(0)$ for $t \in [-T, 0)$. Now we define

$$\Phi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^0 \\ \varphi^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\varphi^0 = (x - \xi) \int_{-T}^t p(s)k(z(r), t-s)ds$$

and

$$\varphi^1 = -y \int_{-T}^t p(s)k(z(r), t-s)ds.$$

Now, we compute

$$-\Phi_t^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -(x - \xi)\psi_t + \dot{\xi} \int_{-T}^t p(s)k(z(r), t-s)ds \\ + \frac{x - \xi}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z(z(r), t-s)ds [(x - \xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \\ y\psi_t - \frac{y}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z(z(r), t-s)ds [(x - \xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta\Phi^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 3(x - \xi) \int_{-T}^t p(s)k_z \frac{1}{z} ds + (x - \xi) \int_{-T}^t p(s)k_{zz} ds \\ + (x - \xi) \frac{\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[k_z z - k_{zz} z^2] ds \\ -3y \int_{-T}^t p(s)k_z \frac{1}{z} ds - y \int_{-T}^t p(s)k_{zz} ds - y \frac{\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[k_z z - k_{zz} z^2] ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$-\Phi_t^0 + \Delta\Phi^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -(x - \xi) \frac{p(t)}{z^2} + \frac{(x - \xi)\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2 k_{zz}] ds \\ y \frac{p(t)}{z^2} - \frac{y\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2 k_{zz}] ds \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \int_{-T}^t p(s)k ds + \frac{(x - \xi)}{z^2} [(x - \xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \\ - \frac{y}{z^2} [(x - \xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.3. Estimate of the inner error. Now we compute the inner error $\mathcal{E}_1^* := -\Phi_t^* + \Delta\Phi^* - U_t$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_1^* &= -\Phi_t^* + \Delta\Phi^* - U_t \\
&= -[\Phi^0 + Z^*]_t + \Delta[\Phi^0 + Z^*] - U_t \\
&= \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_1 - U_t \\
&= \left(-(x-\xi)\frac{p(t)}{z^2} + \frac{(x-\xi)\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \right) \\
&\quad + \left(y\frac{p(t)}{z^2} - \frac{y\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\dot{\xi} \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds + \frac{(x-\xi)}{z^2} [(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{y}{z^2} [(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2 + (y^2 - \lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\lambda} - \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\xi} \\
&= \left(2(x-\xi)\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{z^2} + \frac{(x-\xi)\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \right) \\
&\quad - \left(2y\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{z^2} - \frac{y\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\dot{\xi} \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds + \frac{(x-\xi)}{z^2} [(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{y}{z^2} [(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda] \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2 + (y^2 - \lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\lambda} - \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \dot{\xi},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_1^* &= \dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)}{z^2} - \frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2 + (y^2 - \lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) - \dot{\xi} \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda^2}{z^4} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \begin{pmatrix} x-\xi \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{[(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda]}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \begin{pmatrix} x-\xi \\ -y \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)}{z^2} - \frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2 + (y^2 - \lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) - \dot{\xi} \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2 + (y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2 + 1)^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{[(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda]}{z^2} r \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the notations $r = \sqrt{(x - \xi)^2 + y^2}$, $\rho = r/\lambda$ and $r\lambda^2/z^4 = \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2+1)^2}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_1^* &= \dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{2(x-\xi)}{z^2} - \frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2+(y^2-\lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) - \dot{\xi} \left(\frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} - \frac{4\lambda(x-\xi)(y+\lambda)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2+1)^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{[(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda]r}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dot{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(x-\xi)}{r} \\ -\frac{2y}{r} \end{pmatrix} r \left[\frac{1}{(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2} - \frac{(x-\xi)^2+y^2}{[(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2]^2} \right] \\
&\quad + \dot{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 2(x-\xi) \frac{(x-\xi)^2+y^2}{[(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2]^2} - \frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2+(y^2-\lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \\ -2y \frac{(x-\xi)^2+y^2}{[(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2]^2} + \frac{2y(y+\lambda)^2+2(x-\xi)^2(y+2\lambda)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \dot{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \\ -\frac{4\lambda(x-\xi)(y+\lambda)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2+1)^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{[(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda]r}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \dot{\lambda} \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2+1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(x-\xi)}{r} \\ -\frac{2y}{r} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \dot{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 2(x-\xi) \frac{(x-\xi)^2+y^2}{[(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2]^2} - \frac{2(x-\xi)[(x-\xi)^2+(y^2-\lambda^2)]}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \\ -2y \frac{(x-\xi)^2+y^2}{[(x-\xi)^2+y^2+\lambda^2]^2} + \frac{2y(y+\lambda)^2+2(x-\xi)^2(y+2\lambda)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \dot{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\lambda(x+y+\lambda-\xi)(x-y-\lambda-\xi)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \\ -\frac{4\lambda(x-\xi)(y+\lambda)}{((x-\xi)^2+(y+\lambda)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{\rho}{\lambda(\rho^2+1)^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)[zk_z - z^2k_{zz}]ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{[(x-\xi)\dot{\xi} - \dot{\lambda}\lambda]r}{z^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s)zk_z ds \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x-\xi}{r} \\ -\frac{y}{r} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{-T}^t p(s)kds \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.4. Estimate of the boundary error. Equation (2.8) can be approximated by the following linear problem

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{d}{dy}\Phi(x, 0, \tau) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}\Phi) + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}(\Phi^* + \Phi)) + \tilde{b}(x, 0, t)U,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_2^* = \frac{d}{dy}\Phi^*(x, 0, \tau) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}\Phi^*).$$

Now we compute the boundary error \mathcal{E}_2^* with $\Phi^* = \Phi^0 + Z^*$. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} [\Phi^0 + Z^*] &= \frac{d}{dy} \Phi^0 \\ &= \frac{d}{dy} \begin{pmatrix} (x - \xi) \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(z(r), t - s) ds \\ -y \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(z(r), t - s) ds \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ - \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(z(r), t - s)|_{y=0} ds \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ - \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(\sqrt{(x - \xi)^2 + \lambda^2}, t - s) ds \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, when $T > 0$ is sufficiently small, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2^* &= \frac{d}{dy} \Phi^0(x, 0, t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t - \tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] \Pi_{U^\perp} [\Phi^*] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (U(x, 0, t) - U(x - z, 0, t - \tau)) \\ &\quad \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp} [\Phi^*](x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp} [\Phi^*](x - z, 0, t - \tau)) \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau U(x, 0, t) \\ &\approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ - \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(\sqrt{(x - \xi)^2 + \lambda^2}, t - s) ds \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{y=\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{1+y^2} \begin{pmatrix} y \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(\sqrt{\lambda^2 y^2 + \lambda^2}, t - s) ds \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{y=\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{1+y^2} \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} z_1^*(\xi + \lambda y, 0, t) \\ z_2^*(\xi + \lambda y, 0, t) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{y=\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}} + b(x, 0, t) U(x, 0, t) \end{aligned}$$

for some scalar function $b(x, 0, t)$ which depends on $\varphi(x, 0, t)$.

2.5. Improve error near the blow up point: choice of λ and ξ . System (2.7) and (2.8) can be approximated by the following linear problem

$$0 = -\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi + \mathcal{E}_1^* \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$0 = \frac{d}{dy} \varphi(x, 0, t) + L_U(\varphi) + \mathcal{E}_2^* + b(x, 0, t) U, \quad \varphi(x, 0, t) \cdot U(x, 0, t) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

A choice of the parameter functions is possible when suitable conditions $Z_0^*(x, y)$ are assumed. For a point $(q, 0) \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and a smooth function

$$\tilde{Z}_0(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z}_{01}(x, y) \\ \tilde{z}_{02}(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying

$$\tilde{Z}_0(q, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \partial_x \tilde{z}_{02}(q, 0) < 0,$$

we define

$$Z_0^* := \delta \tilde{Z}_0(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{01}^*(x, y) \\ z_{02}^*(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

for a fixed but small number $\delta > 0$.

If we write

$$\varphi(x, y, t) = \phi(u, v, t), \quad u = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}, \quad v = \frac{y}{\lambda},$$

then (2.10) and (2.11) becomes

$$0 = -\lambda^2 \partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi + \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_1^*$$

and

$$0 = \frac{d}{dv} \phi(u, 0, t) + L_\omega(\phi) + \lambda \mathcal{E}_2^* + b(u, 0, t)\omega, \quad \phi \cdot \omega = 0.$$

Then an improvement of the approximation can be achieved if the following time-independent problem

$$0 = \Delta \phi + \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_1^*, \tag{2.12}$$

$$0 = \frac{d}{dv} \phi(u, 0) + L_\omega(\phi) + \lambda \mathcal{E}_2^*, \quad \phi \cdot \omega = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\lim_{|(u,v)| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u, v) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \tag{2.14}$$

is satisfied approximately. Note that the decay condition (2.14) is needed to not essentially modify the size of error far away from $(q, 0)$.

