

# **Immigrant-focused medical legal partnerships: A practical innovation to improve immigrant health and social well-being**

Athena K. Ramos, PhD, MBA, MS, CPM<sup>1</sup>

Anna Deal, JD<sup>2</sup>

Sophia A. Quintero, MPH<sup>1</sup>

Fernando A. Wilson, PhD<sup>3</sup>

1. University of Nebraska Medical Center - Center for Reducing Health Disparities, Omaha, Nebraska
2. Immigrant Legal Center, an Affiliate of the Justice For Our Neighbors Network, Omaha, Nebraska
3. University of Utah - Matheson Center for Health Care Studies, Salt Lake City, Utah

*Submitted 31 May 2021, revised 24 September 2021, accepted 7 November 2021.*

**ABSTRACT:**

**The Problem:** Immigration status is an important social determinant of health that can limit access to healthcare and related services. Few medical legal partnerships (MLPs) address immigration-related legal needs of patients.

**Purpose of Article:** An immigrant-focused medical legal partnership (IMLP) addresses immigration legal needs and provides advice to vulnerable patient-clients and their families regarding potential legal consequences of accessing healthcare and other public benefits.

In this article, we outline the core elements of an IMLP and provide case examples from our ongoing IMLPs.

**Key Points:** Although many of the core elements of an IMLP are similar to those of an MLP, an IMLP focuses specifically on immigration-related legal needs of patient-clients. IMLPs can complement and extend MLP services by providing legal assistance to non-citizen immigrant individuals and mixed status families.

**Conclusion:** IMLPs may improve immigrant patient-clients' health and social well-being and create eligibility for public benefits. An IMLP can be a scalable innovation to improve access to health and legal services. Challenges to institutionalizing an IMLP may include limited sustainable funding, few legal service providers with immigration expertise and capacity, patient-client identification, and the politicized nature of immigration.

**KEYWORDS:** Immigration, Medical-Legal Partnership, Legal Status, Social Determinants of Health, Access to Care, Immigrant Health

## INTRODUCTION

Medical legal partnerships (MLPs) are collaborative interventions that embed legal service professionals in healthcare settings to address complex social and legal conditions that contribute to poor population health outcomes and health disparities.<sup>1-2</sup> Medical and legal professionals serve complementary and reinforcing roles in addressing patient well-being.<sup>3</sup> In 2007, the American Bar Association formally endorsed the concept of medical-legal partnerships.<sup>4</sup> Since then, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Medical Association, the Association of American Medical Colleges, and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality have promoted the MLP model.<sup>5-6</sup> As of April 2021, there were 450 medical-legal partnerships operating across 49 states in the United States (U.S.),<sup>6</sup> but the majority of MLPs in the U.S. serve only citizens and legal immigrants.

Few MLPs address immigration-related legal needs of patients (E. Lawton, January 21, 2020). Often this is due to regulatory constraints associated with funding received through the Legal Services Corporation, which was established by the U.S. Congress to fund civil legal aid for low-income individuals. This funding excludes most immigrant categories (e.g., undocumented, asylum seekers, Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA), Temporary Protected Status (TPS), and most other temporary statuses). The only noncitizen categories such agencies can serve are lawful permanent residents (LPRs); some temporary workers; and survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, or human trafficking. However, addressing immigration-related legal needs is vital to improving immigrants' access to healthcare. In the U.S., undocumented immigrants and many authorized immigrants are excluded from federally-funded health benefits programs under the 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act. There is also substantial variation in immigrants' eligibility for state-funded

health benefits programs.<sup>7</sup> Immigrants' poor access to care such as lack of health insurance has been associated with lower likelihood of healthcare utilization including preventive care, leading to increased risk for undiagnosed diabetes and other diseases.<sup>8-10</sup> In light of the socio-political situation in the U.S. during the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a heightened sense of urgency around increasing access to care by vulnerable populations such as immigrants, and, thus, increased demand for immigration-related legal services.<sup>11</sup>

An immigrant-focused medical legal partnership (IMLP) addresses legal needs that may prevent immigrants from obtaining healthcare for themselves and their families, such as the legal need of acquiring a form of immigration status that provides access to affordable healthcare coverage as well as the need for personalized advice on potential immigration consequences, if any, of accepting public benefits for oneself or a family member.