2.5.1. *Nondegeneracy of the half harmonic maps.* It was proved in [30] that ω is nondegenerate, which is a crucial ingredient in the singularity formation problem of half-harmonic map flow ([31]). Observe that ω is invariant under dilation, translation and rotation, equivalently, for $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in O(2)$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the function

$$Q\omega\left(\frac{x-q}{\lambda}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \omega\left(\frac{x-q}{\lambda}\right)$$

is still a solution of problem (1.4). Differentiating with α , q and λ respectively, then we set $\alpha = 0$, $q = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and obtain that the following three functions

$$Z_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-x^2}{x^2+1} \\ \frac{2x}{x^2+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(x^2-1)}{(x^2+1)^2} \\ \frac{-4x}{(x^2+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_3(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x(x^2-1)}{(x^2+1)^2} \\ \frac{-4x^2}{(x^2+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy the linearized equation at ω of (1.4) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} v(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\omega(x) - \omega(y)|^2}{|x-y|^2} dy \right) v(x) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(x) - \omega(y)) \cdot (v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^2} dy \right) \omega(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

for $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_U \mathbb{S}^1$. Using this harmonic extension (see [3] for generalization), we have the following extension form of ω and $Z_1(x)$, $Z_2(x)$, $Z_3(x)$,

$$\omega(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x}{x^2+1} \\ \frac{x^2-1}{x^2+1} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \omega(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x}{x^2+(y+1)^2} \\ \frac{x^2+y^2-1}{x^2+(y+1)^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-x^2}{x^2+1} \\ \frac{2x}{x^2+1} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow Z_1(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-x^2-y^2}{x^2+(y+1)^2} \\ \frac{2x}{x^2+(y+1)^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\
Z_2(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(x^2-1)}{(x^2+1)^2} \\ \frac{-4x}{(x^2+1)^2} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow Z_2(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x^2-2(y+1)^2}{(x^2+(y+1)^2)^2} \\ \frac{-4x(y+1)}{(x^2+(y+1)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\
Z_3(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x(x^2-1)}{(x^2+1)^2} \\ \frac{-4x^2}{(x^2+1)^2} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow Z_3(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x(x^2+y^2-1)}{(x^2+(y+1)^2)^2} \\ -\frac{2(y(y+1)^2+x^2(2+y))}{(x^2+(y+1)^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

2.5.2. *Choice of λ .* Testing (2.12) with $Z_3(x, y)$ and integrating by parts, by the Stokes theorem and decay assumption (2.14), it holds that

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mathcal{E}_1^* \cdot Z_3 \, dudv + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}_2^* \cdot Z_3 \, du = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

From the computation of Section 2.3, we have

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mathcal{E}_1^* \cdot Z_3 \approx \pi \dot{\lambda} + \pi \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s}$$

where

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\rho^2}{(\rho^2+1)^2} [\zeta K_\zeta - \zeta^2 K_\zeta^2] |_{\zeta=\tau(1+\rho^2)} d\rho.$$

On the other hand, from Section 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}_2^* \cdot Z_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{4x^2}{(x^2+1)^2} \int_{-T}^t p(s) k(\sqrt{\lambda^2 x^2 + \lambda^2}, t-s) ds \right] dx + 2\pi b_2 \\
&= \int_{-T}^t \left[p(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{4x^2}{(x^2+1)^2} k(\sqrt{\lambda^2 x^2 + \lambda^2}, t-s) dx \right] ds + 2\pi b_2 \\
&= \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma_b \left(\frac{\lambda(t)^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s} + 2\pi b_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_b(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \frac{8\rho^2}{(\rho^2+1)^2} \frac{1-e^{-\frac{\zeta}{4}}}{\zeta} |_{\zeta=\tau(1+\rho^2)} d\rho$$

and $b_2 = \partial_x z_{02}^*|_{(q,0)}$. Then (2.15) becomes

$$\dot{\lambda} + \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma_b \left(\frac{\lambda(t)^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s} + 2b_2 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\dot{\lambda} + \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s} + 2b_2 = 0,$$

where $\Gamma_0(\tau) = \Gamma(\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi} \Gamma_b(\tau)$. This function satisfies

$$\Gamma_0(0) = c \neq 0, \quad \Gamma_0(\tau) = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda, \xi] = \dot{\lambda} + \int_{-T}^t p(s) \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s} + 2b_2.$$

Now we claim that by the simple ansatz

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -\frac{\kappa|\log T|}{\log^2(T-t)}$$

for some constant $\kappa > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda)(t) = o(1) \tag{2.16}$$

will be achieved, here $o(1)$ vanishes at $t = T$ and is uniformly small with T . Denote

$$\mathcal{B}[\lambda, \xi](t) := \int_{-T}^t \dot{\lambda}(s) \Gamma_0 \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{t-s} \right) \frac{ds}{t-s}.$$

Similar arguments as [7] show that

$$|\mathcal{B}[\lambda, \xi](t) - c\kappa| \lesssim \kappa \frac{\log(|\log T|)}{|\log T|}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}[\lambda, \xi] = c\kappa(1 + o(1)) + 2b_2.$$

Then we assume that $\frac{d}{dx} z_{02}^*(q, 0) < 0$, (2.16) is satisfied by choosing

$$\kappa_0 = -\frac{2}{c} \frac{d}{dx} z_{02}^*(q, 0).$$

Define

$$\dot{\lambda}_0(t) = -\frac{\kappa_0|\log T|}{\log^2(T-t)}. \tag{2.17}$$

2.5.3. *Choice of ξ .* Similarly, testing (2.12) with $Z_2(x, y)$ we get

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mathcal{E}_1^* \cdot Z_2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}_2^* \cdot Z_2 dy. \tag{2.18}$$

By direct computations, we have

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \mathcal{E}_1^* \cdot Z_2 \approx -\dot{\xi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} Z_2(u, v) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv = -\pi \dot{\xi}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}_2^* \cdot Z_2 = 0.$$

Therefore (2.18) becomes

$$-\pi \dot{\xi} \approx 0.$$

This can be achieved by simply choosing

$$\xi_0(t) = (q, 0). \tag{2.19}$$

2.6. The final ansatz. Fix $\lambda_0(t)$ defined in (2.17) and $\xi_0(t)$ in (2.19). We write

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) + \lambda_1(t), \quad \xi(t) = \xi_0(t) + \xi_1(t).$$

We are looking for a small solution φ of

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_1^* - \partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{d}{dy} \varphi(x, 0, t) + L_U(\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi) + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}(\Phi^* + \varphi)) + b(x, 0, t)U. \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\Phi^* = \Phi[\lambda, \xi] + Z^*.$$

In terms of problem (1.3), we let

$$u = U + \Phi^* + \varphi$$

solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{du}{dy}(x, 0, t) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x, 0, t) - u(x-z, 0, t-\tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau \right] u(x, 0, t) \\ \hspace{15em} \text{for all } (x, 0, t) \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, y, t) = u_0(x, y) \text{ for all } (x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases}$$

3. THE OUTER-INNER GLUING SCHEME

By possibly modifying $b(x, 0, t)$, system (2.20)-(2.21) can be rewritten as

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_1^* - \partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{d}{dy} \varphi(x, 0, t) \\ & + \frac{2}{1 + |(u, v)|^2} \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(U(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t)) \cdot (\Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi(x, 0, t) - \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi(x-z, 0, t))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \\ & \hspace{15em} U(x, 0, t) \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |U(x, 0, t) - U(x-z, 0, t-\tau)|^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4\tau}}}{\tau^2} dz d\tau - \frac{2}{1 + |(u, v)|^2} \right] \\ & \hspace{15em} \Pi_{U^\perp} \varphi \\ & + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}(\Phi^* + \varphi)) + b(x, 0, t)U \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here and in the rest of this paper, we use the notation $u = \frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}$ and $v = \frac{y}{\lambda(t)}$. Let $\eta_0(s)$ be a smooth cut-off function with $\eta_0(s) = 1$ for $s < 1$ and $= 0$ for $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Consider an increasing function $R(t)$ satisfying

$$R(t) > 0, \quad R(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \nearrow T$$

and define

$$\eta(x, t) := \eta_0 \left(\frac{x - \xi(t)}{R(t)\lambda(t)} \right), \quad \tau_\lambda(t) = \tau_0 + \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\lambda^2(s)}$$

such that

$$\tau_\lambda \sim \tau_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \frac{\log^2(T-t)}{\log T}.$$

We decompose the function $\varphi(x, y, t)$ into the following form

$$\varphi(x, y, t) = \eta \phi \left(\frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}, \frac{y}{\lambda(t)}, \tau_\lambda(t) \right) + \psi(x, y, t) \quad (3.3)$$

with $\phi(u, v, \tau) = 0$ for $\tau \in (-\infty, \tau_0]$ and $\phi(u, 0, \tau) \cdot \omega(u, 0) \equiv 0$ for all $\tau \in (\tau_0, +\infty)$. Then $\varphi(x, y, t)$ given by (3.3) solves (3.1)-(3.2) if the pair (ϕ, ψ) satisfies the following system of evolution equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R}} \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_1^* \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{d\tau} \phi(u, 0, \tau) = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi \\ + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\phi(u, 0, \tau) - \phi(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \omega(u, 0) \\ + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \left(\lambda \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi \right) \\ + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \\ \times \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u, 0, \tau) - \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right) \omega(u, 0) \\ \text{in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0] \end{array} \right. \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \psi = \Delta \psi - \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}(u, v) \cdot \nabla_{(u, v)} \phi - \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda} \frac{d\phi}{du} \\ + [\Delta \eta \phi + \frac{2}{\lambda} \nabla \eta \nabla \phi - \partial_t \eta \phi] + (1 - \eta) \mathcal{E}_1^* \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{d}{dy} \psi(x, 0, t) \\ = (1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi(t)|^2} \psi + (1 - \eta) \Pi_{U^\perp} \mathcal{E}_2^* \\ + \left(\frac{d}{dy} \eta \right) (x, 0, t) \phi + N_U(\Pi_{U^\perp}(\Phi^* + \varphi)) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ \psi = \psi_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.5)$$

Here ψ_0 is a small function which will be determined later, χ_A is the characteristic function of the set A , i.e., $\chi(z) = 1$ if $z \in A$, $\chi(z) = 0$ if $z \notin A$, ψ_∞ is defined by

$$\psi_\infty[\lambda, \xi] = (u_\infty - U) - \Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi^*] + \tilde{a}(u_\infty - U, \Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi^*]),$$

$$u_\infty(x, y) = \frac{Z_0^*(x, y) + \vec{e}}{|Z_0^*(x, y) + \vec{e}|}, \quad \vec{e} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\tilde{a}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is determined by the following nonlinear equation

$$\Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi] = (u_\infty - U) - \Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi^*] + a(\Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi^*] + \Pi_{U^\perp}[\varphi])U.$$

Here we also define the set $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma R} = \{(u, v, \tau) | \tau \in (\tau_0, +\infty), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, |(u, v)| \leq \gamma R\}$ for $\gamma > 0$.

(3.4) is the so-called inner problem and (3.5) is the outer problem. This is a highly nonlinear system, we will apply Schauder's fixed point theorem to solve it.

To this aim, we need a linear theory of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R}} \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_1^* \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi(u, 0, \tau) = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\phi(u, 0, \tau) - \phi(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \omega(u, 0) \\ \quad + G[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, 0, \tau) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0] \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & G[\lambda, \xi, \psi] \\ &= \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \left(\lambda \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi \right) \\ & \quad + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \times \\ & \quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u, 0, \tau) - \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right) \omega(u, 0). \end{aligned}$$

In Section 4, we will construct a solution ϕ of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + g(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi(u, 0, \tau) = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi + \mathcal{A}[\phi] + h(u, \tau) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0], \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

which defines a bounded linear operator of the functions g (with compact support in \mathcal{D}_{2R}) and h (with compact support in $\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))$) satisfying good L^∞ -weight estimates when certain further orthogonality conditions hold. Here and in the following, we use the notation

$$\mathcal{A}[\phi] := \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\phi(u, 0, \tau) - \phi(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \omega(u, 0).$$

In Section 5, we use Schauder's fixed point theorem to prove the existence of solution for (3.4) and (3.5). This provides a solution to (1.3) and Theorem 1 is concluded.

4. LINEAR THEORY FOR THE INNER PROBLEM

In this section, we consider (3.6). Our aim is to construct a solution for (3.6) which defines a bounded linear operator of g , h and satisfies good bounds in suitable weighted norms. We divide the discussion into two cases.

- Case 1. The first component of the vector-valued function $\phi(u, v, \tau)$ is odd in the variable u , the second component of the vector-valued function $\phi(u, v, \tau)$ is even in the variable u . Correspondingly, we assume the first components of the vector-valued functions $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are odd in the variable u , the second components of the vector-valued functions $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are even in the variable u .
- Case 2. The first component of the vector-valued function $\phi(u, v, \tau)$ is even in the variable u , the second component of the vector-valued function $\phi(u, v, \tau)$ is odd in the variable u . Correspondingly, we assume the first components of the vector-valued functions $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are even

in the variable u , the second components of the vector-valued functions $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are odd in the variable u .

4.1. Case 1. This subsection is devoted to construct a solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + g(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = L_\omega[\phi] + h(u, \tau) \text{ in } \left(B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \phi(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (-\infty, \tau_0], \\ \phi \cdot \omega = 0 \text{ in } \left(B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

for any given functions g, h with $\|g\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$, $\|h\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$, the first components of g and h are even in the u variable, we use the idea from [6] and [7].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < a < 2$ and $\nu > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then, for any g, h with $\|g\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$, $\|h\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$, the first components of g and h are odd in the u variable, the second components of g and h are even in the u variable, and satisfying*

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u, v) dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u) du = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty) \quad (4.2)$$

there exist $\phi = \phi[g, h]$ solving (4.1) which defines a bounded linear operator of g, h . Furthermore, the following estimate holds

$$|\phi[g, h]| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R \left(\frac{\|h\|_{a,\nu}}{1 + |u|^a} + \frac{R^{2-a} \|g\|_{a,\nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|^2} \right).$$

Here $\|h\|_{a,\nu} := \sup_{|u| \leq 2R(\tau), \tau \geq \tau_0} \tau^\nu (1 + |u|^a) |h(u, \tau)|$ and $\|g\|_{a,\nu} := \sup_{(u,v) \in B_{2R(\tau)}^+, \tau \geq \tau_0} \tau^\nu (1 + |(u, v)|^a) |g(u, v, \tau)|$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We divide the proof into two steps. First, we construct a solution to (4.1) with zero boundary condition on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{2R(\tau)}^+$ and for g, h not necessarily satisfying condition (4.2). Then, we use of this construction to solve (4.1).

Step 1. We claim that for any G, H satisfying $\|G\|_{b,\nu} < +\infty$, $\|H\|_{c,\nu} < +\infty$, $b \in (-1, 2)$, $c \in (-1, 1)$, there exists $\phi = \phi(u, v, \tau)$ solving

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + G(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = L_\omega[\phi] + H(u, \tau) \text{ in } \left(B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ on } \left(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{2R(\tau)}^+(0) \right) \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (-\infty, \tau_0] \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \phi(u, v, \tau)| + |\phi(u, v, \tau)| \\ \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R (R^{2-b} \|G\|_{b,\nu} + R^{1-c} \|H\|_{c,\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta(s)$ be a smooth cut-off function, for a fixed but large number ℓ independent from R , we define $\eta_\ell(u, v) = \eta(|(u, v)| - \ell)$. From standard parabolic theory, there

exists a unique solution $\phi_*[G, H]$ of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + G(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = L_\omega[(1 - \eta_\ell)\phi] + H(u, \tau) \text{ in } \left(B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ on } \left(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{2R(\tau)}^+(0) \right) \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (-\infty, \tau_0]. \end{cases}$$

The first component of $\phi_*[G, H]$ is even in the u variable and satisfies

$$|\phi_*[G, H]| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} (R^{2-b} \|G\|_{b,\nu} + R^{1-c} \|H\|_{c,\nu}).$$

Setting $\phi = \phi_*[G, H] + \tilde{\phi}$, then (4.3) is reduced to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \tilde{\phi} = \Delta \tilde{\phi} + G(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi} = L_\omega[\tilde{\phi}] + \tilde{H}_0(u, \tau) \text{ in } \left(B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \tilde{\phi} = 0 \text{ on } \left(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{2R(\tau)}^+(0) \right) \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } B_{2R(\tau)}^+ \times (-\infty, \tau_0], \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

where $\tilde{H}_0 = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \eta_\ell \phi_*[G, H]$. Notice that the first component of \tilde{H}_0 is even in u variable and it is compactly supported with size controlled by G and H . Hence, for any $m > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{H}_0(u, v, \tau)| &\lesssim \frac{\tau^{-\nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|^m} \left[\sup_{\tau > \tau_0} \tau^\nu |\phi_*[G, H](\cdot, \tau)| \right] \\ &\lesssim \frac{\tau^{-\nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|^m} (R^{2-b} \|G\|_{b,\nu} + R^{1-c} \|H\|_{c,\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Testing (4.4) against $\tilde{\phi}$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\partial_\tau \int_{B_{2R}^+} \tilde{\phi}^2 + Q(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}) = \int_{B_{2R}} G \tilde{\phi} + \int_{-2R}^{2R} \tilde{H}_0 \tilde{\phi},$$

here Q is the quadratic form defined by

$$Q(\phi, \phi) := \int_{B_{2R}^+} |\nabla \phi|^2 dudv - \int_{-2R}^{2R} \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} |\phi|^2 du.$$

It is easy to check that there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that, for any ϕ with $\int_{B_{2R}^+} \phi \cdot Z_3 dudv = 0$ and $\phi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{2R}^+$, we have

$$Q(\phi, \phi) \geq \frac{\beta}{R^2 \log R} \int_{B_{2R}^+} \phi^2 dudv.$$

Thus for some $\beta' > 0$, there holds

$$\partial_\tau \int_{B_{2R}^+} \tilde{\phi}^2 + \frac{\beta'}{R^2 \log R} \int_{B_{2R}^+} \tilde{\phi}^2 \lesssim R^2 \log R \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} G^2 + \int_{-2R}^{2R} \tilde{H}_0^2 \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Set

$$K := \left[\sup_{\tau > \tau_0} \tau^\nu \|\phi_*[G, H](\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty} \right].$$