An IMLP can assist eligible immigrant patient-clients in obtaining legal status, which may result in public benefit eligibility (i.e., eligibility for full-scope Medicaid, ability to purchase insurance under the healthcare exchanges, or access to employer-sponsored insurance). Such eligibility can help ensure financial compensation to healthcare providers for delivering medical services to immigrant communities that experience high rates of poverty and uninsurance.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, immigrants who qualify for benefits programs after an IMLP intervention may have additional resources to receive preventive healthcare, thus potentially improving long-term health outcomes.

An IMLP can also advise noncitizen patient-clients on the legal consequences, or lack thereof, of accepting public benefits or social welfare assistance for which they qualify. For example, in 2019, the prior administration enacted changes to the “public charge” rule, a provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act that barred immigrants who were “likely to

become primarily dependent on the government for subsistence.” The rule change broadened the public charge analysis to consider a wide range of factors, including age, health, education, household income, and having applied for or received a broader group of means-tested public benefits. Because the public charge rule only applied to certain categories of intending immigrants, the actual impact was limited in scope; however, the rule change spawned fear within immigrant communities that resulted in nearly one-third of immigrant families with children avoiding use of public benefits due to concerns about adverse immigration consequences in 2019.<sup>13</sup> An IMLP can respond to policy changes that adversely affect access to critical public benefits by educating healthcare professionals about such changes and advising noncitizen patient-clients about any potential risks associated with applying for or receiving benefits based on their particular circumstances.

It is important to note that an IMLP is not in competition with a traditional MLP that may already be established within a healthcare facility. Instead, an IMLP can complement and extend MLP services by providing legal assistance to non-citizen immigrant individuals and mixed status families — a population and set of services that most traditional MLP programs do not engage with due to funding restrictions. While traditional MLPs are able to serve a narrow group of noncitizen clients, including LPRs and victims of certain crimes, they typically offer only specialized immigration legal services tailored to these narrow categories such as naturalization or representation in victim-based application types. They generally do not have broad expertise in immigration law or public benefits eligibility for different immigrant classes, as they cannot serve most immigrant categories. Furthermore, if a patient’s immigration status cannot be immediately determined (as is frequently the case with indigent or incapacitated patients), general MLPs may be precluded from serving them.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the development of an IMLP, outline the core elements of an IMLP, and provide structure and case examples from our ongoing IMLPs.

### **Development of the IMLP**

In 2015, the University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) College of Public Health hosted a series of dialogues with leaders of community organizations in South Omaha (zip code 68107), a community with a high percentage of foreign-born individuals (32.3%).<sup>14</sup> Leaders noted how the university holds a unique and powerful position to address structural barriers to health and made it clear that more needed to be done to address the needs of undocumented and legally vulnerable individuals. Leaders agreed that the university should be a “leader and a catalyst” to push issues forward. One of the ideas that surfaced was an extension of the MLP to address immigration-related needs.

The faculty member who led these dialogues (AKR) began to discuss the possibility of an IMLP internally with various university committees and initiatives. She hosted a grand rounds presentation on January 20, 2016 about the intersection of immigration law and healthcare, and Charles “Shane” Ellison, Legal Director/Deputy Executive Director for Justice for Our Neighbors, was the speaker. Since then, the Immigrant Legal Center (ILC), formerly known as Justice for Our Neighbors, a Nebraska-based nonprofit immigration legal service provider, has been able to test the feasibility of the IMLP model with various healthcare facilities. This paper is the result of a collaboration between UNMC College of Public Health faculty and staff (AKR, FW, and SAQ) and ILC’s Legal Director (AD). All partners contributed to writing. The UNMC team developed the concept and structure of the manuscript, and ILC’s Legal Director drafted sections that required expertise as the partnering immigration legal service provider.