On the other hand, using estimate (4.5) for a large m , we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} G^2 + \int_{-2R}^{2R} \tilde{H}_0^2 \right) \lesssim \tau^{-2\nu} K^2.$$

By the fact that $\tilde{\phi}(\cdot, \tau_0) = 0$ and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain from (4.6) that

$$\|\tilde{\phi}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2(B_{2R}^+)} \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} K R^2 \log R,$$

for all $\tau > \tau_0$. From standard parabolic estimates, we get

$$\|\tilde{\phi}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(B_M)} \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} K R^2 \log R \text{ for all } \tau > \tau_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau)| + |\tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau)| \\ & \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R \left[\sup_{\tau > \tau_0} \tau^\nu |\phi_*[G, H](\cdot, \tau)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate and (4.5), the function $\phi_0[G, H] := \tilde{\phi} + \phi_*[G, H]$ solves (4.3) and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \phi_0(u, v, \tau)| + |\phi_0(u, v, \tau)| \\ & \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R (R^{2-b} \|G\|_{b, \nu} + R^{1-c} \|H\|_{c, \nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. For bounded functions $g = g(u, v)$, $h = h(u)$ in B_{2R}^+ whose first components are even in the u variable and $\int_{B_{2R}^+} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u, v) dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u) du = 0$. Let us extend h as zero outside B_{2R}^+ and still denote the extended function as h . From standard elliptic estimate, the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi = g(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = L_\omega[\phi] + h(u, \tau) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2, \\ \lim_{|(u, v)| \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(u, v) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has a solution $H =: L_0^{-1}[g, h]$ satisfying

$$|H(u, v, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |(u, v)|)^{a-1}} \|h\|_{a, \nu} + \frac{1}{(1 + |(u, v)|)^{a-2}} \|g\|_{a, \nu} \right).$$

Let Φ_0 be the unique solution in B_{3R}^+ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + H(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } B_{3R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = L_\omega[\phi] + H(u, 0, \tau) \text{ in } \left(B_{3R(\tau)}^+ \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right) \cap \left(\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty) \right), \\ \phi = 0 \text{ on } \left(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus B_{3R(\tau)}^+(0) \right) \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } B_{3R(\tau)}^+ \times (-\infty, \tau_0]. \end{cases}$$

From Step 1, $\Phi_0[H]$ defines a bounded linear operator of H and satisfies the estimate

$$|\Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R (R^{4-a} \|H(u, v, \tau)\|_{a-2, \nu} + R^{2-a} \|H(u, 0, \tau)\|_{a-1, \nu}).$$

Now let us fix a vector e with $|e| = 1$, a large number $\rho > 0$ with $\rho \leq 2R$ and $\tau_1 \geq \tau_0$. Consider the following change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\rho(z, t) &:= \Phi_0(\rho e + \rho z, \tau_1 + \rho^2 t), \\ G_\rho(z, t) &:= \rho^2 H(\rho e + \rho z, \tau_1 + \rho^2 t), \\ H_\rho(z, t) &:= \rho H(\rho e + \rho z, 0, \tau_1 + \rho^2 t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Phi_\rho(z, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \Phi_\rho = \Delta_z \Phi_\rho + B_\rho(z, t) \Phi_\rho + G_\rho(z, t), & (z, t) \in B_1^+(0) \times (0, 2), \\ -\frac{d}{dz_2} \phi = C_\rho(z, t) \Phi_\rho + H_\rho(z, t), & (z, t) \in (-1, 1) \times \{0\} \times (0, 2) \end{cases}$$

with $B_\rho = O(\rho^{-2})$, $C_\rho = O(\rho^{-2})$ uniformly in $B_1^+(0) \times (0, \infty)$. From standard parabolic estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_z \Phi_\rho\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+(0) \times (1, 2))} &\lesssim \|\Phi_\rho\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+(0) \times (0, 2))} \\ &\quad + \|G_\rho\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+(0) \times (0, 2))} + \|H_\rho\|_{L^\infty((-1, 1) \times \{0\} \times (0, 2))}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there holds

$$\|G_\rho\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+(0) \times (0, 2))} \lesssim \rho^{1-a} \tau_1^{-\nu} \|H\|_{a, \nu},$$

$$\|H_\rho\|_{L^\infty((-1, 1) \times \{0\} \times (0, 2))} \lesssim \rho^{1-a} \tau_1^{-\nu} \|H\|_{a, \nu}, \quad \|\Phi_\rho\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+(0) \times (0, 2))} \lesssim \tau_1^{-\nu} K(\rho)$$

with

$$K(\rho) = R^2 \log^2 R (R^{2-a} \|h\|_{a, \nu} + R^{4-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu}). \quad (4.7)$$

Hence

$$\rho |\nabla \Phi_0(\rho e, \tau_1 + \rho^2)| \lesssim \tau_1^{-\nu} K(\rho).$$

Choose $\tau_0 \geq R^2$, then we have

$$(1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} K(|(u, v)|)$$

for any $\tau > 2\tau_0$ and $|(u, v)| \leq 3R$.

Since H is of class C^1 and $\|\nabla H\|_{a-1, \nu} \leq \|h\|_{a, \nu} + \|g\|_{a, \nu}$, we obtain

$$(1 + |(u, v)|^2) |D^2 \Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} K(|(u, v)|)$$

for all $\tau > \tau_0$, $|(u, v)| \leq 2R$ with K being defined in (4.7). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |(u, v)|^2) |D^2 \Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| + (1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| + |\Phi_0(u, v, \tau)| \\ \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R (R^{2-a} \|h\|_{a, \nu} + R^{4-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|L_0[\Phi_0](\cdot, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R \left(\frac{R^{2-a} \|h\|_{a, \nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|} + \frac{R^{4-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|^2} \right).$$

Define

$$\phi_0[g, h] := L_0[\Phi_0].$$

Then $\phi_0[g, h]$ satisfies (4.1) and the proof is completed. \square

4.2. Case 2. The following proposition is valid.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $1 < a < 2$, $\nu > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then, for $R > 0$ sufficiently large and any $g = g(u, v, \tau)$, $h = h(u, \tau)$ with $\|g\|_{a, \nu} < +\infty$, $\|h\|_{a, \nu} < +\infty$, the first components of $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are even in the u variable for all τ , the second components of $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are odd in the u variable for all τ , and satisfying*

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+(\tau)} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, 0) du = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty),$$

there exists $\phi = \phi[g, h]$ solving (3.6), which defines a linear operator of g and h satisfying

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} (1 + |(u, v)|)^{-\sigma} (R^{2+\sigma-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu} + R^{1+\sigma-a} \|h\|_{a, \nu})$$

for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$.

To prove this proposition, first we consider the following problem in the whole half space

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi + g(u, v, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi + \mathcal{A}[\tilde{\phi}] + h(u, \tau) \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \phi(u, v, \tau) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0], \\ \phi \cdot \omega = 0 \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)). \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Then we have

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\nu > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then, for $R > 0$ sufficiently large and any $g = g(u, v, \tau)$, $h = h(u, \tau)$ with $\|g\|_{2+\sigma, \nu} < +\infty$, $\|h\|_{1+\sigma, \nu} < +\infty$, the first components of $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are even in the u variable for all τ , the second components of $g(u, v, \tau)$ and $h(u, \tau)$ are odd in the u variable for all τ , and satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, 0) du = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty).$$

Then for sufficiently large $\tau_1 > \tau_0$, the solution of (4.8) satisfies

$$\|\phi(u, v, \tau)\|_{\sigma, \tau_1} \lesssim \|g\|_{2+\sigma, \tau_1} + \|h\|_{1+\sigma, \tau_1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Here, $\|g\|_{b, \tau_1} := \sup_{\tau \in (\tau_0, \tau_1)} \tau^\nu \|(1 + |(u, v)|^b)g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}$.

Proof. First, we claim that $\|\phi\|_{\sigma, \tau_1} < +\infty$ holds for any given $\tau_1 > \tau_0$. Given $R > 0$ there exists a $K = K(R, \tau_1) > 0$ such that

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq K \text{ in } B_R(0) \times (\tau_0, \tau_1].$$

Fix $R > 0$ and $K_1 > 0$ sufficiently large, $K_1 \rho^{-\sigma}$ ($\rho = |(u, v)|$) is a super-solution for (4.8). Therefore $|\phi| \leq 2K_1 \rho^{-\sigma}$ and $\|\phi\|_{\sigma, \tau_1} < +\infty$ for any $\tau_1 > 0$. We claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \phi(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \tau_1). \quad (4.10)$$

Indeed, test the equation against

$$Z_2 \eta, \quad \eta(u, v) = \eta_0\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)$$

with η_0 being a smooth cut-off function satisfying $\eta_0(r) = 1$ for $r < 1$ and $r = 0$ for $r > 2$, R is a large constant. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \phi(\cdot, \tau) \cdot Z_2 \eta &= \int_0^\tau ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \phi \cdot \Delta(\eta Z_2) dudv + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} g \cdot \eta Z_2 dudv \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\tau ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dv}(\eta Z_2) + \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \eta Z_2 \right) du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h \cdot \eta Z_2 du \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \phi \cdot \Delta(\eta Z_2) dudv + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} g \cdot \eta Z_2 dudv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dv}(\eta Z_2) + \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \eta Z_2 \right) du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h \cdot \eta Z_2 du = O(R^{-\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $\tau \in (0, \tau_1)$. Letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we then have (4.10).