Beginning in 2017, ILC entered into a series of IMLPs to provide legal screening and representation to patients and their family members at three Omaha-area healthcare facilities: UNMC, an academic medical center with community-based primary care clinics (IMLP established 2019), a federally qualified health center (FQHC) (IMLP established 2017), and a pediatric-focused hospital (IMLP established 2017). Each facility supports the equivalent of one ILC attorney or Department of Justice-accredited legal representative and attendant paralegal and administrative support.

The purpose and motivation for forming and funding an IMLP differed among sites. For example, UNMC and associated clinics were home to two longstanding MLPs with an established institutional champion. There are consistent monthly meetings of all MLP partners, the institutional champion, and associated healthcare facility staff (i.e., social work leads, institutional legal counsel, and financial office staff) to share information and resources, answer questions, and build institutional support for the program. There are shared goals, measurable outcomes, and processes in place to address issues as they arise. This facility's IMLP was the result of a partnership between the hospital and associated clinics, ILC, and UNMC faculty, which was initially funded by a private foundation as a three-year pilot program that aimed to produce reimbursement to the facility that exceeded the foundation's investment. As part of the pilot, the hospital and clinic staff would identify potential patient-clients and submit a referral to ILC. ILC would review the referral and set up a legal consultation with the patient-client. UNMC faculty were engaged to evaluate both the economic and social return on investment (ROI). The IMLP has been integrated into the monthly MLP meetings and has the full support of the institutional champion.

A total of 687 patient-clients have been served through the three IMLPs, thus highlighting a clear need for immigration legal services in these settings. Table 1 highlights the funding source for each partnership and its purpose, number of referrals, resulting legal consultations completed with patient-clients, and cases that have been represented resulting from these IMLPs.

### **Core components of an IMLP**

While the format of each partnership varies, there are several common elements in addition to a formal agreement between a healthcare institution and legal service providers, which include: (1) outreach to facility staff to make them aware of the IMLP services and encourage patient/family referrals; (2) continuing education for facility staff regarding developments in immigration law and policy that may affect their patients; (3) referral of patients/families who disclose a need for immigration legal services; (4) legal consultation with patient/family to screen for immigration options and other legal service needs as well as provide *Know Your Rights* and safety planning information; (5) extended legal representation of patient-clients; (6) case management to ensure eligible patient-clients access Medicaid and other appropriate benefits; and (7) evaluation and reporting. Below we expand on the core components of each element.

#### **1. Outreach to facility staff and partners to stimulate referrals**

Staff in various roles may learn of a patient's need for immigration legal services; therefore, it is critical that they are aware of the IMLP and how to make a referral for services. IMLPs should conduct outreach not only to healthcare providers but also to other healthcare facility staff such as social workers and financial counselors as well as community-based



organizations such as churches or immigrant-serving institutions. Within the healthcare setting, outreach efforts may include identification of departments with a high percentage of presumably undocumented patients; dissemination of printed program materials; and scheduling standard office hours or a “legal service provider in residence” during which a legal service provider (i.e., attorney or accredited legal representative) works out of the healthcare facility to answer questions staff may have and receive patient referrals in real-time.<sup>15</sup>

## 2. Education and training for facility staff on immigration-related issues

IMLPs should provide general education on the U.S. immigration system and issues impacting immigrant communities to healthcare facility staff. For instance, from 2018 to 2020, ILC’s IMLPs addressed concerns related to changes to the “public charge” rule, which barred certain low and middle-income individuals from qualifying for permanent residency. Rhetoric, misinformation, and uncertainty about the scope of the rule produced fear wherein even immigrant families to whom the rule change did not apply were afraid to accept any form of public assistance, and many families disenrolled their U.S. citizen children from crucial benefits programs for which they qualified such as the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and full-scope Medicaid.<sup>16-18</sup> Although the current administration has withdrawn from the new rule and is not applying it, the response to the 2019 public charge changes exemplifies how IMLPs can help preserve healthcare and benefit access in the face of specific policy developments. Through educational efforts, the IMLP can help facility staff reduce the anxiety of immigrant patients by providing accurate

information on the impact of current and anticipated federal and state policies on their immigration status and eligibility for public assistance programs.