Now we claim that for $\tau_1 > \tau_0$ large enough, any solution ϕ of (4.8) with $\|\phi\|_{\sigma, \tau_1} < +\infty$ and (4.10) satisfies the estimate

$$\|\phi\|_{\sigma, \tau_1} \lesssim \|h\|_{1+\sigma, \tau_1} + \|g\|_{2+\sigma, \tau_1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore (4.9) is valid.

To prove (4.11), by contradiction, we assume that there exist sequences $\tau_1^k \rightarrow +\infty$ and ϕ_k, g_k, h_k satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \phi_k &= \Delta \phi_k + g_k \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi_k &= \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi_k + \mathcal{A}[\phi_k] + h_k \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty)), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \phi_k(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv &= 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \tau_1^k), \\ \phi_k(u, v, \tau) &= 0 \text{ for } (u, v, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0]. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\phi_k\|_{\sigma, \tau_1^k} = 1, \quad \|g_k\|_{2+\sigma, \tau_1^k} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|h_k\|_{1+\sigma, \tau_1^k} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.12)$$

First we claim that

$$\sup_{\tau_0 < \tau < \tau_1^k} \tau^\nu |\phi_k(u, v, \tau)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.13)$$

holds uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}_+^2 . If not, for some $|(u_k, v_k)| \leq M$ and $\tau_0 < \tau_2^k < \tau_1^k$, there holds

$$(\tau_2^k)^\nu (1 + |(u_k, v_k)|^\sigma) |\phi(u_k, v_k, \tau_2^k)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Clearly, $\tau_2^k \rightarrow +\infty$. Define

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(u, v, \tau) = (\tau_2^k)^\nu \phi_n(u, v, \tau_2^k + \tau).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \tilde{\phi}_k &= \Delta \tilde{\phi}_k + \tilde{g}_k \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0 - \tau_2^k, 0] \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi}_k &= \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \tilde{\phi}_k + \mathcal{A}[\tilde{\phi}_k] + \tilde{h}_k \text{ in } (\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0 - \tau_2^k, 0]) \cap (\partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0 - \tau_2^k, 0]) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h}_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k(u, v, \tau)| \leq \frac{1}{1+|(u, v)|^\sigma} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0 - \tau_2^k, 0].$$

By parabolic estimates and passing to a subsequence, $\tilde{\phi}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]$, $\tilde{\phi} \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \tilde{\phi} &= \Delta \tilde{\phi} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0], \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi} &= \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \tilde{\phi} + \mathcal{A}[\tilde{\phi}] \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0], \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv &= 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in (-\infty, 0], \\ |\tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau)| &\leq \frac{1}{1+|(u, v)|^\sigma} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ from which we get a contradiction. From standard parabolic regularity theory, $\tilde{\phi}(u, v, \tau)$ is smooth. Testing the first equation above with $\tilde{\phi}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\tilde{\phi}_\tau|^2 + B(\tilde{\phi}_\tau, \tilde{\phi}_\tau) = 0$$

where

$$B(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\nabla \tilde{\phi}|^2 dudv - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \tilde{\phi}^2(u, 0) du.$$

Clearly, $B(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}) \geq 0$ and there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\tilde{\phi}_\tau|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\tau B(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi}) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\partial_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\tilde{\phi}_\tau|^2 \leq 0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} |\tilde{\phi}|^2 < +\infty$$

and hence $\tilde{\phi}_\tau = 0$. Thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is independent of τ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{\phi} &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0], \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi} &= \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \tilde{\phi} + \mathcal{A}[\tilde{\phi}] \text{ in } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\phi}$ is bounded, the nondegeneracy result in [30] implies that $\tilde{\phi} = cZ_2$ for some constant c . Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \tilde{\phi} \cdot Z_2 dudv = 0$, $\tilde{\phi} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus (4.13) holds. From (4.12), for a certain (u_n, v_n) with $|(u_n, v_n)| \rightarrow +\infty$ there holds

$$(\tau_2^k)^\nu |(u_k, v_k)|^\sigma |\phi_k(u_k, v_k, \tau_2^k)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Define

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(z, \tau) := (\tau_2^k)^\nu |(u_k, v_k)|^\sigma \phi_k((u_k, v_k) + |(u_k, v_k)|z, |(u_k, v_k)|\tau + \tau_2^k),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \tilde{\phi}_k &= \Delta \tilde{\phi}_k + \tilde{g}_k(z, \tau), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi}_k &= a_k \tilde{\phi}_k + \tilde{h}_k(z, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\tilde{h}_k(z, \tau) = (\tau_2^k)^\nu |(u_k, v_k)|^{1+\sigma} h_k((u_k, v_k) + |(u_k, v_k)|z, |(u_k, v_k)|\tau + \tau_2^k).$$

By the assumption on h_k , we obtain

$$|\tilde{h}_k(z, \tau)| \lesssim o(1) |(\hat{u}_k, \hat{v}_k) + z|^{-1-\sigma} ((\tau_2^k)^{-1} |(u_k, v_k)|\tau + 1)^{-\nu}$$

with

$$(\hat{u}_k, \hat{v}_k) = \frac{(u_k, v_k)}{|(u_k, v_k)|} \rightarrow -\hat{e}$$

and $|\hat{e}| = 1$. Thus $\tilde{h}_k(z, \tau) \rightarrow 0$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\hat{e}\} \times (-\infty, 0]$ uniformly.

The same property holds for a_n . Moreover, $|\tilde{\phi}_k| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$|\tilde{\phi}_k(z, \tau)| \lesssim |(u_k, v_k) + z|^{-\sigma} ((\tau_2^k)^{-1} |(u_k, v_k)|\tau + 1)^{-\nu}.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\phi}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\phi} \neq 0$ uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\hat{e}\} \times (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$\partial_\tau \tilde{\phi} = \Delta \tilde{\phi} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0], \quad (4.14)$$

$$-\frac{d}{dv} \tilde{\phi} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\hat{e}\} \times (-\infty, 0], \quad (4.15)$$

$$|\tilde{\phi}(z, \tau)| \leq |z - \hat{e}|^{-\sigma} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{\hat{e}\} \times (-\infty, 0]. \quad (4.16)$$

Note that $\tilde{\phi}$ is of form $\tilde{\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_1 \\ \tilde{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_1$ is odd in the u variable. By Lemma 4.2, functions $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfying (4.14)-(4.16) is zero, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\phi = \phi(u, v, \tau)$ be a scalar solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi = \Delta \phi \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0], \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi = 0 \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \times (-\infty, 0], \\ |\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq |(u, v)|^{-\sigma} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \times (-\infty, 0], \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

for $0 < \sigma < 1$ small enough, $\phi(u, v, \tau)$ is odd in the variable u for all v and τ , then $\phi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. Inspired by the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [26], we set

$$\Phi(u, v, \tau) = \frac{v^\gamma}{(u^2 + v^2 + \tau)^\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon v}{u^2 + v^2}, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1), \quad 2\beta - \gamma = \sigma.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\Phi_\tau + \Delta \Phi \\ &= v^{\gamma-2} (\tau + u^2 + v^2)^{-\beta-2} (-\gamma (\tau + u^2 + v^2) (\tau + u^2 + (4\beta + 1)v^2)) \\ & \quad + v^{\gamma-2} (\tau + u^2 + v^2)^{-\beta-2} (\beta v^2 ((4\beta + 1)(u^2 + v^2) - 3\tau) + \gamma^2 (\tau + u^2 + v^2)^2) \\ & < \beta(4\beta - 4\gamma^2 + 1)v^\gamma (\tau + u^2 + v^2)^{-\beta-1} \\ &= \beta(2\sigma + 2\gamma - 4\gamma^2 + 1)v^\gamma (\tau + u^2 + v^2)^{-\beta-1} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

if we choose σ sufficiently small and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently close to 1. Then the function $\Phi(u, v, \tau + M)$ is a positive super-solution of equation (4.17) in $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times [-M, 0]$. Hence $|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq \Phi(u, v, \tau + M)$. Letting $M \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon v}{u^2 + v^2}.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $\phi \equiv 0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let ϕ be the unique solution of (4.8), from Lemma 4.1, for any $\tau_1 > 0$, we have

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq C\tau^{-\nu} (1 + |(u, v)|)^{-\sigma} (\|g\|_{2+\sigma, \tau_1} + \|h\|_{1+\sigma, \tau_1}).$$

Since $\|g\|_{a, v} < +\infty$, we get

$$|g(u, v, \tau)| \leq C\tau^{-\nu} (1 + |(u, v)|)^{-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu}$$

and

$$\|g\|_{2+\sigma, \tau_1} \leq R^{2+\sigma-a} \|g\|_{a, \nu}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|h\|_{1+\sigma, \tau_1} \leq R^{1+\sigma-a} \|h\|_{a, \nu}.$$