### 3. Referral of patients and families

A successful IMLP requires patient-client referrals. Referrals may come through different mechanisms depending on the structure of the IMLP within the healthcare facility. In some cases, a healthcare staff member such as a social worker or financial counselor may make a referral directly to the IMLP. In other cases, patients or family members may need to contact the IMLP themselves through a hotline or other referral channel. One screening challenge unique to IMLPs is that healthcare staff generally, and appropriately, do not question patients or their family members as to their immigration status. Therefore, unless patients self-disclose, it may be difficult for healthcare providers or social workers to identify patients in need of immigration legal assistance. Financial counselors are an important referral source, as they often become aware of a patient's noncitizen or undocumented status based on the absence of a social security number or a denial of a Medicaid application. The IMLP can educate healthcare facility staff on ways to sensitively and discretely offer access to immigration legal services without directly questioning the patient or family member's immigration status. Additionally, healthcare facility staff often underestimate the complexity and specificity of immigration and public benefits law and may ask the IMLP to provide an immediate answer as to a particular patient's status and options; however, without a complete legal consultation and perhaps a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to produce the client's complete records, the IMLP representatives are frequently unable to determine status or eligibility. Therefore, the IMLP must set healthcare facility staff expectations

appropriately with respect to the time and labor required to assess a patient-client's potential legal options.

#### 4. Legal consultations

An IMLP attorney or accredited legal representative screens, identifies, and advises the patient and/or their family members on any immigration legal options that exist such as asylum; Special Immigrant Juvenile status (SIJS), U- or T-Visas for victims of certain crimes or trafficking; statuses that confer temporary protection from deportation such as TPS or DACA; family-based options; naturalization; or simply a FOIA request to determine an individual's immigration history or current status. Where no immediate options exist, or whenever appropriate, IMLPs may provide *Know Your Rights* information to empower undocumented individuals to protect their rights in interactions with law enforcement and immigration authorities. They may also assist in safety planning to help individuals make plans for the care of their property and their loved ones in the event of their detention or deportation. IMLPs can also reassure anxious patient-clients that they can safely receive public benefits for qualifying family members and explain any potential risks involved based on the family's particular circumstances. Where patient-clients are facing labor or employment issues, housing or food insecurity, behavioral health concerns, or other issues beyond immigration legal service needs, the IMLP can make appropriate referrals to services.

#### 5. Extended legal representation

If the IMLP identifies an immigration legal option and determines that the legal service provider has the capacity and necessary expertise to take on the case, the IMLP can enter into

a representation agreement with the patient-client and file for the relief identified. Depending on the immigration benefit sought, the extended representation assistance may require anywhere from a few weeks to a few decades. If a patient-client merely needs help obtaining proof of their immigration status from the local immigration office, the IMLP may be able to acquire such documents in a matter of days or weeks. By contrast, a patient-client who is seeking a U-Visa based on their victimization with a qualifying crime must wait about 15 years for their visa to be approved and another four years to access permanent resident status.

#### 6. Case management to assist in accessing benefits

Unfortunately, there are many barriers to accessing lawful immigration status that provides access to benefits, including an immense backlog of cases throughout the immigration system, the inability to obtain employment authorization or a social security number while certain applications are pending, and few immigration options that provide timely access to Medicaid eligibility. Thus, it may take many years for a patient-client to acquire an immigration status that provides access to healthcare coverage or that realizes reimbursement for the healthcare provider. Case management services can support patient-clients through the process, helping them to understand the status of their case, expected timeline for processing, and next steps. Moreover, when a patient-client obtains an immigration status that qualifies them for Medicaid, insurance coverage through the exchanges, or employer-sponsored coverage, IMLP staff work with medical social workers to assist the patient-client in accessing coverage. In some states where full-scope Medicaid is obtained, healthcare providers may be eligible for reimbursement for the 90-day period prior to eligibility as well as future expenses. Thus, assistance which results in access to full-scope Medicaid is most

likely to result in financial compensation for healthcare providers. Because Medicaid reimbursement rules vary by state, the IMLP should understand the retroactive eligibility waiver rules for the state where reimbursement would be requested.