Therefore

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq C\tau^{-\nu}(1 + |(u, v)|)^{-\sigma} (R^{2+\sigma-a}\|g\|_{a,\nu} + R^{1+\sigma-a}\|h\|_{a,\nu}).$$

□

4.3. The whole linear theory. Combine Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $1 < a < 2$, $\nu > 0$ be given positive numbers. Then, for any g, h with $\|g\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$, $\|h\|_{a,\nu} < +\infty$ and satisfying*

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u, v) dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_2(u) du = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty) \quad (4.18)$$

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+} g(u, v, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u, v) dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} h(u, \tau) \cdot Z_3(u) du = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty) \quad (4.19)$$

there exist $\phi = \phi[g, h]$ solving (3.6) which defines a bounded linear operators of g and h . Furthermore, for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi[g, h]| &\lesssim \\ &\tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R \left(\frac{R^{2-a}\|h^0\|_{a,\nu}}{1 + |(u, v)|} + \frac{R^{4-a}\|g^0\|_{a,\nu}}{(1 + |(u, v)|)^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \tau^{-\nu} \left(\frac{R^{1+\sigma-a}\|h^1\|_{a,\nu}}{(1 + |u|)^\sigma} + \frac{R^{2+\sigma-a}\|g^1\|_{a,\nu}}{(1 + |(u, v)|)^\sigma} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $g = g^0 + g^1$, the first component of g^0 and the second component of g^1 are odd in the u variable, the second component of g^0 and the first component of g^1 are even in the u variable. We decompose $h = h^0 + h^1$ similarly.

Remark 4.1. *If conditions (4.18) and (4.19) are not satisfied, by the same argument of Step 1 in Proposition 4.1, we find a solution ϕ of (3.6) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |(u, v)|)|\nabla\phi(u, v, \tau)| + |\phi(u, v, \tau)| \\ \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} R^2 \log^2 R (R^{2-a}\|g\|_{a,\nu} + (1 + |u|)^{1-a}\|h\|_{a,\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

We will use this fact in Section 5.

5. SOLVING THE INNER-OUTER GLUING SYSTEM

We separate the proof of Theorem 1 into the following steps.

Step 1. We formulate the inner-outer system (3.4)-(3.5) into a fixed point problem in a suitable space.

- The inner problem. Define

$$\begin{aligned} G_1[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, v, \tau) &= \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R}} \lambda^2 \mathcal{E}_1^* \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ G_2[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, 0, \tau) &= \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \left(\lambda \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \mathcal{E}_2^* + \frac{2}{1 + |u|^2} \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi \right) \\ &\quad + \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{2R} \cap (\partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty))} \times \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u - z, 0)) \cdot (\Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u, 0, \tau) - \Pi_{\omega^\perp} \psi(u - z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right) \omega(u, 0), \end{aligned}$$

$$c[\lambda, \xi, \psi](\tau) = \frac{1}{\int_{B_{2R}^+} \chi Z_2^2 + \int_{-2R}^{2R} \chi Z_2^2} \times \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} G_1[\lambda, \xi, \psi] \cdot Z_2 dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} G_2[\lambda, \xi, \psi] \cdot Z_2 du \right),$$

$$d[\lambda, \xi, \psi](\tau) = \frac{1}{\int_{B_{2R}^+} \chi Z_3^2 + \int_{-2R}^{2R} \chi Z_3^2} \times \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} G_1[\lambda, \xi, \psi] \cdot Z_3 dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} G_2[\lambda, \xi, \psi] \cdot Z_3 du \right),$$

$$\bar{G}_1[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, v, \tau) = c(\tau)\chi Z_2(u, v) + d(\tau)\chi Z_3(u, v),$$

$$\bar{G}_2[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, \tau) = c(\tau)\chi Z_2(u) + d(\tau)\chi Z_3(u).$$

Here $\chi(u, v) = \frac{1}{1+|(u, v)|}$. Then ϕ solves equation (3.4) if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi_1 = \Delta \phi_1 + (G_1 - \bar{G}_1)[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, v, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi_1(u, 0, \tau) = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi_1 \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\phi_1(u, 0, \tau) - \phi_1(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \omega(u, 0) \\ \quad + (G_2 - \bar{G}_2)[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, 0, \tau) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi_1 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0] \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\tau \phi_2 = \Delta \phi_2 + \bar{G}_1[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, v, \tau) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ -\frac{d}{dv} \phi_2(u, 0, \tau) = \frac{2}{1+|u|^2} \phi_2 \\ \quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(\omega(u, 0) - \omega(u-z, 0)) \cdot (\phi_2(u, 0, \tau) - \phi_2(u-z, 0, \tau))}{|z|^2} dz \right] \omega(u, 0) \\ \quad + \bar{G}_2[\lambda, \xi, \psi](u, 0, \tau) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (\tau_0, +\infty), \\ \phi_2 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (-\infty, \tau_0], \end{cases}$$

respectively. Let $\phi =: \mathcal{T}[g, h]$ be the bounded linear operator constructed in Proposition 4.3, then (3.4) is equivalent to the following fixed point problem

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 = \mathcal{T}[(G_1 - \bar{G}_1)(\lambda, \xi, \psi), (G_2 - \bar{G}_2)(\lambda, \xi, \psi)], \\ \phi_2 = \mathcal{T}[\bar{G}_1(\lambda, \xi, \psi), \bar{G}_2(\lambda, \xi, \psi)]. \end{cases}$$

- The outer problem. Rewrite equation (3.5) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi = \Delta \psi + H_1[\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi](x, 0, t) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{d}{dy} \psi(x, 0, t) = H_2[\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi](x, 0, t) \text{ in } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$H_1[\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi](x, 0, t) = -\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \eta(u, v) \cdot \nabla_{(u, v)} \phi - \eta \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda} \frac{d\phi}{du} \\ + [\Delta \eta \phi + \frac{2}{\lambda} \nabla \eta \nabla \phi - \partial_t \eta \phi] + (1 - \eta) \mathcal{E}_1^*,$$

$$H_2[\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi](x, 0, t) = (1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi(t)|^2} \psi + (1 - \eta) \Pi_{U^\perp} \mathcal{E}_2^* \\ + \left(\frac{d}{dy} \eta \right) (x, 0, t) \phi + N_U (\Pi_{U^\perp} (\Phi^* + \varphi)).$$

To solve (5.1), we first consider the corresponding linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t = \Delta\psi + f(x, y, t) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T), \\ -\frac{d}{dy}\psi = g(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, T), \\ \psi(q, 0, T) = 0, \\ \psi(x, y, 0) = (c_1\mathbf{e}_1 + c_2\mathbf{e}_2)\eta_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^2. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

for suitable constants c_1, c_2 , where

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and η_1 is a smooth cut off function with compact support and $\eta_1 \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $(q, 0)$. For a function $f(x, y, t)$, define the L^∞ -weighted norm as follows

$$\|f\|_{**} := \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \varrho_i(x, y, t)\right)^{-1} |f(x, y, t)|.$$

Here $\varrho_1 := \lambda_0^{\Theta-2} R^{-a} \chi_{\{r < 2R\lambda_0\}}$, $\varrho_2 := T^{-\sigma_0} (1 - \eta) \frac{\lambda_0}{r^2 + \lambda_0^2}$, $\varrho_3 := 1$, σ_0 and $\Theta > 0$ are small. Also, for $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{a, \Theta, \gamma} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0(0)^\Theta R(0)^{2-a} |\log T|} \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} |\psi(x, y, t)| \\ &+ \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} \frac{1}{\lambda_0(t)^\Theta R(t)^{2-a} |\log(T-t)|} |\psi(x, y, t) - \psi(x, y, T)| \\ &+ \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} \frac{1}{\lambda_0(t)^{\Theta-1} R(0)^{1-a}} |\nabla\psi(x, y, t)| \\ &+ \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} \frac{1}{\lambda_0(t)^{\Theta-1} R(t)^{1-a}} |\nabla\psi(x, y, t) - \nabla\psi(x, y, T)| \\ &+ \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T)} \frac{1}{\lambda_0(t)^{\Theta-1-2\gamma} R(t)^{1-a-2\gamma}} \frac{|\nabla\psi(x, y, t) - \nabla\psi(x', y', t)|}{|(x, y) - (x', y')|^{2\gamma}} \\ &+ \sup \frac{1}{\lambda_0(t)^{\Theta-1-2\gamma} R(t)^{1-a-2\gamma}} \frac{|\nabla\psi(x, y, t_2) - \nabla\psi(x, y, t_1)|}{(t_2 - t_1)^\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where the last supremum is taken over $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ and $t_2 - t_1 \leq \frac{1}{10}(T - t_2)$. Then by minor modifications of [7], we have

Proposition 5.1. *For $T, \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a linear operator mapping functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $g : \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|f\|_{**} < \infty$, $\|g\|_{**} < \infty$ into ψ, c_1, c_2 so that (5.2) is satisfied and the following estimate holds*

$$\|\psi\|_{a, \Theta, \gamma} \leq C (\|f\|_{**} + \|g\|_{**}).$$

Let $\psi = \mathcal{S}[f, g]$ be the operator defined in Proposition 5.1, then (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\psi = \mathcal{S}[H_1, H_2, \psi_\infty](\phi, \psi, \lambda, \xi).$$

• The choice of λ . To make $d(\tau)$ as small as possible, we solve the following equation approximately,

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+} G_1 \cdot Z_3 du dv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} G_2 \cdot Z_3 du = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

This is the case $\alpha = 0$ of λ - α system in [7], hence (5.4) is equivalent to the fixed point problem

$$\lambda = \mathcal{A}_1(\psi, \lambda, \xi).$$

We refer the readers to [7] for details.