## 7. Evaluation and reporting

IMLPs should use a combination of qualitative and quantitative methodologies to determine progress toward achieving their organizational goals. Qualitative methodologies may include, for example, follow-up interviews with patient-clients and key healthcare staff members. Quantitative methodologies may include systematic tracking of client referrals and their eligibility and enrollment in federal and state public benefits programs, tracking of patient-clients' healthcare utilization and associated reimbursements from payers, follow-up surveys of patient-clients to identify outstanding issues and gauge satisfaction and quality of life, and surveys of healthcare facility staff to identify education gaps pertaining to immigration issues and opportunities for further engagement and collaboration. If applicable, determining ROI for funders of IMLP services may also be undertaken. IMLPs should also provide periodic reports to healthcare facilities about the successes, challenges, and outcomes of their work.

Based on our experience, IMLPs may improve immigrant patient-clients' health and social well-being and create eligibility for public benefits. Table 2 highlights some case examples from patient-clients that have been served through our IMLP projects. In one case, IMLP staff were able to obtain an emergency custody order and file an application for SIJS for an acutely ill child, which allowed them to qualify for non-emergency Medicaid. Now, the child can obtain routine dialysis and is eligible for a kidney transplant should it be needed. Both the

child and her mother were able to return to live with family, and the mother was able to return to work. In another case, the IMLP filed a FOIA request that was used to request a copy of their refugee documentation from the local immigration office, enabling the patient-client to be approved for Medicaid and resulted in \$78,000 in reimbursements to the hospital thus far.

Based on the results of traditional MLPs<sup>19-20</sup> and our preliminary data, we anticipate that our IMLP projects will produce improvements in health and social well-being among patient-clients, and they have already demonstrated ROI (i.e., reimbursement; decrease in uncompensated care) for healthcare facilities. However, as these projects are relatively new, they are currently being evaluated to assess outputs (e.g., number of referrals, consultations, and extended representation cases) as well as both the short-term (e.g., legal literacy, patient-clients' stress and anxiety) and long-term outcomes (e.g., health status, quality of life, adherence to treatment, satisfaction with care, and financial return on investment).

We believe that an IMLP is an innovation that can be scaled across healthcare organizations, particularly those that serve a high and increasing percentages of immigrant patients. IMLPs can offer relative advantages to patients and healthcare facilities such as addressing immigration legal needs of vulnerable patients, reducing the emotional and financial burden of seeking healthcare while uninsured, recovering reimbursement for provided services, and promoting caring and social equity within the healthcare system. However, there are several considerations to note. First, scaling this innovation requires that there is a structure and dedicated funding mechanism in place to sustain the IMLP for the long-term. Next, those promoting an IMLP may need to find institutional champions in healthcare facilities to advocate on their behalf as well as legal service providers with capacity to engage in this work. The IMLP must also be able to identify potential patient-clients and generate sufficient referrals. Finally,

partners may need to develop a shared strategic vision for how to discuss an IMLP, in light of the complex and oftentimes highly politicized nature of immigration.

In conclusion, because immigration legal status is a social determinant of health,<sup>21</sup> structural approaches for redress are necessary. An IMLP can complement and extend a traditional MLP program and provide critical access to immigration-related legal services, which may be a tipping point for overcoming many structural barriers, various social determinants of health, and changing the trajectory of a patient-client and their family's life. This is especially important and timely given the near and long-term impacts of COVID-19 on immigrant communities and the ongoing vaccination efforts in the U.S. Further, an IMLP may assist both healthcare providers and legal service providers to better understand the issues that immigrant patient-clients face, foster interprofessional collaboration, and reduce barriers and delays to needed services. By institutionalizing an IMLP, we can holistically serve and prioritize the health and social well-being of immigrant patient-clients, an underserved and vulnerable population.