- The choice of ξ . To make $c(\tau)$ as small as possible, we solve the following equation

$$\int_{B_{2R}^+} G_1 \cdot Z_2 dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} G_2 \cdot Z_2 du = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

which is equivalent to a nonlinear ODE for form

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{1}{\int_{B_{2R}^+} Z_2 \cdot Z_2 dudv} \left(\int_{B_{2R}^+} (G_1 + \dot{\xi} Z_2) \cdot Z_2 dudv + \int_{-2R}^{2R} G_2 \cdot Z_2 du \right).$$

This can be rewritten as a fixed point problem

$$\xi = \mathcal{A}_2(\psi, \lambda, \xi).$$

Combine the above arguments, the inner-outer system (3.4)-(3.5) is equivalent to the following fixed point problem

$$\psi = \mathcal{S}[H_1, H_2, \psi_\infty](\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi), \quad (5.6)$$

$$\phi_1 = \mathcal{T}[(G_1 - \overline{G}_1)(\lambda, \xi, \psi), (G_2 - \overline{G}_2)(\lambda, \xi, \psi)], \quad (5.7)$$

$$\phi_2 = \mathcal{T}[\overline{G}_1(\lambda, \xi, \psi), \overline{G}_2(\lambda, \xi, \psi)], \quad (5.8)$$

$$\lambda = \mathcal{A}_1(\psi, \lambda, \xi), \quad (5.9)$$

$$\xi = \mathcal{A}_2(\psi, \lambda, \xi). \quad (5.10)$$

Step 2. To set up the fixed point problem (5.6)-(5.10), we give a description of the relevant functional space. First, set

$$R(t) = \lambda_0(t)^{-\beta}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{4} + \sigma$$

and

$$a = 2 - \sigma,$$

for a small but fixed number $\sigma > 0$. Take ϕ in the following space

$$X(a, \nu) = \{\phi \in C(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2R}) : \nabla \phi \in C(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2R}), \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} = \sup_{(u, v, \tau) \in \mathcal{D}_{2R}} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^\nu \frac{R^{6-a} \log^2 R}{1+|(u, v)|^2}} [(1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla \phi(u, v, \tau)| + |\phi(u, v, \tau)|],$$

$a \in (1, 2)$ is close to 2 and $\nu \in (0, 1)$ is close to 1. Also we take ψ in the space

$$Y(a', \Theta, \gamma') = \{\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times [0, T]) : \nabla \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times [0, T]), \|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} < \infty\}$$

for parameters $a' < a$ and $\gamma' < \gamma$. Note that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'}$ is weaker than $\|\cdot\|_{a, \Theta, \gamma}$, and the inclusion $Y(a, \nu, \gamma) \hookrightarrow Y(a', \nu, \gamma')$ is compact.

We assume that the parameter λ is in the space of $C^1[-T, T]$ functions satisfying $\lambda(T) = 0$ with norm

$$\|g\|_\mu = \sup_{t \in [-T, T]} (T - t)^{-\mu} |g(t)|$$

for $\mu \in (0, 1)$ small, while ξ is in the space $C^1([0, T])$ satisfying $\xi(T) = q$ with norm

$$\|\xi\|_\sigma = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (T - t)^{-\sigma} |\dot{\xi}(t)|,$$

for some $\sigma > 0$ fixed.

For $R_1 > 0$ small but fixed, let us define the set

$$A = \{(\psi, \phi_1, \phi_2, \lambda, \xi) \in Y(a', \Theta, \gamma') \times X(a, \nu) \times X(a, \nu) \times C^1[-T, T] \times C^1[0, T] \mid \|\phi_1\|_{X(a, \nu)} + \|\phi_2\|_{X(a, \nu)} + \|\psi\|_{Y(a', \Theta, \gamma')} + \|\lambda\|_{\mu} + \|\xi\|_{\sigma} \leq T^{\sigma_0} + R_1\}.$$

Let $(\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi)$ be the map defined by (5.6)-(5.10).

Step 3. We show that \mathcal{F} maps the set A into itself and it is a compact operator. To this aim, we should estimate (5.6)-(5.10) respectively.

Estimations for (5.6). We claim if $R_1 > 0$ is fixed and small, there holds

$$\|H_1(\psi, \phi, \lambda, \xi)\|_{**} \leq C(T^{\sigma_0} + R_1). \quad (5.11)$$

First we consider the term $(1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi(t)|^2} \psi$. Since $\psi(q, 0, T) = 0$ and from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, 0, t)| &\leq (|\psi(x, 0, t) - \psi(x, 0, T)| + |\psi(x, 0, T) - \psi(q, 0, T)|) \\ &\leq (r + \lambda_0^{\Theta}(t)R(t)^{2-a'} |\log(T-t)|) \|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi(t)|^2} \psi| \\ &\leq (1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda_0}{(r + \lambda_0)^2} (|\psi(x, 0, t) - \psi(x, 0, T)| + |\psi(x, 0, T) - \psi(q, 0, T)|) \\ &\leq C(1 - \eta) \frac{\lambda_0}{(r + \lambda_0)^2} (r + \lambda_0^{\Theta}(t)R(t)^{2-a'} |\log(T-t)|) \|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} \\ &\leq C(\varrho_2 + \varrho_3) \|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|(1 - \eta) \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + |x - \xi(t)|^2} \psi\|_{**} \leq C \|\psi\|_{Y(a', \nu, \gamma')}.$$

Next we consider $\Delta\eta\phi$. From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X(a, \nu)}$, when $R \leq |(u, v)| \leq 2R$, we have

$$|\phi(u, v, \tau)| + (1 + |(u, v)|) |\nabla\phi(u, v, \tau)| \leq \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \lambda_0^{\nu} R^{4-a} \log^2 R.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta\eta\phi| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2 R^2} \chi_{\{|(x, y) - (\xi, 0)| \leq 2\lambda R\}} |\phi(y, \tau)| \\ &\leq C \lambda_0^{\nu-2} R^{2-a} \log^2 R \chi_{\{|(x, y) - (q, 0)| \leq C\lambda_0 R\}} \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \\ &\leq C \lambda_0^{\Theta-2} R^{-a'} \chi_{\{|(x, y) - (q, 0)| \leq C\lambda_0 R\}} \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \\ &\leq C \varrho_1 \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Delta\eta\phi\|_{**} \leq C \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\left\| \left(\frac{d}{dy} \eta \right) (x, 0, t) \phi \right\|_{**} + \|(\partial_t \eta) \phi\|_{**} + \|\lambda^{-1} \nabla \eta \nabla \phi\|_{**} \leq C \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)}.$$

For the term $-\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}\eta(u, v) \cdot \nabla_{(u, v)}\phi - \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda}\eta\frac{d\phi}{du}$, since $|\dot{\lambda}| \leq C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}\eta(u, v) \cdot \nabla_{(u, v)}\phi \right| &\leq C\lambda_0^{\nu-1}R^{4-a}\log^2 R\chi_{\{|x-q|\leq 2\lambda_0(t)R(t)\}}\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \\ &\leq C\rho_1\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda}\eta\frac{d\phi}{du} \right| &\leq C\lambda_0^{\nu-1}R^{4-a}\log^2 R\chi_{\{|x-q|\leq 2\lambda_0(t)R(t)\}}\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)} \\ &\leq C\rho_1\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| -\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda}\eta(u, v) \cdot \nabla_{(u, v)}\phi - \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda}\eta\frac{d\phi}{du} \right\|_{**} \leq C\|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)}.$$

For $(1-\eta)\Pi_{U^\perp}\mathcal{E}_2^*$, we have

$$|(1-\eta)\Pi_{U^\perp}\mathcal{E}_2^*| \leq (1-\eta)\frac{\lambda_0}{r^2 + \lambda_0^2},$$

hence

$$\|(1-\eta)\Pi_{U^\perp}\mathcal{E}_2^*\|_{**} \leq CT^{\sigma_0}.$$

Similarly,

$$\|(1-\eta)\mathcal{E}_1^*\|_{**} \leq CT^{\sigma_0}.$$

The proof of the estimate

$$\|N(\varphi^* + \psi + \eta Q_\alpha\phi)\|_{**} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} + \|\phi\|_{X(a, \nu)})$$

is analogous as the previous terms, so we omit the details. From the above estimates, we obtain (5.11). By (5.11) and Proposition (5.1), there holds

$$\|\mathcal{S}[H_1, H_2, \psi_\infty](\phi, \psi, \lambda, \xi)\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} \leq CR_1. \quad (5.12)$$