## REFERENCES

1. Lawton E, Tyler ET. Optimizing the health impacts of civil legal aid interventions: The public health framework of medical-legal partnerships. *R I Med J*. 2013;96(7): 23-26.
2. Regenstein M, Trott J, Williamson A, Theiss J. Addressing social determinants of health through medical-legal partnerships. *Health Aff*. 2018;37(3):378-385.
3. Ezer T. Medical-legal partnerships with communities: Legal empowerment to transform care. *Yale J Health Policy Law Ethics*. 2017;17(2):309-326.
4. DeMuro PR. American Bar Association, Health law section – Report to the House of Delegates. Recommendation 120A. 2007 [cited 2020 Jan 15]. Available from: <https://medical-legalpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/American-Bar-Association-MLP-Resolution.pdf>
5. Association of American Medical Colleges [Internet]. Evaluating the impact of medical-legal partnerships on health and health inequities. 2019 [cited 6 Jan 2020]. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/what-we-do/mission-areas/medical-research/health-equity/medical-legal-partnerships>
6. National Center for Medical-Legal Partnerships [Internet]. The partnerships. n.d. [cited 2021 Apr 7] Available from: <https://medical-legalpartnership.org/partnerships/>
7. Derosé KP, Escarce JJ, Lurie N. Immigrants and health care: Sources of vulnerability. *Health Aff*. 2007;26(5):1258-1268.
8. Wilson FA, Zallman L, Pagán JA, Ortega AN, Wang Y, Tatar M, Stimpson JP. Comparison of use of health care services and spending for unauthorized immigrants vs authorized immigrants or US citizens using a machine learning model. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Dec 1;3(12):e2029230. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29230.



9. Barcellos SH, Goldman DP, Smith JP. Undiagnosed disease, especially diabetes, casts doubt on some of reported health 'advantage' of recent Mexican immigrants. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2012 Dec;31(12):2727-37. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0973.
10. Wilson FA, Wang Y, Borrell LN, Bae S, Stimpson JP. Disparities in oral health by immigration status in the United States. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2018 Jun;149(6):414-421.e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.adaj.2018.01.024.
11. Fuller SM, Steward WT, Martinez O, Arnold EA. Medical-legal partnerships to support continuity of care for immigrants impacted by HIV: Lessons learned from California. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2020;22(1):212-215.
12. Matthew DB. The law as healer: How paying for medical-legal partnerships saves lives and money. Center for Health Policy at Brookings; 2017 [cited 2020 Jul 6]. Available from: <https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-law-as-healer-how-paying-for-medical-legal-partnerships-saves-lives-and-money/>
13. Haley JM, Kenney GM, Berstein H, Gonzalez D. One in five adults in immigrant families with children reported chilling effects on public benefit receipt in 2019. The Urban Institute; 2020[cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/one-five-adults-immigrant-families-children-reported-chilling-effects-public-benefit-receipt-2019>
14. U.S. Census Bureau. ACS demographic and housing estimates, 2015 5-year estimates, DP05. Available from: <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=68107&tid=ACSDP5Y2015.DP05>
15. Tobin-Tyler E, Teitelbaum JB. Medical-legal partnership: A powerful tool for public health and health justice. *Public Health Rep*. 2019;134(2):201-205.

16. Ayón C, Ramos Santiago J, López Torres AS. Latinx undocumented older adults, health needs and access to healthcare. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2020;22:996–1009. DOI: 10.1007/s10903-019-00966-7
17. Perreira KM, Yoshikawa H, Oberlander J. A new threat to immigrants' health—the public-charge rule. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(10):901–903.
18. Zallman L, Finnegan KE, Himmelstein DU, Touw S, Woolhandler S. Implications of changing public charge immigration rules for children who need medical care. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2019;173(9):e191744.
19. Rodabaugh KJ, Hammond M, Myszka D, Sandel M. A medical-legal partnership as a component of a palliative care model. *J Palliat Med*. 2010 Jan;13(1):15-8. DOI: 10.1089/jpm.2009.0203.
20. Martinez O, Boles J, Muñoz-Laboy M, Levine EC, Ayamele C, Eisenberg R, Manusov J, Draine J. Bridging health disparity gaps through the use of medical legal partnerships in patient care: A systematic review. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2017 Jun;45(2):260-273. DOI: 10.1177/1073110517720654.
21. Castañeda H, Holmes SM, Madrigal DS, Young ME, Beyeler N, Quesada J. Immigration as a social determinant of health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2015;36:375-392.

Table 1

Funding sources and purpose, total referrals and consultations, and previous two year caseloads from IMLP partnerships

Healthcare partner	Funding source and purpose	Total referrals	Total consultations	2019 Cases	2020 Cases	Case Types
Academic medical center	Private foundation grant  <i>Purpose: To demonstrate return on investment leading to institutional funding</i>	42	57	31	40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brief services, 28.1%</li> <li>• FOIA, 25.0%</li> <li>• Adjustment/Naturalization, 12.5%</li> <li>• Custody/Guardianship/SIJS, 12.5%</li> <li>• Humanitarian/Refugee, 12.5%</li> <li>• Waivers, 6.2%</li> <li>• Family petition, 3.1%</li> </ul>
Federally qualified health center	Institutional funding  <i>Purpose: To align with mission and provide wrap-around services to address social determinants of health</i>	270	299	124	178	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Humanitarian/Refugee, 27.4%</li> <li>• FOIA, 16.9%</li> <li>• Adjustment/Naturalization, 13.4%</li> <li>• Waivers, 13.4%</li> <li>• Custody/Guardianship/SIJS, 11.3%</li> <li>• Brief services, 9.8%</li> <li>• Family petition, 2.8%</li> </ul>
Pediatric hospital	Hospital charitable care funds  <i>Purpose: To reduce uncompensated care</i>	320	331	140	90	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Humanitarian/Refugee, 27.4%</li> <li>• Family petition, 22.2%</li> <li>• Brief services, 13.3%</li> <li>• Custody/Guardianship/SIJS, 8.8%</li> <li>• FOIA, 8.8%</li> <li>• Adjustment/Naturalization, 4.4%</li> <li>• Waivers, 4.4%</li> </ul>

**NOTE:** Brief services may include a variety of services such as safety planning or InfoPass scheduling.

Table 2  
Example patient-clients, legal remedies, and outcomes from IMLP services

Patient-client	Legal remedy	Case outcome
An immigrant child hospitalized with acute kidney failure	IMLP staff were able to obtain an emergency custody order and file an application for Special Immigrant Juvenile status, which allowed the child to qualify for non-emergency Medicaid.	Routine dialysis treatment is now covered under Medicaid, and the child is eligible for a transplant should it be needed. The child and her mother were able to return to live with family in rural Nebraska, and the mother was able to return to work.
A medically-complex child born premature at thirty-four weeks with several diagnoses, including myelomeningocele, Chiari malformation type II, right club foot, and hydrocephalus that required round-the-clock care by at least two qualified adults	IMLP staff obtained humanitarian parole for the child's aunt to relocate from the family's home country to assist with care.	With the aunt's additional caregiving support, the child has avoided institutionalization. His father has been able to return to work, and the family has minimized childcare expenses for their other children.
A young woman with a digestive disorder that interfered with her ability to work who became homeless after her husband's deportation	IMLP staff helped her renew her expired Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) status and work permit.	With work authorization and with her health condition stabilized, the woman was able to gain employment, transition out of homelessness, and move towards self-sufficiency. She was also able to apply to the hospital's charity care program to reduce her medical debt.
A child with sickle cell anemia came to the U.S. to reunite with his parents, but his parents were facing imminent deportation. Knowing their son would not receive the life-preserving treatment he needed in their	IMLP staff helped to create a guardianship that enabled the boy to be included on his aunt's employer-provided health insurance and to	The child now resides safely with his aunt and has employer-provided health insurance that covers the treatment he depends on.

---

home country, they made the difficult choice to leave him with his aunt in the U.S.

access Special Immigrant Juvenile status.

A noncitizen was in a coma after an accident. His legal guardian knew he was not a U.S. citizen but did not know his immigration status and had no identity documents for him, without which it could not be determined whether he was eligible for Medicaid.

IMLP staff filed a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request and results indicated that he was a refugee. The FOIA results were then used to request a copy of his refugee documents from the local immigration office, enabling him to be approved for Medicaid.

Once full-scope Medicaid was approved, the patient was able to be discharged from the hospital to a rehabilitation facility within 6 months. So far, the hospital has received over \$78,000 in Medicaid reimbursements for this patient.

---