Estimations for (5.7). Now we consider (5.7). By Lemma 3.2 in [31], there holds

$$|\tilde{L}_w[\psi]| \leq C\frac{\lambda_0}{1+\rho^2}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\frac{\lambda_0}{1+\rho^2}T^\Theta\|\psi\|_{Y(a', \nu, \gamma')}.$$

Fix $a_1 \in (a, 2)$ and $\nu_1 \in (\nu, 1)$, which implies

$$\|G_1(\lambda, \xi, \psi)\|_{a_1, \nu_1} \leq CT^{1-\nu_1} + CT^\Theta R_1$$

and

$$\|G_2(\lambda, \xi, \psi)\|_{a_1, \nu_1} \leq CT^{1-\nu_1} + CT^\Theta R_1.$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{T}[G_1(\lambda, \xi, \psi), G_2(\lambda, \xi, \psi)]\|_{X(a_1, \nu_1)} \leq CT^{1-\nu_1} + CT^{\nu-1+\beta(a'-1)}R_1. \quad (5.13)$$

Estimations for (5.8). From the choice of ξ , $c(\tau) = 0$ and from the result of [7],

$$|d(\tau)| \leq C\lambda_0(T-t)^{\sigma'}R(t)^{1-a'}(\|a(\cdot) - a(T)\|_{\mu, l} + \|a(\cdot) - a(T)\|_{\gamma', m, l})$$

and

$$\|a(\cdot) - a(T)\|_{\mu, l} + \|a(\cdot) - a(T)\|_{\gamma', m, l} \leq C\|\psi\|_{a', \Theta, \gamma'} \leq CR_1.$$

Hence we have

$$\|\phi_2\|_{X(a_1, \nu_1)} = \|\mathcal{T}[c_{lj}(\Theta(\lambda, \alpha, \xi, \psi), \psi)]\chi_{Z_{lj}}\|_{X(a_1, \nu_1)} \leq CR_1, \quad (5.14)$$

which holds since the decay of χZ_3 is $\frac{1}{1+\rho^2}$ and ν_1 is close to ν depending on σ . Here

$$\|g\|_{\mu,l} = \sup_{t \in [-T,T]} (T-t)^{-\mu} |\log(T-t)|^l |g(t)|, \|f\|_{\gamma',m,l} = \sup_{-T \leq s \leq t \leq T, t-s \leq \frac{1}{10}(T-t)} (T-t)^{-m} |\log(T-t)|^l \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{(t-s)^{\gamma'}},$$

$\mu \in (0, 1)$, $m \in (0, 1)$, $l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma' > 0$.

Estimations for (5.9). From Proposition 6.1 in [7], we obtain

$$\|\lambda\|_{\mu} \leq C |\log T|^{\beta(a'-1)} \quad (5.15)$$

for $\mu = \beta(a' - 1)$.

Estimations for (5.10). The definition of $\|\psi\|_{a',\Theta,\gamma'}$ implies that

$$\|\xi\|_{\mu_1} \leq CR_1 \quad (5.16)$$

for $\mu_1 = \nu - 1 + \beta(a - 1)$.

From the estimates (5.12), (5.13), (5.14), (5.15), (5.16) and standard parabolic estimates, \mathcal{F} is compact from the set A into itself. The existence of a solution follows then from Schauder's fixed point theorem, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. Wei is partially supported by NSERC of Canada. Y. Zheng is partially supported by NSF of China (11301374). Y.S. is partially supported by the Simons foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alfred Baldes, Harmonic mappings with partially free boundary, *Manuscripta Math.*, 40(2-3):255–275, 1982.
- [2] A. Banerjee and N. Garofalo, Monotonicity of generalized frequencies and the strong unique continuation property for fractional parabolic equations, *Adv. Math.*, 336:149–241, 2018.
- [3] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(7-9):1245–1260, 2007.
- [4] Yunmei Chen and Fang Hua Lin, Evolution equations with a free boundary condition, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 8(2):179–197, 1998.
- [5] Fernando Codá Marques, Minimal surfaces: variational theory and applications, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Seoul 2014. Vol. I, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul*, pp. 283–310, 2014.
- [6] Carmen Cortazar, Manuel del Pino and Monica Musso, Green's function and infinite-time bubbling in the critical nonlinear heat equation, *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 22(1):283–344, 2020.
- [7] Juan Davila, Manuel del Pino and Juncheng Wei, Singularity formation for the two-dimensional harmonic map flow into S^2 , *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 219(2):345–466, 2020.
- [8] Manuel del Pino, Panagiota Daskalopoulos and Natasa Sesum, Type II ancient compact solutions to the Yamabe flow, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 738:1–71, 2018.
- [9] Manuel del Pino, Michal Kowalczyk and Jun-Cheng Wei, Concentration on curves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(1):113–146, 2007.
- [10] Manuel del Pino, Michal Kowalczyk and Juncheng Wei, On De Giorgi's conjecture in dimension $N \geq 9$, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(3):1485–1569, 2011.
- [11] Manuel del Pino, Michal Kowalczyk and Juncheng Wei, Entire solutions of the Allen-Cahn equation and complete embedded minimal surfaces of finite total curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 , *J. Differential Geom.*, 93(1):67–131, 2013.
- [12] Manuel del Pino, Monica Musso and Juncheng Wei, Infinite time blow-up for the 3-dimensional energy critical heat equation, *Analysis and PDE*, 13(1):215–274, 2020.
- [13] Frank Duzaar and Klaus Steffen, An optimal estimate for the singular set of a harmonic map in the free boundary, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 401:157–187, 1989.

- [14] Frank Duzaar and Klaus Steffen, A partial regularity theorem for harmonic maps at a free boundary, *Asymptotic Anal.*, 2(4):299–343, 1989.
- [15] Abigail Folha, Frank Pacard and Tatiana Zolotareva, Free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit 3-ball, *Manuscripta Math.*, 154(3-4):359–409, 2017.
- [16] Ailana Fraser and Richard Schoen, The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces, *Adv. Math.*, 226(5):4011–4030, 2011.
- [17] Ailana Fraser and Richard Schoen, Sharp eigenvalue bounds and minimal surfaces in the ball, *Invent. Math.*, 203(3):823–890, 2016.
- [18] Robert Gulliver and Jürgen Jost, Harmonic maps which solve a free-boundary problem, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 381:61–89, 1987.
- [19] Richard S. Hamilton, Harmonic maps of manifolds with boundary, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 471, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [20] Robert Hardt and Fang-Hua Lin, Partially constrained boundary conditions with energy minimizing mappings, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 42(3):309–334, 1989.
- [21] Jürgen Jost, Lei Liu and Miaomiao Zhu, The qualitative behavior at the free boundary for approximate harmonic maps from surfaces, *Math. Ann.*, 374:133–177, 2019.
- [22] Nikolaos Kapouleas and David Wiygul, Free-boundary minimal surfaces with connected boundary in the 3-ball by tripling the equatorial disc, *arXiv:1711.00818*.
- [23] Nikolaos Kapouleas and Martin Man-chun Li, Free boundary minimal surfaces in the unit three-ball via desingularization of the critical catenoid and the equatorial disk, *arXiv:1709.08556*.
- [24] Ma Li, Harmonic map heat flow with free boundary, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 66(2):279–301, 1991.
- [25] Martin man-chun Li, Free boundary minimal surfaces in the unite ball: recent advances and open questions, *arXiv: 1907.05053*.
- [26] Fanghua Lin and Juncheng Wei, Traveling wave solutions of the Schrödinger map equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 63(12):1585–1621, 2010.
- [27] Vincent Millot and Yannick Sire, On a fractional Ginzburg-Landau equation and 1/2-harmonic maps into spheres, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 215(1):125–210, 2015.
- [28] André Neves, New applications of min-max theory, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Seoul 2014. Vol. II, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul*, pp. 939–957, 2014.
- [29] P. Raphael and R. Seiwinger, Stable blowup dynamics for the 1-corotational energy critical harmonic heat flow. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 66 (2013), no. 3, 414–480.
- [30] Yannick Sire, Juncheng Wei and Youquan Zheng, Nondegeneracy of half-harmonic maps from \mathbb{R} into S^1 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(12):5263–5268, 2018.
- [31] Yannick Sire, Juncheng Wei and Youquan Zheng, Infinite time blow-up for half-harmonic map flow from \mathbb{R} into S^1 , *American Journal of Mathematics*, to appear.
- [32] Pablo Raúl Stinga and José Luis Torrea, Regularity theory and extension problem for fractional nonlocal parabolic equations and the master equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49(5):3893–3924, 2017
- [33] Michael Struwe, The evolution of harmonic mappings with free boundaries, *Manuscripta Math.*, 70(4):373–384, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, 404 KRIEGER HALL, 3400 N. CHARLES STREET, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
E-mail address: sire@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA, V6T 1Z2
E-mail address: jcwei@math.ubc.ca

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: zhengyq@tju.edu.cn